Previous references.

1895
191 .
(Sep. Read.

File No. 93 of 95
Serial Nos. 1 - 14

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 14

Major ~~Part B.~~ for June 1896

SUBJECT

Remission in favour of Shamanna Toddy Vend Farmer, Hunsur Taluk.

Sl 1. From The Ex Commr
(Dy $\frac{2855}{Ex162}$) No $\frac{1468}{149}$ dt. 25-9-95

Submits copy of correspondence relative to a claim for remission of Rs 3,455 ~~/- to to~~ preferred by Toddy Vend Farmer Shamanna.

G. R. S. 58

GPB—4,000—4-7-11

Sl 2. To The Ex Commr.
No 3927/Ex118 Dt. 23-10-95
Requests to transmit the depositions of certain witnesses in the above case

Sl 3. From The Ex Commr
(Dy 3623/Ex195) No 2089/183 Dt. 2-11-95
Forwards depositions of certain witnesses as above requested

Sl 4. From Shamanna, Toddy Contractor
Ref. No. 1666. Dt. 4-11-95
Prays that the remission of Rs 3455-10-10 applied for by him may be sanctioned

Sl 5. To The Ex Commr
No. 4375/Ex133 Dt. 6-11-95
Forwards a petition from Shamanna with certain observation

Sl 6. To The Ex Commr
No. 4682/Ex115 13-11-95
Requests to return the records connected with the above case, with his opinion on it.

Sl 7 From The Ex Commr.

(Dy 4204/Ex226) No 2331/210 Dt 23-11-95

Returns the records connected with Shamanna's case, with his opinion on it

Sl 8. To The Ex Commr.

No. 5115/Ex162 4-12-95

Returns the file with the above referred records for reference in connection with the appeal petition

Sl 9. From The Ex Commr.

(Dy 4907/Ex269) No. 2692/255 21-12-95

Returns the file of papers connected with the above case and states that Shamanna is not entitled ~~for more than~~ to the remission of more than Rs 1710-6-10

Sl 10. From Shamanna Toddy Contractor

pet. No. 2799. Dt. 2-2-96

Requests to postpone the date of the disposal of his case for certain reasons.

Sl 11. From Shamanna, Toddy Contractor.
Pet. No. 3125. Dt. 5-3-96.
States that a certain sum of money was not due by him to the Govt. and requests to deduct the same

Sl 12. From The Under Secretary.
D.O. Dt. 28-5-96.
Asks whether the remission claimed by Shamanna may be granted on certain grounds

Sl 18. From The Dy Commr. Mysore.
(Dy $\frac{2082}{Ex\ 559}$) No. 1424. Dt. 1-6-96.
Submits information in regard to the remission of Toddy arrears due by Shamanna.

Sl 14. To The Ex Commissioner
The Comptroller.
No. $\frac{10813-14}{Ex\ 340}$ 11-6-96.
Sanctions a remission of Rs. 1626-4-6 in favor of Shamanna with certain observations.

T. V. Ramaswamy Iyengar.

Communicated
SH

Office note dated 11th October 1895

Subject - Remission of Rs 3455/- claimed by the Toddy Vend Farmer Shamanna Hunsur Kusba and 2 other shops for 93-94 (15 months)

SECTION S R
FILE NO. 93 a/95 6
SERIAL NO.

Reference - Ex Comm's No 1465 dated 25-9-95

1. The defaulter's case is that he tendered Rs 14,916-5-0, or over Rs 2,000/- in excess of the previous year as he believed the retail rates for Toddy, per seer, exhibited in the Merchalikas of 92-93, Vide margin (A) would be those he would have to adopt in 93-94 (15 months)

A
Maxim	0-1-3	Kusba	retail rates for Toddy, per seer, exhibited in the Merchalikas of 92-93, Vide margin (A)
Min.	0-0-10		
Max	0-1-0	Village	
Min	0-0-8		

2. He sold Toddy at the rates in the margin (B) from 1st to 8th April 1893, when he was ordered to adopt those (C) as per notification No 14837 dated 7th March 93; and, though, he petitioned the Accidar, the Dy Commr, the Ex Commr, and Government, he got no redress till 25th December 93 when he was allowed the restoration of the rates as per para 1 above (A). He therefore claims compensation to the amount of Rs 3455/- for loss sustained between 9th April 93 and 25th December 93, as he had to sell at reduced rates in the interval.

B
Kusba	0-1-0
Villages	0-0-9

C
	max	min
Kusba	0-0-10	0-0-8
Villages	0-0-6	0-0-4

3. It has been elicited, that at no time, either before, during, or after the present contract, was Toddy ever sold at

at a higher rate than the ~~maximum~~ minimum given in the Mucholotka of 92-93, & the maximum of Notification of 7th March 93 viz 8/pies per seer in the Hunsur Kusba, except for the 8 days from 1st to 8th April 93, when 6 respectable parties depose it was sold there at one anna per seer. It has also been shown that though the defaulter was permitted in December to adopt the enhanced rates (see above (A)), solicited he could not sell Toddy at a higher rate than 9 to 10 pies per seer in the Kusba of Hunsur. The Dy. Comr is therefore of opinion he is not entitled to any recompense as regards the Kasbah Shops.

4. The Taluk Inspector asserts that in the Village shops Toddy was sold at 8 pies per seer from March to 31st December 93; but, the defaulter asserts it was vended at only 6 pies. He was permitted to sell at the enhanced rate (see above A) from December 93 to end of the contract in June 94. The Dy. Comrs decision is based on the following grounds:-

Para 28 of Dy Comrs Memo in file

"From the enquiries made it appears
"in these 2 shops Toddy was sold at
"8 pies from 20th December 93. - - -

Para 29 of Dy Comrs Memo in file

"As the maximum was only 6 pies
"according to Notification, the difference
"of 2 pies for 8 months & 20 days may be
"made good to him.

Paras 29 of Dy Comrs Office Memo

"According to his own account, the
"sale for the Thattekere shop for the
"period realized Rs 503/4/- He will
"therefore be entitled to get Rs 167/12/-
"if the extra rate of 8 pies is conceded.

Paras 30 & 31 of Dy Comrs Memo

"As for Kattemalalwadi he sub-
"-let this shop in September 93 for
"Rs 75/-, and the amount the Contractor
"had to pay to Govt was Rs 74/6/- per
"month. Therefore his claim for
"increased rate should be calculated
"for only 5 months from April to August
"His realizations for this period accord
"-ing to his own account was Rs 180/9/6.
"He will have to get Rs 60/3/2, if the

excess

"excess rate of 8 pies is conceded to him
"for this period. The total amount that
"will have to be recommended for re
"mission will be Rs 227-15-2 (vide —
"remission Statement enclosed:

5. The Ex Inamdar enters into a lengthy special pleading for the defaulter, and is of opinion that because the defaulter sold Toddy at the Kusba at one anna per seer from 1st to 8th April 93, he is entitled to compensation between 6 pies and 1 anna from 9th April to 21st Dec 1893, and for the 2 village shops at a difference of 2½ between 6 pies and 8 pies aforealluded to, and recommends the remission of Rs 1,710/6/10 (as per statement enclosed).

6. There is nothing on record to show if the quantity given by the farmer, as sold, was verified or not. In this —

7 As regards rates it may be observed that in no other part of the Hunsur Taluk, or in any other Taluk in the Mysore District, did a similar difficulty arise. It was the duty of the bidder to acquaint himself of the authorized rates, adverted to in the "sale notification" and not to assume, or take anything for granted. The notification of 7th March 93 was published on 9th idem in Gazette No 10, but the farmer took no notice of it. The Amildar did not serve the farmer with a notice in this connection till the 8th April 93. It was the duty of the Local Officers to affix the list of rates

with

with the sale notices on the Office Notice Boards. The Excise Commr has already been asked to send both documents to this Office together & in time, thereafter for approval and publication simultaneously.

Orders are solicited.

J. G. W. Bruce
Ist Asstt Commr.
Dom'y & Int'y Duties Office

SECTION C R

FILE NO. 9-21-95-16

SERIAL NO.

65

Memo.

1 Shamaun's petition dtd 24-5-93 shows that he took up the farm in question on the understanding that he owned all bottom at the Kaslea shore 9 miles & on the Village shore 8 miles. Upon measurement it was found that the Kaslea shore was only 7½ miles & the Village shore 6 miles. He could not work all at 4 & 6 miles for fear of Village & Kaslea Indians & therefore relinquished the Village shore & the lower half of the Kaslea shore 4½ miles retaining 4½ miles on the Village shore.

2 In his petition dated 1-8-93 he admitted that the Memorial was in Hansen's 9 miles off the Village from 6 to 10 miles - (evidently) & his present contention is an after thought. He also stated that he was only working

receiving the Municipal rates
(but not that he had actually raised
them) when the new Directors
of the Water Works
Undertaking in the Month of
March 1993 - He asked for
permission to sell
the Village at the rates
he had obtained on
the date of the Handing (i.e. as per the Decision of 8
over Petition by in the Village)
30/5/94; He said that
the water meters all right
after March 1993 & that
what he wanted was
permission for the Municipal
Board to sell & Deer-
before the Petition
of 23-11-93 he asked for
the revaluation of the rates
which preceded the time
of the auction sale.

His Petition of 10/7/94
& declaration of 15-3-94
show that he had complained
as regards rates prompt
January 1994.

The evidence on
record discloses that the

Mr. Hall would not know that the rate of 6 per in Village would result in town disputes resulting in preference to the town shop-

period subsequent to
January, can therefore
be reasonably claimed.

The intention of
incorporating the
Municipalities of 1892-93
was a matter which admittedly
did not in any way
influence the Rate
at the Sales of 1893/94.
This is evident from
the first of the petitions
above referred to. Rate
is not an important item.
We know how to read a
route, & the said petition,
as well as subsequent
petitions, is in known
handwriting.
(The boundary C.
has been corrected 9-11-95
since the case, & I am
of opinion that the
recommendation made
by the Court should
be accepted.) C.
9-11-95

This was received
by the Commissioners
on the 11th inst.
C.

Read correspondence ending with the Commissioner's letter No 2692 dt 21st Dec 93 re the remuneration claimed by Paddy Veale

Former Shanmanna of the Hunsur Taluk.

Order

In 1893/94, Shanmanna took Note to Paddy Veale Patwari of the town of Hunsur, & of the Villages of Thatekere & Kattemalal-vadi. He claims a remuneration of Rs 345 on the ground that from April to Dec 93 he was not permitted to collect taxes & fees in the Municipal limits of the previous year.

2. Shanmanna in his petition dt 24-5-93 stated that at the time of the transfer of the Patwari duties to him he was told by the Revenue Inspector that the rates & fees were the same in the town & Villages. His complaint was that the introduction of March 93 had subsequently reduced the Village rate from 8 fees to 4 to 6 fees and that this was a sufficient reduction for town duties, as people ~~in~~ coming to Villages & towns in reference to the town shop. He contended that the Village rate should be raised to 8 fees to

as to present the possibility of
a tax owing to reduced values
in the lower part —
We do not think the framing
of this petition but
explains that it does
not represent matters
accurately and that
he were in a confused
state of mind when
he wrote it - His explana-
-tion is clearly inadmissible.
In his subsequent petitions
too, that is an admission
that the assessed rates
were 9 & 10 mills for
the town & 6 mills for
Villages. His statement
that the incorrect
figures entered in
the assessment
the year 1892-93
misled him, &
induced him to believe
that he owned ~~[illegible]~~
much higher rates
both in the town &
in the Villages, seems
~~[illegible]~~ manufactured
untrue - In Dec 1893
he managed to

from the Defendant
obtain an order restraining
him to sell his stock
at certain enhanced
rates. It is clear
however that he did
not avail himself of this permission
but sold his goods at
more moderate & usual
rates selling more cheaply
in the town than
his own farm & to
those in the Village
farm & those.

2 - Farm & part to
Beer, these were not
to prevent him
from changing
9 & 10 pies in the
town shop - That
he did sell at that
price, is admitted
clear from the rates
before Court.

3 - In the Village shop
however, he charged
more than before
a fall - But the
Defendant & the Customer

are of opinion that
he is entitled to a
remission of the difference
between that rate, & the
Rate at which he
believed he could
sell when he took
up the Patent - This
opinion seems correct -
The exemption to which Thomas
Dale is entitled on
this account is Rs
226-4-6.

4. The Registrar is
of opinion that Thomas
Dale cannot claim
any remission in
connection with the
Lower Shop - The Rules
Laid down on the subject
provide that it was
to be open that Thomas
was misled by the
rates quoted in the
Memorandum for 1892/93
& Two accounts,
recommended that a
remission of Rs 1484-2-4
be sanctioned, being

13

the difference between the
rent realized by
sale at 10 pièces. Whether
the price which
could have been
realized by sale at
one agma. In this
ground which is
[illegible] I cannot determine
based
- but from the testimony
unable to concur.
The [illegible] reliance
upon the abundance
water is clearly an
after thought - the
was admittedly
unfound at the time
of the sale of the
lower [illegible] that
the [illegible] rate
was 9 & 10 pièces
per acre & that he
was entitled to
levy the same from
persons receiving
to that day - It is
conceded that
the rate thus fixed
was levied by the
Commissioners on the

whole period of his contract. There is however another aspect of the case which seems to deserve some consideration. There are 4 Villages which within a distance of about 4 miles from the Town of Ashby de la Zouch - Lastled had the same kind of Shops as - from 4 to 6 Miles further - There is some general Annual Retail competition that may be material difference between the town & village rates, & traders from the town were attracted to Villages, thereby causing a diminution of sales in the town Shops - Statistics are not - Particularly, & the Rate Payers his liability

(on this ground) therefore he has no real grievance.

which was the rate mentioned in the Lease of the premises,

to prove the extent to
which sales in the
town shop fell off
in consequence of
the circumstance
mentioned above
Shortly thereafter
therefore, the Rev
has no right to claim
any remuneration -
the peculiar circum-
stances however of
this case, that are
disposed to show that
he will no doubt
consideration, as
in their opinion
are that the sales
in the town shop
did suffer somewhat
owing to the existence
of an agreement
understood between
the parties previously
thereof & in the
adjoining villages -
on this ground. You

are pleased as an act
of grace to accept the
Byelaws suggestion
that a permission
No 1484-2-4 be granted
on account of the town
shop -

5- Court have dealt
most leniently with Plummer
~~Mr Peter~~ & taken his
much greater consideration
than the facts of the case
entitle him to. Misrepresentation
~~constituent~~ that the
~~by Jones recommendation~~
~~does not go far enough~~
~~& that he~~ Prays for a
longer account year -
Recreation than that
now allowed, must be
refused -

6. With these observations,
the News from Scotland,
for No 1710-6-10 received
from the Jones will
be sanctioned & paper
on to the Comptroller -

7. Early Plate shares
be taken & received
the balance still

5.

17

auto landing against
Shamrock -

28-2-96

Mr. C. Please send over a draft up to noon at 12 o/c
8/10/95 Blood
S.R.

Gen. 30.]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT. 2855 GENERAL 1892 & 162 29 SEP. 95 DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

SECTION S. R.
FILE No. 93 of 95
SERIAL No. 1

To Revenue

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Government~~ ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 25th September 1895.

Despatched 28th —— do ——

Received

Enclosure No. 2 and 1 Canarese file.

General No. 1465

No. 149

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submits copy of correspondence relative to a claim for Remission of Rupees 3,455/- preferred by Toddy Renter Farmer Shamanna of Hunsur for 1893-94, and recommends that a compensation of Rupees 1710-6-10 be granted in favor of the said farmer for reasons herein explained.

Rec'd 28-9-95
Reports

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 1465/149.

Bangalore, 25th/28 September 1895

Enc: 1. Correspondence
1. Statement.
1. Canarese file.

From,

The Excise Commissioner
... Mysore

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, copy of the marginally noted correspondence relative to a claim for remission of Rs: 3,455/- preferred by Shamanah, Yoddy and Farmer of Hunsur, Kattary Malalvadi and Thattikere circles in the Hunsur taluk for 1893-94, on the ground that he based his highest bids on the marginally noted retail rates of Yoddy, which being the rates shown in the Mutchalikas taken

1. Letter No: 796, dated 3rd November 1894, from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.
2. Docket No: 2806/71, dated 12th November 1894, to - ditto.
3. Do - No: 994, dated 11th December 1894 from - ditto.
4. Do - No: 2805/100, dated 13th December 1894, to - ditto.
5. Do - No: 1249, dated 9th February 1895, from - ditto.
6. Do - No: 3458/129, dated 13th February 1895, to - ditto.
7. Do - No: 1964, dated 6th June 1895, with copy of its enclosure from - Do.
8. Do - No: 5358/214, dated 13th June 1895 to ditto.
9. Do - No: 2052, dated 20th June 1895, from - ditto.
10. Do - No: 5561/126, dated 26th June 1895 to - ditto.
11. Do - No: 32, dated 5th July 1895 from - ditto.
12. Do - No: 631/36, dated 3rd August 1895 to - ditto.
13. Do - No: 580, dated 17th/23 September 1895 from ditto.

	Maximum	Minimum
Hunsur Kasaba	Rs: A. P.	Rs: A. P.
	" - 1 - 3	" - " - 10.
Villages	" - 1 - 0	" - " - 8.

taken from the Toddy Renewed Farmers in the Hunsur taluk for 1892-93, he declined to be the prescribed rates ——— that prevailed on the date of the sales of the Farms in the Hunsur taluk, viz 22nd February 1893; but that in consequence of the subsequent publication of the following lower rates in Government Notification No. 14828—36/R.F.210—92, dated 7th March 1893, he lost the said amount:—

	Maximum	Minimum
Hunsur Kasaba	Rs. " — " — 10	Rs. " — " — 6.
Villages ———	Rs. " — " — 6	Rs. " — " — 4.

2. In clause (4) of para 7 of the Government Notification No. 8372/R.F.210-92, dated 15th December 1892, advertising the sales of the Toddy Renewed Farms for 1893-94, it was laid down that the Toddy should be sold to the public at the rates prescribed by Government. Such rates had been published in the Gazette by Government in Notification dated 25th January 1890 for the Toddy Contracts which closed on 31st March 1892. The rates therein published for the Hunsur Taluq were as follows:—

	Maximum	Minimum
Hunsur Kasba	Rs. " — " — 10	Rs. " — " — 6.
Villages ———	Rs. " — " — 6.	Rs. " — " — 4.

These rates were simply republished for general information in Government Notification No. 14837/R.F.210-92, dated 7th March 1893. These were the prescribed rates which existed on 22nd February 1893 when the above

Farms

Farms for 1893-94 were sold by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District; and also at the time of the sales of the Farms for 1892-93 which were conducted by the Special Officers, Messrs. McDonnell and Gopaliengar. But in the Mutchalikas taken from the Farmers in the Hunsur taluk for 1892-93, the Amildar erroneously entered the following rates based upon certain specimen entries made by the Special Officers in a printed Mutchalika form "A":-

	Maximum	Minimum
Hunsur Kasba	Rs. "— 1—3.	Rs. "— " 10
Villages	Rs. "— 1— "	Rs. " — "—8

These Mutchalikas were duly examined by the Special Officers and returned to the Amildar of Hunsur (vide para 1 of the Deputy Commissioner's No: 580, dated 17/23 th instant). Probably they did not notice the wrong entries made in the Mutchalikas regarding the retail rates of Toddy. Therefore complainant Shamanna asserts that he believed (and he had in one sense reason for such belief) that the retail rates which prevailed on the date of the sale of the Farms for 1893-94, viz 22nd February 1893, were those specified in the aforesaid Mutchalikas

Mutchalikas for 1892-93; that he leased his highest bids thereon; that he sold Toddy at 1 anna per seer in the shops at Hunsur Kasba and at 8 pies in the shops of the villages, from 1st to 8th April 1893; but that in consequence of the Government Notification No: 14837/R.F.210-92, dated 7th March 1893, publishing the marginal rates, having been received by him on the 8th April 1893, he was obliged to sell Toddy from 9th April 1893 at the maximum rate of 6 pies per seer in the villages, and in view to prevent the consumers from resorting to the nearest village shops, he was under the necessity of selling it at 9 pies instead of the maximum rate of 10 pies at the Hunsur Kasba; that consequently he suffered loss; that he complained of his loss in May 1893, but the Deputy Commissioner gave him permission only in December 1893, to sell at the said Mutchalika rates of 1892—93; but that the consumers who bought Toddy in the shop at Hunsur Kasba at 9 pies per seer for more than eight

	Maximum	Minimums
Hunsur Kasba	Rs. " — " — 10.	Rs. " — " — 6.
Villages	Rs. " — " — 6.	Rs. " — " — 4

months,

months, refused to pay for it at one anna per seer from 22nd December 1893 on which date he received the said permission, and therefore (with the view of keeping them from going to the nearest village shops), he could raise the price only from 9 pies to the minimum rate of 10 pies at Hunsur kasba, the price at the village shops having also been revised from 6 pies to the minimum rate of 8 pies; and that he is therefore entitled to a total compensation of Rs: 3455 being the difference between the higher rates at which he sold up to 8th April 1893 under the authority of the Mutchalika rates of 1892-93, and the lower rates at which he sold from 9th April to 21st December 1893 owing to the rates published under Government Notification of 7th March 1893 which reached him on 8th April 1893, and also the difference between 1 anna and 10 pies the latter being the rate at which he sold at Hunsur from 22nd December 1893.

3. The Deputy Commissioner has recommended a compensation of Rs: 228/- in the accompanying Statement to the Petitioner Shamanah on account of the village shops alone, calculated upon the difference

Hunsur Kasba Higher Rs: 0-1-0 Lower Rs: 0-9-0 Rs: 0-0-6 10 P.
Villages Rs: 0-0-8 Rs: 0-0-6 2 P.

difference between 8 pies and 6 pies, the former being the rate at which he sold before the receipt of ——— the Notification of 7th March 1893, and the latter subsequent to it. The Deputy Commissioner stated that the Petitioner's claim for permission on account of the Hunsur Kasba shops, did not appear to be tenable, (vide para 7 of his No: 796, dated 3rd November 1894), as he sold Toddy there at 10 pies per seer from the commencement, i.e. even before the receipt by him of the Notification of 7th March 1893. Hence Shamanna's appeal to me.

4. On further enquiry ordered by me, it has been ascertained that the Petitioner sold Toddy at 1 anna per seer at Hunsur Kasba, and at 8 pies in the village shops, from 1st to 8th April 1893, i.e. before he received the Notification of 7th March 1893 It will be seen from the records that he complained in May 1893 of the loss he was suffering in consequence of the rates published under this Notification; but the Deputy Commissioner gave him permission to sell at the said Mutchaliha rates only in December 1893. It may be true that the consumers who obtained Toddy at the lower rate for nearly eight months, would not buy it at 1 anna afterwards. Under these circumstances, I am of opinion that the Petitioner deserves some concession on account of

of the Hunsur kasba shops also.

5. As stated before, the Petitioner claims compensation for the Hunsur kasba shops, calculated upon the difference between 1 anna and 9 pies from 9th April to 21st December 1893, and between 1 anna and 10 pies from 22nd December 1893 to 30th June 1894. But the maximum rate in the Notification of 7th March 1893 being 10 pies, the Petitioner had the option to sell it at that rate from 9th April to 21st December 1893, and from 22nd December 1893 he had the option of selling it at 1 anna as before. Therefore his claim to the difference between 1 anna and 9 pies from 9th April to 21st December 1893, and between 1 anna and 10 pies from 22nd December 1893 to 30th June 1894, is not tenable. But I consider that, on the ground that the Petitioner had not the option of selling Toddy at 1 anna per seer at Hunsur kasba from 9th April to 21st December 1893, viz: the rate at which it has been ascertained to have been sold from 1st to 8th April 1893, and that though he complained in May 1893 of the loss consequent upon the Notification of 7th March 1893, yet the permission to sell at the said Nuteshalika rates, reached him only on 22nd December 1893, he is entitled to the difference between 1 anna and 10 pies from 9th April to 21st December 1893. Accordingly, I beg to submit herewith a Remission Statement, recommending Compensation to the Petitioner both for the

the Hunsur Kasba shops and village shops, which aggregates Rs. 1710-6-10. I may add that this amount is more by only Rupees 142 than the difference between the average amount of rental of the three years 1892-93, 1894-95 and 1895-96, and of 1893-94 when the Petitioner held the said Arecd. Farms, as per particulars below:-

Monthly rental including Local cess.

1892-93 —— Rs. 796-8-0.
1894-95 —— Rs. 825-9-0.
1895-96 —— Rs. 867-0-0.

3 | 2483-1-0

Average 827-11-0.

Rs. 95-8

Monthly rental for 1893-94 including Local cess } Rs-A-P.
958-6-0.
827-11-0 Deduct the average.

130-11-0 Difference for 1 month.

12

1568-4-0 Difference for 12 months.

6. The file of vernacular papers &c. received from the Deputy Commissioner is also herewith sent for needful reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

M. Sastri

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

D5

(2) 10

From

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 93 of 05

SUBJECT No. 2

To

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 23rd October 1895.

Despatched

Received

General No. 3927
No. Ex. 118.

Enclosure No.

His Letter No. 1465/149 dt. 25th September 95,
submitting copy of correspondence
relating to a claim for Remission
~~for Rs 2456~~ prepared by Toddy Vend
Varuvu Shanumah of Hanum
for 93-94 & recommending
a ~~part~~ remission of Rs 1710/6/6
~~to~~.

Has the honor to request
that the depositions of Patel
Kari Gowda, Abkari Inspector
Venkat Ramadyyar, Vender
Anne Gowda, Municipal
Comm^r. Madva Rao, Manager

(3)

Nawegaon Sheikh Beram & Koti
Mustaddi Raja Rao, alluded
to in para II of the Dy. Comm.rs
No 580 dated 17/23 September 95,
wanting in the file of papers
submitted therewith, may
be obtained and transmitted to this Office
at a very early date.

B. 6

23-10-95

Rwdlecy

S.A.

18/19/10/95



Excise.]



Ex Cert
21
4/11/95



83

Revenue

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,

~~Dept.~~

Submitted
6/11/95 Orders may be
deferred till we hear
from the Ex: Cert: on
the appeal petition sent
for any remarks he
or the Dy: Com: may
have to make thereon
7/11

S.R.V.

Dated 2nd November 1895.
Despatched 3-
Received
General No. 2089
No. 183
Enclosure No. (1 file)

In reply to No. 3927 / 9x:118, dated 23rd October 1895.

SUBJECT

Forwards depositions of certain witnesses in the case of Taddy Veud Farmer Thamannah of the Hunsur Taluk for 1893-94.

Noted & 3 copies
write a memo &
draft
10-11-95

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

4

Excise Commissioners Office
Bangalore, 2nd November 1895.

No. 2089/183 (Office) 3

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your Docket No. 3927/Ex:118, dated 25th October 1895, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the depositions of Patel Kari Gowda, Abkari Inspector Venkatramanaiyengar, Vendor Anné Gowda, Municipal Commissioner Mr. Madava Row, Manéjar Sheik Berame and Kotti Mutsaddy Raja Row, received with Docket No. 785, dated 31st October 1895, from the Deputy

Deputy Commissioner, Moyamensing
Districts.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

S Ricketts

Excise Commissioner,

in Moyamensing

D.D.

Refers
4/11/95 DD/ A.R.

Send to Excise Comr for information in continuation of the last refers from this office & ask him to return the Petn with his reply -

4th DD/ A.R.

Yes DD/ CS.
4-11-95

Received
4/11/95
5-30 P.M.

SECTION S R
FILE NO. 93 of 99
SERIAL NO. 4

1895

No. 1666 (4)

Name of Petitioner. Shankanna Toddy Contractor

Village, Residing at Hampapura

Taluk, Hunsur

District, Mysore

Date of Arzi, 4-11-95

Date of Receipt, 4-11-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, one

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the Ex Comrs End. No 195-2/24 dt 25/10/94 recommending to Govt for a remission of Rs 1710-6-10 against Rs 3455-10-10 claimed by the Petr -

Complains that he suffered a heavy loss owing to the reduction of the price of toddy by at 1/3rd & 2/5th in the Hobli Kasba, and by 1/2 in the villages by Govt Notifn dt 8-6-93; that the sudden reduction of the rates drove the drinking population in a rush to the village

village shops where liquor was
selling at a cheap rate; and that
the amount applied for remission viz Rs 3455-10-10
is the actual loss sustained by
the Petr

Prays that the remission of
Rs 3455-10-10 applied for by him
may be duly sanctioned.

5

SECTION C R From

FILE No. 93 of 95

SERIAL No. 5

To

The Excise Comm
in Mysore

Dated 6th November 1895.

Despatched 7

Received

General No. 4375
No. Ex. 133.

Enclosure No 181.

In continuation of this
Office No 3927/Ex118 d/23rd/Oct/95
calling for certain depositions
regarding the remission sought
by the Toddy Renewal Farmer Shamanna
of Hunsur, has the honour to
forward the accompanying petition
No: 1666 d/24/11/95 from the
same farmer for information and
return with any remarks the
Deputy Commr and the Excise Comm
may wish to make thereon

By Order
6/11/95
Revenue Secretary

6

S. A. 5/11

(1)

18

SECTION S.R.

FILE NO. 93 of 96

SUB. NO. 6

The Executive Council
of Nigeria.

Correspondence ending with
this V^o 2089 of 14th June
1893
re the Exemption
claimed by Paddy Reid
Xavier Shamamian of
the Hausa Salute for
1893-94.

No 4682 & 13.11.95
M. 145 16
In 2 V.P. 41.

In returning the
record for reference &
resubmission, I beg the
honour to invite the
Executive Council's attention
to Shamamian's first
petition of the 24th
dated 24th January 1893
in which he admitted
that ~~he had not the~~
~~Protection or Exemption~~
~~to the Native Authority~~
~~that he could pay~~
the rates fixed at
the time of the execution
sale under a writ for

The Karlea shop & pier
for the village shops.
& that it was there
rates that induced him
to take up the Jamieson question
for a longer period
than in the previous
year. This is reconsidered
with the present con-
-tention based upon
the rates ~~entered~~ entered
entered in the Municipalities
of the year 1892-93. His
complaint was solely
directed against the
reduction of the
village rates from
8 to 6 pies & the abandoned
that by the old rates 8
pies were not enforced in village shops.
the substantial difference
between the Commercial 4 to 6 pies & 9 pies
& village rates 4 to 6 pies
induce town dwellers
to resort to the village
shops in preference
to the town shops
He has not shown

that this observation was well founded & that there was a diminution in the sales of the town chop by reason of the old rate of 8 per Perhaps not have been received until about the end of Dec 1793. That diminution, it is not clear how the Lyon Court has recommended a remission of the deficiency between 10 per cent and the whole amount of the donation - ~~The amount claimed~~ ~~a right to be so that~~ ~~the subject does~~ ~~it is not decided~~ ~~that no trial~~ ~~but the~~

2 - On this latter point, a note by the undersigned is herewith forwarded for information -

3 - The Lyon Court is requested to reconsider

under the provisions
in the Act of the Parts
set out in the note
above mentioned
& to submit a revised
statement in respect
of only such exemption
as Shannons can reasonably
~~assert~~ look for. His
petition ~~for~~ dealt with
their Office No 4375/41337 of 6th Instant
also he returned as early as practicable
with such observations
as he may think
proper to make thereon —

G.
10/11/25 —

12-11-25

Dated a letter. Was read.
Mr Atkinson, Asst
to the Sec: Finance: With the
views of the Com: mentioned
in Office. It will have
been better to have dealt
with the matter in the Finance
12th

I Submitted with the reference quoted by the Revy. Secy. 28/11/95 (2). The appeal petition has been sent to the Dy/ns for his views of the Dy/ns opinions. The Dy/ns may be asked to return the file & that Dy/ns with his report & the Govt. Dr. & papers under reference. This is what has been done at the Govt. by the S. R. 28/11/95

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
File No. 4204
Ex. No. 226
27 NOV. 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE
1895

8

From

EXCISE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
BANGALORE
No.
DATED
IN MYSORE

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,

Revenue

~~Dept~~

FILE NO. 93 a 1 C 5
SERIAL NO. 7

Dated 23rd November 1895.

Despatched 27

Received

General No. 2331

No. 210

Enclosure No (one & 1 fee)

In reply to Docket No. 4682 Ex: 145, dated 12/15th instant.

SUBJECT

Returns records in re Remission claimed by Shamanah, Toddy Vend Farmer of Nunsur for 1893-'94, and states that he is still of opinion that the Petitioner is entitled to the Remission already recommended.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rev. Excise
27/11/95

Return the reference in connection with the above petition - After a report is received from the Kaveri Nelson Canal Circle in the Rev. Branch

C.

1-12-95

Excise Commissioner's Office

Bangalore, 23rd November 1895.

No: 2331 (Exc 19 / 93)
210

27

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

I returning herewith the record in re the remission claimed by Thamanna, Vodday Vend Farmer, Hunsur taluk, for 1893-94, and forwarded for reference with your docket No. 4682/145 dated 12th/15 instant. I have the honor to submit herewith the statement of Thamanna, explaining the contents of his petition, dated 24th May 1893, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District. In this petition he states that the rates prescribed at the time of the sale of his Farm, were nine pies per seer for the Hunsur Kasba, and 8 pies per seer for villages. He explains that he referred in his petition only to the prevailing minimum rates; that in so doing, he forgetfully shut down the Kas — minimum rate as nine pies instead of ten pies, and that in his subsequent petition to the Deputy Commissioner, dated 18th August 1893 he has correctly mentioned both the maximum and minimum rates which prevailed at the time of the sale of his Farm. I beg

beg to invite attention to para 1 of his petition dated 18th August 1893, in which he states to the following effect:- The sales of the Zoddy Vend Farms for 1893-94 were held in the month of February; and that the bids were based upon the following rates which were intimated at the time of sale:- Hunsur Kasba Rs 0 — A 1 — P 3 (maximum) and Rs 0 — A 0 — P 10 (minimum) per seer, and Villages Rs 0 — A 1 — P 0 (maximum) and Rs 0 — A 0 — P 8 (minimum) per seer". This assertion is supported by the Amildars report No: 12, dated 15th December 1893, to the Deputy Commissioner wherein he states that at the time of the sales in February 1893 the following rates were in force:—

	Maximum	Minimum
Hunsur kasba	Rs 0 — A 1 — P 3	Rs 0 — A 0 — P 10.
Villages	0 — 1 — 0	0 — 0 — 8

The fact that the Deputy Commissioner by his order No: 23, dated 18th December 1893, permitted the Petitioner to readopt the above Mutchalika rates of 1892-93, goes to show that the Deputy Commissioner accepted the Petitioner's contention.

2. Shamanna contends that, in consequence of the minimum rate for villages having been fixed at 4 pies by Government Notification No: 14837/RF 210-92, dated 7th March 1893, he was under the necessity of selling Zoddy at the reduced rate of 9 pies per seer, at Hunsur, from 9th April 1893; and that even then, a large number of consumers having resorted to village shops close to the kasba, as the rate

in

in the latter was less by 5 pies than in the former: he suffered a heavy loss. This conclusion is borne out by what the Amildar has stated in para 3 of his report No: 1771, dated 23rd Jan 1893 in the last para. wherein the Amildar has also recommended the adoption of the rates which prevailed in the year 1892-93.

3 I cannot find on the file any Petition dated 1st August 1893, referred to in para 2 of your Memo; nor is it mentioned in the Index of the file.

4. It has been proved by the evidence on record that the Petitioner sold Toddy at one anna less per pot at the Kasba, from 1st to 8th April 1893 in accordance with the Hutchalika rates of 1892-93, and that the Deputy Commissioners permission to the petitioner to readopt these rates reached him only on 22nd December 1893

5. Under the above circumstances, I am still of opinion that the Petitioner is entitled to a remission being the difference between one anna and ten pies on account of the Huzur Kasba shops and between eight pies and six pies on account of village shops, from 9th April to 21st December 1893

6. I may add that I enquired most carefully into the case especially as I was

was suspicious of the palpably incorrect entries in the Moocurrus for 1892-93, as adopted by the Officials of the Hunsur Taluk. Shamanna, the highest bidder for 1893-94, alleges that he based his bids on the above mentioned rates. Whether this be true or not I am now prepared to hazard an opinion, still the fact remains that the undermentioned rates were entered in the Moochilikas for 1892-93:-

	Maximum Rs-A-P.		Minimum Rs A P.
Hunsur Kasba	0—1—3	=	0 — 0 — 10:
Villages	0—1—0	=	0 — 0 — 8.

Had it not been for these Moochilika rates, my opinion would have been different.

7. The Petition sent with your No: 4375 EX. 133, dated 6th instant, will be returned on receipt from the Deputy Commissioner, with his observations, as well as m---.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

L Ricketts

Excise Commissioner

M. S. in Mysore

1895

9

SECTION S.E. From

FILE No. 93/90

SERIAL No. 8

To The Secretary
In Council

Dated 4th December 1895

Despatched 5

Received

General No. 5-115-

No. Qu. 162

Enclosure No. (one to file)

Secretary's No 2331/210
of the 23rd October relative
to the case of Shaw:
annual Lobby Vend Taken
of Answer for 93/94

=

Has the Answer to
enquiry been received with the
return the file for
transmission to the
by last mail? in connection
with the usual petitions
forwarded to the
Secretary with
his office No 6682 of 145
of the 13th November last

E. G.

4/12/95

2/12

9

Rec'd Secy

Exise.]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 490
No. 2691
1895 26 DEC 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE

EXCISE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
BANGALORE
No.
DATED
IN MYSORE

SECTION C R
FILE No. 93-94 /.
From
SERIAL No. 7

Per

Exist
26/12/95

To Revenue
The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,
Dept.

Submitted
8/1/96

Dated 21st December
Despatched 1895
Received
General No. 2692
No. 256
Enclosure ~~No.~~ one file

~~In reply to~~ This Office No. 2331/210
dated 23rd/27 November 1895

SUBJECT

Returns file of papers relating to the Remission claimed by Toddy Vend Timmaiah Shamaniah of Timmaiah, and states that he is not entitled to the remission of more than Rs. 1710-6-0; and forwards the Mysore Deputy Commissioners opinion on Shamaniah's Appeal Petition

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Brand

To the Petitioner for favour of the Rev. Secy's orders of 1/12/95 being given effect to this file returned to the Rev. Branch at an early date for disposal thereafter
8/1/96
S. R. J.

Translations of papers submitted
VSR 16.1.96

There are important orders & explanations proposed in connection which have not been translated — Please see if it is not usual to send rejected letters' the file is awaiting translations
17/1/96 S.R.J.

Submitted I The Asst Deputy says that it will take 4 or 5 days to translate the connecting orders & what has been is all that is req.

II The Sale Notice for 93/94 is sent up & is contrary to Clause (4) of it as stated:-
"The Toddy shall be sold to the public at the rates prescribed by Govt"

III The Sale took place on the 22/2/93

IV The Rates were notified on 2/3/93 vide Mysore Gazette 24/3/93. sent up.

V

10

V. The rates were communicated to Shanmanna on April 1893 by the Amildar.

VI Shanmanna's Petition is dt 25/5/93 in which he states the rates at the time of sale to be 9 pies per sec for the Kadaha & 8 pies for

VII Pleader for Amildar's report dt 23/5/93 & Depy. Collr's Jmt on Shanmanna's Petn & their respective rates &c.

VIII The history of the case Mulcahy is as set forth briefly in the Board's Memo dt 9/11/95.—

18/1/96

J. H. J.

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 2692/256 Endo 14th Bangalore 21st/24th December 1895.

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

This Office No. 2331/210, dated 23rd/27th November 1895.

In returning herewith the file of papers relating to the remission claimed by Shamanna Toddy Vend Farmer, Hunsur, for 1893-94, (received with your docket No. 6116/Ex:162, dated 4th/5th instant), together with the Appeal Petition of the said Shamanna forwarded with your docket No. 4375/Ex:133, dated 13th November 1895, I have the honor to state that for the reasons fully explained in my letters.

letters Nos. 1465/149, dated 25th September 1895 and 2331/210, dated 23rd November 1895, I am of opinion that Shamanmah is entitled to the remission of only Rs 1710-6-10, but not Rs. 3455-10-10 claimed by him in his appeal Petition, dated 4th November 1895, to Government.

2. I beg to enclose, herewith, copy of Docket No. 999, dated 9th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, submitting his views on the aforesaid Appeal Petition of Shamanmah.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

M. S.

1895.

Enclosure to 37

From,

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

To. The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated 9th December 1895.

No: 999

Enclos: 1 file

His Official memo: No: 2180/103 of the 7th November 1895 forwarding copy of the Revenue Secretary's docket No: 4375/EX. 133 of the 6th idem together with its enclosure viz: the petition of Toddy Vend farmer Shamanna of Hunsur for any remarks the undersigned may wish to make

---

Begs to state that the matter has been fully reported on already vide correspondence ending with this Office docket No: 785 of the 31st October 1895 to the Excise Commissioner The undersigned has nothing more

to

to add to what has already been stated; and moreover this is a matter referring to a period long previous to the undersigned taking charge of this District.

2. The petition under report is herewith returned

(Signed) B. K. Venkataveradadyugar
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

"Copy"

Shiketh
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
M. S

Statement showing the remission recommended in favor of Shamanna Toddy Renewal Farmer of Hunsur, Kattemalavadi, and Tattekere circles for 1893-94

Name of Farmer.	Period during which Toddy was sold at the rates published in Government Notification dated 7th March 1893.	Quantity of Toddy sold during the period mentioned in Column 2.		Amount of Rental as per rates published in Government Notification dated 7th March 1893 viz @ 10 pies in Casba and 6 pies in villages per Seer or Rs 1-4-0 in Casba or 12 annas in villages per ghada.			Amount which would have been realized at 1 anna per seer or Rs 1-8-0 per ghada in Kasba and 8 pies per seer or 1 Rupee per ghada in villages as sold prior to the publication of rates in Govt. Notification dated 7-3-93.			Difference recommended for remission			Remarks
		Ghadas	Seers	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	
Hunsur Town	From 9th April 1893 to 21st December 1893	5,936	14	7,420	11	8	8,904	14	-	1,484	2	4	
Kattemalavadi	From 9th April 1893 to 31st August 1893	202	21	151	2	6	202	14	-	51	11	6	
Tattekere	From 9th April 1893 to 21st December 1893	698	6	523	11	-	698	4	-	174	9	-	
										1710	6	10	

Renewal 226.4.6

Office of the Excise Commissioner in Mysore Bangalore, dated 25th September 1895.

M. Keatts
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.



1666
Ex 1

Two rupees Stamps affd -

In the Court of the Dewan and Councillors to H.H. the Maharajah of Mysore

Bangalore

The humble Petition of Shamannah residing at Kampalapura, Hunsoor Taluq, Mysore District.

Most Respectfully Sheweth:-

1. That your Petitioner was the Toddy Contractor of Hunsoor Firkha in the Mysore District for the year 1893-94.

2 That the Toddy Farms of the Hunsoor Taluq for the year 1893-94 were sold by public auction on the 22nd of February 1893, and that at the time of such Sale the prescribed Retail rates ranged from 10 pies to 15 pies per Seer in Taluq

Kasaba

Kasaba, and from 8 piece to 12 piece per sec in villages.

3. That in the said sales the Hemsoor Taluq realized an increase of Rs. 12000 over the amount of the previous year, while the Hemsoor Pirkha which was knocked down to your petitioner realized a clear profit of Rs. 2969— over the bid of the previous year.

4. That your petitioner begs leave to state that under the terms of the Sale proclamation the Government should have fully realized the responsibility of Continuing the aforesaid rates for the year 1893-94.

5. That to the utter discomfiture of your petitioner and other toddy Contractors, the Government issued a notification on the 8th of April 1893 reducing the rate in the Taluq Kasaba by 1/3rd & 2/- and the rate in the villages by one half, the previous rates prescribed

in

in the Sale proclamation.

6 That by this Sudden reduction of the rates, there was a rush of the drinking population from the Kasaba to the village Shops where toddy was being sold at so low a rate as 4 pies a seer thereby causing a serious loss to the Petitioner.

7. That immediately after the issuing of the aforesaid notification your humble petitioner represented his grievances to the Taluq and District Authorities, as well as to the Excise Commissioner and this Honorable Court, and urged that in consequence of the said action of the Government very heavy loss had accrued to him; and that in consequence of the repeated representation of his grievances to all the authorities concerned, the Deputy Commissioner was pleased to advise the petitioner about the continuance of the old rates as per his order No. 23, dated 20th Dec. 1893.

8. That the petitioners had sustained very heavy loss aggregating Rs. 4071-12-6 during the period of his contract, owing to the aforesaid action of the Government, the petitioner claimed remission of Rs. 3455-10-10 only for the period of 8 months and 17 days from the 9th of April 1893 up to the 23rd December 1893 as the difference between the original and the subsequent rates.

9. That your petitioner requested the Deputy Commissioner to issue orders for the remission of the said amount.

10. That the Deputy Commissioner after some correspondence was pleased to direct the remission of Rs. 240-12-0 only as per his order No: 40, dated 25th September 1894.

11. That your humble petitioner then approached the Excise Commissioner with his grievances

in

in the matter; and that the Excise
Commissioners after Correspondence with
the Deputy Commissioners was pleased to
issue the Endorsement dated the 25th of
October 1895; hereto annexed recommending
remission of Rs. 1,110-6-10 only.

12 That against the above order of the
Excise Commissioner your humble petitioner
begs to appeal to this Honorable Court and
Solicits leave to urge that there are abso-
-lutely no grounds for reducing the amount
the remission of which he prays for, as the
difference between the rates which prevailed
at the time of the sale and the rates as altered
by the notification dated 8th April 1893.

13. That your petitioner claims much less
than the actual loss sustained by him
during the whole period owing to the afore-
-said action of the Government.

14. That under the circumstances, your
humble

humble petitioner most respectfully prays that this Honorable Court may be pleased to call for the records of the case, and to issue orders for the remission of the amount of Rs. 345-10-10 on perusing the records and hearing your petitioner.

The remission statement is as follows:-

For monthly income of Rs. 765/- of the Assessor down, reduction at 1/3rd & 2/5. approximately will come up to for 8 months & 17 days 2185. 2621.	}	Rs as per 2403-4-4
For monthly income of 24 6/8 Rs of village, reduction at one half will come up to for 8 months & 17 days	}	10 5-5-14-4
Total Rs-		345-8-14-4

4th November 1895
Bangalore.

Excise Commissioner's Office

Bangalore 25th October 1895

Rs 1952
24

26

Enclosure to
Endorsement
dl 9

In reply to his petition dated 19th Sept. 1895
Shivamma, a Toddy Vend Farmer
in the Hunsur taluk for 1893–94, is
informed that, pending the orders of
Government on a recommendation
which has been made for remission
of Rs 1710–6–10 in his favor, the
Deputy Commissioner has been requested
to defer coercive measures for the
recovery of the arrears due by the
Petitioner.

L Wilkets
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

M. S.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated 3rd November 1894.

No. 796. Enclosures
(as per Margin) —

Enclosure to Yrom,
Sd. 9

A. Rangacharri Ayengar Esq.
B. A. B. L.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No: 1853/57, dated 23rd October forwarding Hunsur Toddy Farmer Shamanna's petition for report, I have the honor to submit the following particulars of his case.

2. On the 22nd of February 1893, the various Toddy Farms of Hunsur Taluk were sold by public auction, and Shamanna took up the contract of Hunsur, Malakwadi and Yattikeri Farms. On the Government notification, No: 8372/R.E.210-92 specifying the conditions &c. for the sale of Toddy Farms,

Farms, it was mentioned that Toddy should be sold to the Public at the rates prescribed by Government. A month after the sales, the Government Notification, No: $\frac{14828-36}{R.F.210-92}$, dated 7th March 1893, prescribing the rates at which toddy should be sold, was received, and it was duly communicated to all the Talaks of the District. The rates prescribed for 1893-94 were as follows: —

	Maximum	minimum
Taluk Kasabas	Rs 0—0—10	Rs 0—0—6.
Villages	0—0—6	0—0—4

3. Shamanna petitioned in May 1893 complaining of the above rates, and praying that the rates that had existed at the time of the sales of the farms, should be allowed to stand, or a rateable reduction made in his Ijara amount. When enquiries were made about the rates that had existed in 1892-93, it was found that in the Amtehalikas for 1892-93 the rates specified were: —

Hundur-

Maximum — Minimum

Hunsur — Rs 1-3 — Rs 10

Villages — Rs 1 — Rs 8

4. The Amildar explained that though no special orders were received in this matter, these rates were copied from those specified in the printed form of Mutchalikas adopted for Kumki Farms. (vide copy enclosed). He further stated that the new rates had already caused some loss to the Contractor, and that he would recommend the rates of previous year being continued to him for the current year; whereupon the higher rates annas 1-3 to 10 pies for Hunsur and 1 anna to 8 pies for villages were temporarily sanctioned in December 1893.

5- Thamanna petitioned again at the close of his contract period, that as he had to sell at the reduced rates as per Government notification quoted above till December, when enhanced rates were sanctioned, his

his loss namely Rs 3455-10-10 should be deducted from the amount due by him

6. This necessitated a further enquiry into the matter of rates at which toddy was actually being sold in the previous years, and subsequent to the sanction of increase in the rates, at Hunsur, Katta Inalalwady and Thattikeri shops. From the petition of 4th ultimo of Shamannah himself and the statements of contractors and sellers of former years, it is quite clear that the selling rate at Hunsur has not gone up at any time higher than 10 pies, and that even after Shamannah was allowed to sell at the maximum rate of anna 1-3 pies, he was obliged to keep to the rate of 10 pies, because the purchasers would have otherwise gone to the village shops at a distance of 2 or 3 miles from Kasaba.

7. This rate (10 pies) being the maximum rate

rate for Kunsur, as per Government Notification no: 14828-36 / R.F. 210-92, dated 7th March 1893, at which rate the contractor has been selling from the commencement, his claim for remission for Kunsur shop does not appear to be tenable, and till 20th December 1893, the Contractor is alleged to have sold toddy at the maximum rate of 6 pies. He admits having sold toddy at 8 pies in April 1893 before the reduced rates were published by the notification, and again from 20th December 1893 though the maximum then stood at one anna.

8. From this it may be concluded that even if no reduction of rates had been ordered, he would have sold only at 8 pies.

9. The loss sustained by him is the amount representing the difference between the 6 pie rate at which he says he sold, and the 8 pie one at which he would have sold otherwise. The monthly gross

gross realizations have been furnish-
-ed by him, and one third of their
total would represent his possible
loss. I would recommend that
this amount may be allowed to
him and remitted out of the arrears
outstanding. An order was record-
-ed by me to this effect, and an
endorsement given to petitioner
on the same lines.

10. The records of enquiry and
other papers are submitted herewith
to be kindly returned when no
longer required.

I have &c

(sd) A. Rangasami Ayengar
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Dated 12th/13 November 1894.

No.

No. 2306/71

The Deputy Commissioner's letter No: 796 d/3rd instant (received on the 10th idem)

In reply has the honor to point out that the rates of the price of toddy published in Government Notification No: 14837/R.F.210-92, dated 7th March 1893, are those which existed in previous years. (vide Government Notification, dated 25th January 1890, inviting tenders for the exclusive privilege of selling toddy in the Insone District, for two years from 1st April 1890 to 31st March 1892). Requests to be informed under what authority the following rates were specified in the Sudehalikas for 1892-93 by the Amildar:-

	Maximum		Minimum	
Hunsur	Rs 0	1-3	0	10.
Villages	Rs 0	1-0	0	8.

2.

2. The Inutchalika form of "B Aiyan Kumki Farm" does not apply to the Mysore District.

(Signed) L. Ricketts.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

1894.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 11th December 1894.

No. 994.

His docket No. 2306/71, dated 13th November 1894.

With reference to the above, has the honor to state that the present Amildar of Hunsur and Mr. Keshava Ayengar who was

was in charge of that Taluk when the Mutchalikas under reference were obtained, have reported saying that they are not able to reply to the reference regarding the pates alluded to in the docket under reply without the help of references

Requests therefore that the whole file of papers submitted with this office No: 796, dated 3rd November 1894, may be kindly returned for reference and resubmission.

(sd) K. Ramaswamy Iyengar
For Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 13th/14 December 1894.

No.

No 2805/100

Enclosures. 1 file (as per fairist)

The Deputy Commissioner's No 994, dated 11th instant.

In reply has the honor to return herewith the file of papers relating to the complaint of Toddy vend Farmer Shanmuk of Hunsur.

(Signed) L. Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 9th February 1895.

No. 1249.

Enclosures 1 file.

His docket No: 2306/71, dated 13th November 1894, and 2805/100, dated 17th December

December 1894, requesting to be informed under what authority the Amildar of Hunsur Taluk, specified the rates shewn in the Mutchalikas of Hunsur, and other Toddy Farms, for 1892-93

In reply, has the honor to state, that Mr. Keshaviengar, who was at the time in charge of the Hunsur Taluk, reports that the rates under reference were based on those specified in the printed "Sixth" form, (Copy enclosed), sent to the Talukas to obtain Mutchalikas

It will be observed that there is nothing to shew to what Districts these rates were made applicable, and to what Districts they were not He further states that there were no special orders of Government fixing the retail rates for vending Toddy during 1892-93.

Travel rates

The file of papers connected with

with the case, are resubmitted herewith.

(Signed) A. Rangaswamidyengar
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 13th/19 February 1895.

No. 3458/129. Enclosures - 1 file.

The Deputy Commissioner's No.
1249, dated 9th instant.

In reply has the honor to state that it appears that the Special officers who conducted the sales for 1892-93, told the Amildars and the intending bidders at the time of sales that the retail rates of toddy were the same as those which existed under the old System, and that, accordingly they omitted the

the rates in the Kanarese forms of Mutchalikas which they distributed to all the Amildars, who had to fill in the rates of their respective taluks, in accordance with the rates published in Government Notifications, dated 25th January 1890, inviting tenders for the exclusive privilege of selling toddy during two years ended 31st March 1892. In the English copies of Mutchalika forms A, B, D and E the Special Officers seem to have shown the rates of the Bangalore, Kolar and Tumkur Districts, as mere specimen entries, and similarly the rates of the Kadur District alone in form C. Therefore the rates of the Bangalore, Kolar and Tumkur Districts should not have been entered in the Mutchalika form A executed in the Hunsur taluk, while the prevailing rates of the Mysore

District

District were different. Requests to be informed what rates were adopted in the remaining taluks of the Mysore District for 1892-93, and if mistakes for 1893-94 similar to those in the Hunsur taluk were also made in the remaining taluks of the Mysore District, in all of which the sales for 1893-94, were held before 7th March 1893, on which date the existing retail rates were re-published in Notification No. 14837, dated 7th March 1893.
R.F. 210-92

2. Begs also to be informed why complaints similar to that of Petitioner Shamannah, have not been received from the remaining Farmers in the Hunsur taluk on the score that they were misled by the rates of the Bangalore, Kolar and Tumkur Districts having been entered in the Mentekalikas taken in the Hunsur taluk for

for 1892-93, and for what amount the particular Farm of Petitioner for which remission is claimed, was sold for 1894-95.

3. The Record is herewith returned for reference, and recalmission.

(Signed) L. Ricketts.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

From,

A. Rungasawmy Ayengar Esq:
B.A.B.L.
Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 6/7th June 1895

Enclosures. No 1 and 1 Record.

General No 1964.

His docket No: 3456/129 of 20th February last, calling for certain additional

additional information regarding the claims of Shamanah for remission.

In reply, has the honor to state, that the notice given by the Special Officers regarding the sales of Toddy, and Farms of the various Talukas of this District for the year 1892-93, dated 6th February 1892, (vide page 170, of the Mysore Gazette of the 11th February 1892, Part II) is silent as regards the rates at which Toddy should be sold to the public, and there are no records to shew as to what transpired between the Special Officers, and the then Amildar of Hunsur Taluq, regarding the same.

The rates published in Government Notifications dated 25th January 1890, are made applicable for the Contract ended 31st March 1892 and no Separate notification was issued by Government—

Government, extending their application to the subsequent contract for 1892-93.

The Muteheliikas executed by the various Contractors in this District, for the year 1892-93, were submitted by the Amildars Concerned, direct to the Special Officers, and were returned by these Officers duly checked, to the Talugs, and the rates at which Toddy should be sold to the public, as fixed by Government in the marginally noted Notifications, and as modified by Government in their Proceedings Camp No. 1443-93, dated 17th November 1885, and Camp No. 275-76, dated 14th June 1889 existed (from enquiries made) during the year 1892-93, in other Talugs of this District

Notifications, dated 21-3-1883.
" 1-1887.
" 25-1-1890.
" "
" "

Calling for tenders for the collecting privilege of selling Toddy.

2. There is no reason to expect any complaints similar to that of Petitioner Shanmuga, from other

other farmers, because in some farms the rate at which Toddy was sold was 8 pies which is the minimum rate in the printed form, and the maximum according to the orders of Government quoted above.

A Comparative Statement shewing the realizations from the shops under reference, for four years, is enclosed for information, as requested in the docket under reply.

The records are herewith returned.

(Signed) K. Ramasawmy Iyengar
For Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Name of the Shop.	Monthly rent without Local cess 1892-93	Monthly rental without Local cess for 1893-94	Monthly rent without Local cess for 1894-95	Monthly rental without Local cess for 95-96	Remarks
Hunsur	630 . .	720 . .			In the years 1894-95 & 95-96 the shops in question were sold along with other shops, and the amounts shewn for these 2 years in the statement represent the proportionate revenue for these shops according to rule of proportion.
Hatte Malalvady	64 . .	70 . .			
Thathikere	50 . .	112 . .	827 . .	866 6 6	
	144 . .	902 . .	50	50	
Kallaketta	35 . .	50 . .	777	816 6 6	
and 11 others were sold together in 1894-95 & 95-96	779 . .	952 . .			
Inamgrones					
Ramapatna	1 . .	13 . .	No bids.		
Gereranahalli	1 . .	2 . .			
Total	2 . .	15 . .			

(Signed) K. Ramasawmy Iyengar
For Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 13th/14 June 1895.

No. 5358/214. Enclos: 1.

The Deputy Commissioner's No:
1964, dated 6th instant

Has the honor to return,
herewith, the above original letter,
with a request that the correct
year of Government Proceedings
No: 1443/93 (Camp), dated 17th November
quoted therein, may be given,
as the year 1895 now shown in it,
is evidently incorrect

(Signed) L. Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

1895.

1895

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 20th June 1895.

No: 2052
Enclos: 1.

His No: 5358/214, dated the 13th June 1895

Has the honor to return the letter received with the above making the necessary corrections.

(Signed) B. K. Venkatavaradayya
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

To,

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Dated 26th/29 June 1895

Enclos: 1 and 1 file.

No: 5561/126

The Deputy Commissioner's No: —
2052, dated 20th instant.

In reply has the honor to state that the calculations made in the Memo in the file of the vernacular papers received from the Deputy Commissioner, and which is herewith returned for reference and resubmission, seem to be incorrect. A draft Remission Statement prepared in this office, is herewith sent for verification, and with a request that it or a revised draft may be fair copied and sent to this Office under the Deputy Commissioner's signature

(Signed) L. Ricketts

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

1895.

64

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 5th July 1895.

No. 32.

Enclos: A statement and banarese file.

His docket No: $\frac{55-61}{126}$, dated the 29th June 1895.

Has the honor to state that the amounts shown in the memo filed with the record herewith returned seem to have been adopted from the figures given by the Contractor himself who seems to have calculated at 26 seers per ghada and not at 24 seers. A statement now prepared in this office at 24 seers per ghada is herewith forwarded as requested.

(signed) B. K. Venkatavaradayya
Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 3rd/7 August 1895.

No. 631/36.

Encls: 1 and 1 file.

The Deputy Commissioner's No.
32, dated 5th July 1895.

In returning the statement received with the above for correction and resubmission, the total of the figures in the last column being incorrect, has the honor to request further information on the following points: —

(1) The entries in all the Mutchalikas taken from the Toddy and Farmers in 1892-93, had to be duly checked and approved by the Special Officers, as per Government orders.

orders. Were the Amtehalikas of the Hunsur taluk checked by them — and the Deputy Commissioner: and if so, why the wrong entries about the retail rates were not noticed?

(2) What evidence is there to show that Petitioner Shamannah sold Toddy in his village shops at 8 pies per seer from 1st to 8th April 1893?

(3) What are the grounds on which the Deputy Commissioner has recommended the difference between 8 pies and 6 pies to be remitted from 9th April to 21st December 1893? The Deputy Commissioner's reasoning in his letter of the 3rd November 1894 is not clear to the undersigned.

(4) What were the actual selling rates per seer of Toddy in 1891–92 for Casba Hunsur shops, and for the outlying shops of Malal-wadi and Thottekere?

(5) — Do — Do — in 1892–93?

2. If the information required in clause (4) cannot be easily or accurately ascertained, it may be omitted;

omitted; but it is very necessary to know the actual ——————— selling rates in 1892-93 for the above Casba shops, and village shops respectively. This it will be comparatively easy for the Amildar and Toddy Officials to ascertain.

3. Begs that the Deputy Commissioner will be good enough to ascertain and report whether Petitioner sold Toddy at 1 anna per seer in the shops at Hunsur Casba, and at 8 pies in his village shops from 1st to 8th April 1893.

4. The file of vernacular papers is herewith sent for reference and resubmission

(Signed) L. Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner.
of the Mysore District

To,

To,

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

Dated 17th / 23rd September 1895.

No. 580.

Enclos: { 1 file of papers and
1 statement.

His docket No: 631/36 of the 3rd August 1895, returning the statement showing the amount recommended to be remitted, for correction and requesting to be furnished with further information on certain points.

I. In returning the statement duly corrected begs to state as follows:-

1. Mutchalikas taken from the toddy vend farmers in 1892-93 were sent by the Amildars direct to the Special Officers, who checked and returned them to them direct. These Mutchalikas did not pass through this Office, and could not therefore be checked here. The Amildar of the Hunsur taluk states

states that the Amildar has obtained from the farmers of that taluk for 1892-93 were sent to the Special Officers on the 24th September 1894 and were returned to the taluk on the 22nd October 1892; but not certified.

2. Venkataramana Iyengar, who was the acting Abkari Inspector of the Hunsur taluk from the 13th March 1893 to the 31st December 1893, states that he saw toddy being sold at 8 pies per seer in the village shops of the petitioner K. Shamanna. This is borne out by Raja Rao Kote Amildar in Hunsur.

3. The grounds on which the remission of the difference between 8 pies and 6 pies per seer has been recommended, have been fully explained in paras 28 to 31 in the memo of this office dated the 24th September 1894, and in para 8 of this office letter No: 796 of the 3rd November 1894. Toddy was being sold in

in Malavadi and Tottekere shops at 8 pies per seer prior to 1891-92 as also during 1892-93. The petitioner sold toddy in the above shops till the 8th April 1893 at 8 pies per seer. From the 9th April 1893 to 20th December 1893 he sold it at 6 pies per seer as per Government Notification No: 14828-36/R.F. 210-92 of the 7th March 1893. He was again permitted to sell toddy at 8 pies per seer. The difference of 2 pies representing loss to the petitioner from 9th April 1893 to 20th December 1893 was therefore recommended by the former Deputy Commissioner to be remitted.

This is evidently a mistake in the work.

4. The actual selling rates per seer of toddy during 1891-92 for Kasba Hunsur was 9 pies and for Malavadi and Tottekere shops from 6 to 8 pies

5. The actual selling rates per seer of toddy during 1892-93 for Kasba Hunsur was 10 pies and for

for Malavadi and Tottekere shops
8 pies. X

II. From the depositions of the Patel Hari Gowda of Hunsur, acting Abkari Inspector Venkatarama Ayengar, vendor Anne Gowda, Municipal Commissioner Madduras, Manager Sheik Beram and Hoti Imtsaddi Raja Ras, it appears that the petitioner sold toddy at 1 anna per seer in the Hunsur toddy shop and at 8 pies per seer in the village shops from the 1st to the 8th April 1893.

III. The vernacular correspondence received with the docket under reply, are returned herewith.

(Signed) B. K. Venkatavarada Ayengar
Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

"Copy"
S. Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Statement showing the remission recommended in favour of Shanmanaik Toddy renter Farmer of Hunsur, Kattemalalvadi Thattikere circles in the Hunsur Taluk for 1893-94. ~~Subsidiary~~ Farm as per Excise Commissioner's Docket No. 5561/126 Dated 29th June 1895.

Name of Farm.	Period during which Toddy was sold at the rates published in Government Notification Dated 7th March 1893.	Quantity of Toddy sold during the period mentioned in Col 2.		Amount realised as per rate published in Government Notification Dt 7th March 93 viz at 12 as per Gadiga of 24 Leers			Amount which would have been realized at 8 pies per Seer or 1 Rupee Gadiga as per Muchalika for 1892-93.			Difference recommended for remission.		
		Gadiga	Leers									
Kattemalalvadi Thattikere	April to August 93	226	3	169	9	6	226	2	"	56	8	6
	8 months + 20 days from 1st April 93 to 20th December 1893.	689	9	517	-	6	689	6	"	172	5	6
							Total			~~229~~	14	"
										228		

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated 5th July 1895

W. K. Venkata Sastry

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Enclosure to

No. 9

(12)

74

(13)

Enclosure to

75

(14)

9.

[illegible]

(157)

Enclosure No. 1

(10)

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುದ್ರಣಾಲಯ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಇವರಿಂದ ಮುದ್ರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೦೦೦೦



ಸಂಪಾದಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕರು



(17)

ಶೈಕ್ಷಣಿಕ ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಶಾಲಾ ಮಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸಬೇಕಾದ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿವರ 93-94 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	ಸಂಪನ್ಮೂಲ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಗೌರವಧನ
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಂಪನ್ಮೂಲ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು, ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭೋತ್ಸವ ಆಚರಣೆ, ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶಾತಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪೋಷಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೧
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೨
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೩
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೪
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೫
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೬
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೭
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೮
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೯
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೧೦
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೧೧
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೧೨
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೧೩
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೧೪
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೧೫
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೧೬
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೧೭
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೧೮
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೧೯
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೨೦
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೨೧
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೨೨
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೨೩
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೨೪
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೨೫
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೨೬
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೨೭
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೨೮
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	೨೯
ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆ	ಶಾಲಾ ಪ್ರವೇಶೋತ್ಸವ
ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ	೧೦೦
ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ	ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು
ಸಮಯ	ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳು
ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು	ಸಭಾಂಗಣ, ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳು	ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸೇರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುವುದು, ಶಾಲೆಯ ಬಗ್

F. No. 1136/26

No 1750



Encl. Petition is

To The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Bangalore

The humble appeal petition of Shamanna late Toddy Contractor Hunsur, on the order No 40 of 25.9.94 passed by the Excise Commissioner, Mysore

Most Respected Sir,

The petitioner humbly begs to state that the Contract of the Toddy Shops at Hunsur town and adjoining places was leased out to him by Government on the 23rd February, 1893 for 15 months for an aggregate sum of rupees 14,910=- payable by equal monthly instalment of Rs 994-6-0, The authorized rates of selling Toddy at that time were:-

1st Class	Rs-as-P.	in Kasba
2nd "	1—3	per Seer
1st Class	" —2—0	in villages
2nd "	" —1—8	per Seer

Fully believing that the above rates would not be changed by Government till the expiration of the Contract, sales were being effected

effected at Rs - as - p. per seer in Kusba and
" - " - 1 - " per seer in villages till 8th April 1893
when the petitioner to his utter disappointment
received Government Notification NO 14828/210
dated 7th March 1893 reducing the rates thus:-

1st Class	Rs. as. P.	
	" - " - 10	in Kasba
2nd "	" - " - 6	per seer.
1st Class	" - " - 6	in villages
2nd "	" - " - 4	per seer

The above order reducing the rates had
a ruinous effect on the petitioner when the
lowest rate per seer was settled by Govt
as 8 pies in villages and 10 pies in Kasba towns
(~~in which~~) the difference between the town
and village rates was 2 pies and this difference
in price was not very tempting enough to
draw Toddy drinking classes from towns to
adjoining villages which are in most cases
3 1/2 miles distant from the town. The
Notification authorizing reduction in rates
brought about a marked change: it reduced
the town rate from one anna three pies to ten
pies that of villages from 8 to 4 pies. The
latter difference between the town and
village rate viz: 6 pies per seer was certainly
a great temptation to the Toddy drinkers

and

and they would not travel long 3 or 4 miles for the sake of deriving a palpable benefit of annas 6 per seer every seller of toddy I has last drew a great portion of the Toddy drawing classes from various towns of Ainavu to adjoining villages and the inevitable consequence was considerable reduction in sale in towns and heavy loss to the petitioner to the extent of Rs 4000/.

4

When the Govt. Notification 8/7 th March 193 authorising reduction of rates was received on the 8th April 193. the petitioner not only complained at once but continued to complain necessarily to the Taluk and higher authorities. At he received on the 25th December 193 a reply order memorizing the petitioner to effect the sales at higher rates formerly prescribed by Govt

The Dy Commr. Mysore, in his endorsement (herein enclosed) was pleased to remark that for the last 2½ years the actual rate of selling Toddy in Kasaba towns was not more than 10 pies per seer though the rates prescribed by Govt were only nominal and that although an order authorising the petitioner to effect sales at higher rates was given earlier, it would have been impossible for the petitioner to have effected sales at a rate higher than 10 pies per seer and that therefore the remission prayed for

X 1

Cannot

cannot be granted. The petitioner entirely leaves this matter to the consideration of your honor and begs to say that he never for a moment thought and would never have raised the bid if it was understood that rates prescribed by Govt: were only nominal. Besides the D/Commr's Order No. 43 of 13 June 94 says that as the sales were effected at higher rates prior to the issue of order of 20.12.93, no remission is necessary and this order is contrary to order above quoted, that even if orders authorizing the sale at higher rates were given earlier such a thing would have been impossible.

Under these circumstances the petitioner humbly solicits that the entire record connected with the case (which is now in the D/Commr's Office) may be kindly perused and justice done to the petitioner by granting him a remission of the amount 3.454/ Rupees which is the actual loss sustained by the petitioner, consequent on the order reducing the rates during the period of 8 months 17 days which intervened the dates of notification reducing the rates and the date of receipt of Amildar's order No 25 of 20.12.93 authorizing him to effect sales at higher rates formerly prescribed by Govt. Pending decision of the appeal, which may be given to the amildar not to issue any notice to the petr for the amount for which act of kindness the petitioner feels ever grateful.

Bangalore
15 October 1894.

The petitioner begs to remain
Your most obedient servant
most respectfully

Endorsement No. 7

Encl. No 40

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਬਹਾਦਰ

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ:- ਸਾਲ 93-94 ਦੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂਬੰਦੀ ਦੀ ਨਕਲ ਦੀ ਫੀਸ ਮੁਆਫ ਕਰਨ ਬਾਰੇ।

ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ,

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਪਿੰਡ ਦਾ ਨੰਬਰਦਾਰ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਮੇਰੇ ਪਾਸ ਪਿੰਡ ਦੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂਬੰਦੀ ਸਾਲ 93-94 ਦੀ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਨਕਲ ਦੀ ਫੀਸ ਰੁਪਏ 34454-0-0 ਬਣਦੀ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਅਦਾ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਅਸਮਰੱਥ ਹਾਂ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਮੇਰੀ ਆਮਦਨ ਬਹੁਤ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਮੈਂ ਗਰੀਬ ਆਦਮੀ ਹਾਂ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮੇਰੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੇ ਪਾਸ ਸਾਲ 93-94 ਦੀ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂਬੰਦੀ ਦੀ ਨਕਲ ਦੀ ਫੀਸ ਮੁਆਫ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਤਾ

ਨੰਬਰਦਾਰ

ਪਿੰਡ ਸਾਹਨੇਵਾਲ

ਤਹਿ: ਜਗਰਾਉਂ

ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ

ਮਿਤੀ 20-10-94

ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ ਮੈਂ ਪਿੰਡ ਦਾ ਨੰਬਰਦਾਰ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਮੇਰੇ ਪਾਸ ਪਿੰਡ ਦੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂਬੰਦੀ ਸਾਲ 93-94 ਦੀ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਨਕਲ ਦੀ ਫੀਸ ਰੁਪਏ 34454-0-0 ਬਣਦੀ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਅਦਾ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਅਸਮਰੱਥ ਹਾਂ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਮੇਰੀ ਆਮਦਨ ਬਹੁਤ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਮੈਂ ਗਰੀਬ ਆਦਮੀ ਹਾਂ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮੇਰੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੇ ਪਾਸ ਸਾਲ 93-94 ਦੀ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂਬੰਦੀ ਦੀ ਨਕਲ ਦੀ ਫੀਸ ਮੁਆਫ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਤਾ

ਨੰਬਰਦਾਰ

ਪਿੰਡ ਸਾਹਨੇਵਾਲ

ਤਹਿ: ਜਗਰਾਉਂ

ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ

ਮਿਤੀ 20-10-94

ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ ਮੈਂ ਪਿੰਡ ਦਾ ਨੰਬਰਦਾਰ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਮੇਰੇ ਪਾਸ ਪਿੰਡ ਦੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂਬੰਦੀ ਸਾਲ 93-94 ਦੀ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਨਕਲ ਦੀ ਫੀਸ ਰੁਪਏ 34454-0-0 ਬਣਦੀ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਅਦਾ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਅਸਮਰੱਥ ਹਾਂ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਮੇਰੀ ਆਮਦਨ ਬਹੁਤ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਮੈਂ ਗਰੀਬ ਆਦਮੀ ਹਾਂ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮੇਰੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੇ ਪਾਸ ਸਾਲ 93-94 ਦੀ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂਬੰਦੀ ਦੀ ਨਕਲ ਦੀ ਫੀਸ ਮੁਆਫ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਤਾ

ਨੰਬਰਦਾਰ

ਪਿੰਡ ਸਾਹਨੇਵਾਲ

ਤਹਿ: ਜਗਰਾਉਂ

ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ

ਮਿਤੀ 20-10-94

ਸਰਕਾਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਵਕੀਲ ਵਲੋਂ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਇਆ ਕੋਈ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋਇਆ

ਕੋਰਟ ਵਲੋਂ ਹੁਕਮ ਹੋਇਆ- ਹਾਜ਼ਰ

ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਸਮਾਪਤ ਹੋਣ ਤੇ ਅਗਲੀ ਤਰੀਖ ਤੇ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਲਈ ਹੁਕਮ ਹੋਇਆ

93 ਦੇ ਮੁਕੱਦਮੇ ਵਿਚ ਸਜ਼ਾ ਸੁਣਾਏ ਜਾਣ ਦੀ ਬਹਿਸ ਹੋਈ

ਹੁਣ ਤੱਕ ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਨੂੰ 9 ਮਹੀਨੇ 8 ਦਿਨ ਦੀ ਕੈਦ ਹੋ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ

ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਦੇ ਵਕੀਲ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਨੂੰ ਸਜ਼ਾ ਹੋ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ

ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਨੂੰ ਹੁਣ ਹੋਰ ਸਜ਼ਾ ਨਾ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ

ਕੋਰਟ ਵਲੋਂ ਹੁਕਮ ਹੋਇਆ ਕਿ ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਨੂੰ 9 ਮਹੀਨੇ 8 ਦਿਨ ਦੀ ਕੈਦ

Encld to SLG
Hanmk.

No Depositions of. 9 2

1 Patel Kari Gowda of Hunsur Town.

2. Abkari Inspector Venkata Rama Iyengar
of Hunsur Taluk.

3 Venkor Anne Gowda of Hunsur

4. municipal Commissioner Madavachar
of Hunsur

5 manegar Sheik Beram

6. Koli Mutsadi Raja Rao

"By order"

Bhavanta Rao

Inspy Darogha.

(10)

No 2

Enclosure

Form 9

(47)

No 3

95

(2) ...

...

...

No. 5

[illegible]

Taluk

1. Petition of Shamannah dated 24/30 ult may 1893.
2. Hgmsw Taluk amildars report no: 177/43 dated 23/30 ult may 1893.
3. Order no: 31 dated 6 ult June 1893.
4. Petition of Shamannah dated 18 ult august 1893 with enclosures one.
5. Order no: 17/15 dated 18 ult august 1893
6. Order no: 15 dated 24 ult august 1893 with enclosures two.
7. Hgmsw Taluk amildars rep no: nil dated 15 ult December 189 with enclosures five.
8. Order no: 23 dated 18 ult December 1893
9. Petition of Shamannah dated 19 ult may 1894 together with order no: 76.
10. Hgmsw Taluk amildars report no: 41/29 dated 2/4 ult June 1894. together with enclosures six.
11. Order no: 43 dated 13 ult June 1894
12. Hgmsw Taluk amildars report no 6 dated 10/12 ult July 1894.

5. Petition of Shamannah, dated 11th July 1894.

12. Do. dated 23rd July 1894.

15. Do. dated 28th August 1894 together with order no: 27 dated 28/8/94

16. Records of enquiry regarding the trades in the previous years. (Consisting of six depositions and two documents, altogether eight).

17. Petition of Shamannah dated 3rd September 1894, with enclosure nil.

18. Order of the Deputy Commissioner regarding the affair.

19. Excise Commissioners no: $\frac{1757}{50}$ dated $\frac{16}{17}$th October 1894.

20. Excise Commissioners no: $\frac{1853}{57}$ dated $\frac{22}{23}$rd October 1894

"By order"

P. S Ananda Rao

Judy Taluqa



Reg. No. 2149
25.10.94

J. No. 1171/30

To The Excise Commr.
in Mysore

Most-Respected sir, Endorsed to
SLG

In Continuation of my appeal petition dated 17th instant I Shamanna, late Toddy Contractor, Hunsur most-respectfully beg to submit the following few lines into your honour's gracians consideration and early disposal of the matter.

Several orders have been passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore in connection with my late contract one contradicting the other and my present heavy loss is entirely to my obeying such orders and finally the Comm.r was pleased not to grant me any remission. A great injustice has been done to me

I therefore humbly beg that your honour will be pleased to call for the entire record in matter and after perusal, pass such orders your honour deems proper.

Pending your honour's decision in the matter, orders may be issued to the authorities concerned for withholding the demand for the amount due by me.

I beg to remain.
Most-Respected sir,
Your most-Obedient & humble servt
Shamanna

22-10-94

Forwarded &
Further Particulars
Explorer Rentals, Mysore
The Office Rs. 1757/50, dated 15th instant
with a request that the Records
Petitioners Copies may be sent
Deputy Commissioner

Rs. 1757/50

Enclosure No 8 (9)

A. Aiyn Farm.

:o:

This agreement is entered into this day of one thousand eight hundered and ninety between Amildar of Taluk in the District of for the time being on behalf of Government on the one part and son of residing at in the Taluk of on the other, for the exclusive privilege of drawing toddy from the Vanam described below and selling the same to the shops also described below for the period of one year, viz:—from the 1st April 1892 to 31st March 1893, both days inclusive.

DESCRIPTION OF THE VANAMS AND SHOPS.

Names of Village and Shops.	Name of Vanam.	Names of Village and Shops.	Name of Vanam.	Remarks.
1.		6.		
2.		7.		
3.		8.		
4.		9.		
5.		10.		

Whereas the said Government of Mysore have agreed to lease to the said the exclusive privilege of vending toddy within the aforesaid shops for one year viz:—from 1st April 1892 to 31st March 1893 both days inclusive. The said hereby engages to pay to the said Government of Mysore a rent of Rs. per annum in 12 equal monthly instalments for the whole period of the contract besides the local cess of one anna per Rupee on the amount of rental, viz:—Rs. on the security of the two approved parties named at the foot of this agreement for the fulfilment of this engagement and to abide by the following conditions, and the Lessee further hereby binds himself, his heirs, executors and representatives by the terms of this agreement.

Conditions.

I. The annual contract amount of Rs. shall be paid by twelve equal monthly instalments together with the prescribed local cess of one anna on every rupee, computed on such contract amount, into the Taluk Treasury on or before the 15th of the month following that for which the payment is due; and interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum shall be paid on all arrears left outstanding on that date. Such arrears shall, if they remain unpaid after the lapse of the month in which they are payable, be recoverable by attachment and sale of the property of the lessee or of his sureties in the manner prescribed by the rules in force for the time being for

the recovery of arrears of land revenue, and it shall be at the discretion of the Deputy Commissioner, with the sanction of the Dewan to declare the lease cancelled, and to resell or retain it under Government management and to recover all outstanding arrears with interest and any losses which may result from such resale or other disposal of the contract, by attachment and sale of the property of the defaulter or of his sureties under the rules in force for the recovery of arrears of land revenue.

II. The usual licenses to retail vendors will be issued in the prescribed form (copy enclosed) by the Deputy Commissioner, and no alteration shall be made by the lessee in the locality or number of the retail shops now opened for sale of toddy, without the express sanction of the Deputy Commissioner. The Deputy Commissioner is competent to order any shops to be closed on grounds of public expediency, and the lessee shall not claim any compensation therefor.

III. Grain, goods, ornaments, wearing apparel or other property should not be received in barter or pawn for toddy.

IV. The lessee shall conform to any additional rules which may be prescribed from time to time by the Government of Mysore.

V. The lessee shall not draw toddy from Inam holdings nor shall he give toddy to shops situated in Inam holdings, as such holdings will be farmed separately.

VI. No toddy shops shall be opened before 6 A. M., and all shops shall be closed at 9 P. M., except when otherwise authorized by the Taluk Magistrate on exceptional occasions. Toddy shall be sold only in shops licensed therefor and not anywhere else.

VII. All shops for the sale of toddy on or adjacent to the line of march shall be closed while a Regiment or Detachment of European Soldiers is passing by or during its temporary halt in the vicinity, and no compensation shall be claimable for loss alleged to have occurred or to have been occasioned thereby, and it shall be lawful for such troops to have their own Abkari Contractor.

VIII. (*a.*) The lessee for the "Aiyn farm" shall obtain his toddy from the grove or groves situated in the villages specified in this agreement and from no other.

(*b.*) If the number of trees in the "Aiyn farm" be more than adequate to supply the shops attached thereto, he shall supply to any other Government farmer at ghalas or seers per Rupee according to existing *mamool*. The grove may at the discretion of government be, if so deemed advisable, sub-divided, so as to allow the toddy to be drawn directly by the Aiyn kurki farm or "Angadi farm" &c., in the manner specified in the *muchalikas*.

(*c.*) Should the Aiyn farm be inadequate to supply the wants of the farmer, he shall be at liberty to make his own arrangements with

private landholders for drawing toddy from trees on their lands and to use such toddy for sale in the shops attached to his farm.

(*d*) He shall not make use of toddy in the grove by sale or otherwise or for the manufacture of jaggory, which shall be carried on in such locality and on such conditions as shall be specified in the *mutchalikas* issued in this behalf.

(*e*) Holders of Government licenses granted for cutting date leaves for mat making, rope making, basket making, or for agricultural purposes shall not be interfered with doing so from trees not actually being tapped for toddy.

IX. The lessee shall keep true and correct accounts showing (1) the names and situation of date topes from which toddy is drawn, (2) the number of trees tapped, (3) the quantity of toddy drawn daily, (4) the quantity of toddy sold daily, and (5) the names of the shops to which toddy is supplied. These accounts shall be open to inspection by the Amildar or any other officer authorized by the Amildar or appointed by the Deputy Commissioner for this purpose, and a copy thereof shall be furnished by the lessee to the Deputy Commissioner or Amildar on application, in such form as may be prescribed by Government.

X. The lessee shall have no claim to compensation or remission on account of any arrangements which may be made by Government in regard to beer or other descriptions of liquor or spirit than toddy. The Dewan reserves to himself the power to terminate the lease on public grounds, awarding a reasonable compensation for the same.

XI. No toddy shall be knowingly sold or given to any European Soldier, Sailor, European or Eurasian woman or child connected with or related to men of those classes or to a sepoy or Policeman when on duty, and any breach of this rule shall render the lessee or the vendor severally or jointly liable to the payment of a penalty of Rs. 50 as well as for the cancelment of the vendor's license or disposal otherwise of the Contract as laid down in condition 1, or in such other manner as may be deemed expedient by Government.

XII. The lessee shall be responsible that his servants or agents are prevented from extracting toddy from young immature date trees, the trunks of which are less than one yard in height from the ground to the springing of the branches, nor shall any leaves be cut and used by them except such as may have to be removed for the purpose of drawing toddy, nor shall any trees be tapped in so careless a manner as to lead to their destruction; and any breach of these rules shall render the lessee liable to the payment of a penalty of Rs. 50 for each offence.

XIII. The rates at which toddy shall be sold to the public undiluted and unadulterated shall be as follows per seer of the capacity of one Imperial Quart, and 24 thereof shall go to one Ghada.

	Prices per Seer.	
	Maximum.	Minimum.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
In the Taluk Kasbas of the District	0 1 3	0 0 10
In the Villages of	0 1 0	0 0 8

XIV. The Lessee shall pay the usual mohatarfa tax on carts used for conveying toddy, but such carts shall not be impressed for government work.

XV. The Lessee shall convey all his toddy on permits issued by an officer of government appointed in this behalf, and the conditions laid down therein shall be strictly adhered to by all the parties concerned.

XVI. The Lessee shall employ only professional tap-men to whom a license will be issued and the conditions thereof shall be strictly observed by both parties.

In witness whereof Amildar of Taluk for the time being, and the said have hereto set their hands and seals this day of in the year one thousand eight hundred and at Taluk Kutchery.

(Signed)

Amildar, *Taluk.*

Toddy Contractor.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of

Witness

1

2

We residing at do hereby bind ourselves to stand security in the sum of Rs. severally and jointly for the due fulfilment of the above mentioned contract, entered into by the Lessee with the Government of Mysore.

(Signed)

Witness

1

2.

Securities.

Memo.

Enclosure to
Sl. 9

1 As notified in Government Notification no 8372 / R.F. 210-92 dated 5th December 1892 the exclusive privilege of selling date toddy in the various gamas of Hambantota Taluk were sold on the 22nd [illegible] 1893. This notification did not mention the rates at which toddy should be sold, but clause IV of Para 7 runs as follows: —

The toddy should be sold to the public at the rates — prescribed by Government.

2 Notification no: 6828-36 / R.F. 210-92 dated 7th March 1893 fixing the rates at which toddy should be sold for 1893-94 was received in this office on the 22nd March 1893 and was thereafter communicated to the Aumildars for guidance.

3 Shanmuah who was the Contractor for 1893-94 of the Farms of ——— Hmsuw, Malalwadi and Gattikanal. petitioned on 26/30 may 1893 complaining of the rates prescribed in the Notification and praying that the rates that had existed at the time of the sale may be allowed to stand or a proportionate deduction in his Arrack amount may be made.

The petition also said that the petitioner should be allowed to vend toddy in the shops within two or three miles of the Head quarters at the same rate as prevailed in the shops at the quarters or at a price less than it and that otherwise he would be subjected to loss.

4 On the same day report no: 1771/43 dated 29/30 may 1893 from the Amildar of Hmsuw was received in this office. The Amildar stated that in the previous years toddy was being sold as follows: ———

	masc.	fmin.
In Hmsuw. —	" " 10	" " 9
Badamakuppal —	" " 9	" " 8
K. Malalwadi —	" " 9	" " 8
Kalla Betta —	" " 9	" " 8
Gattikanal —	" " 9	" " 8

and that as per current notification the rates had been reduced to.

	max.	min
Arrack	4-4-10	4-4-6
Villages	4-4-6	4-4-4

and that Shanmugah complained to him saying that he took up the Contract in the belief that the rates in the previous years remained unaltered.

He recommended therefore that the former rates may be allowed to stand and the contractor allowed to vend toddy at the rates of the preceding years in anticipation of sanction

6 Order no 31 dated 6th June 1893 was issued stating that from the references available (vide margin) the rates in the notification no: 1482 8-36 / 210-92 dated 7th March 1893 under reference were the

5

× Office note—
The rates alluded to were not specified. Neither did he refer to quote any references to shew what the rates were for 1892-93 The sales for this year were conducted by the Special Officers.

* (1) Notification no: 84 dated 21st March 1883 for the years 1883 to 1887
(2)- Do dated 4th January 1887 from 1887 to 1890
(3) - Do dated 25th Jan 1890 for 1890 to 1892.

the same as those of the preceding years, and that it was inexpedient therefore to recommend for the alteration of the rates fixed by Government. The petition of Shamanah was sent as enclosure to this order.

7 Again on the 18th August 1893 Shamanah petitioned the then Deputy Commissioner stating that when he bade in the sales for 1893-94 the rates in existance then would be allowed to stand since then have been altered he would be subjected to a loss of Rs 400 or Rs 500 a month. He prayed therefore that either the rates that existed at the time of the sales should be allowed to stand or a proportionate remission should be made.

8 If neither of the (1) sanctioned the bab may be taken away from him.

9 On this petition Mr. Mahamad ali took a deposition from the petitioner to the effect that his bid was based on the rates prevailing at the time of sales, and the reduction of the rates recently ordered meant decrease of revenue to him by half the amount and therefore if the reduced rates were to prevail, a reduction should be made in the jama amount or the rates at the time of sale must be allowed to stand.

10 These were sent to the Amildar of Hansot with order no: 17 of the same date who was called upon to report with all the references — available

available about the sales for the years 1880 to 1893 and state his own opinion thereon.

11 Four days afterwards that is on the 22nd August 1893 the petition of Shamaiah to the Dewan of Mysore containing the same prayer was received with Excise Commr's memorandum no: 659/26 dated 19 August 1893. This was also sent by Mr. Mahammad Ali with his order no 15 of the 24th idem to the Amildar of Arsikere, for early report together with the references on the subject.

12 The Amildar on the 15th of December 1893 submitted his report (no number/Reg. no 12) dated 12th Dec: 1893 and explained matters in person to the Dy Commissioner.

This report says that from the references (Copies of applications from the various Contractors for retail licenses) forming enclosures hereto that the rates prevailing up to 1891-92 were for —

	max.	min.
Amritsar	" " 10	" " 9
Other 4 villages	" " 9	" " 8

13 In the Dastchalikas for 1892-93 the rates were:—

	max.	min
Amritsar —	" 1-3	" " 10
Other villages —	" 1-a	" " 8

~~A blank form B is also~~ ~~produced showing~~ the rates at which villages ~~to be sold for 1892-93~~ a Blank form enclosed was to be sold for 1892-93. ~~and~~ which also the notifications of sales for 1893-94 did not contain any special para and it was not possible to explain to the intending bidders at the time of the change in the rates subsequently ordered to be brought to force.

Note of Note
No orders of Govt sanctioning these rates have been cited

Names explained by the amendment that no spirit was mentioned in this matter from this office the rates were varied from time to time imposed in the licenses granted for Kanki farms.
~~Yours~~ Vide

Beales said that the new rates ~~were~~ had already
~~The latter order has caused~~
some losses to the Contractors & that
~~Beales~~ he ~~was~~ would recommend of the rates of
the previous years to be
continued for the current
Contract.

No separate report
was made by him ~~in~~ to Govt
No: 15 dated 24th August 1893.

14 & Lastly - Considering
the above references it was
found that by Government
order Camp No: 275-76 dated
14th June 1889, the rate
Sanctioned in the Darwar's
Proceedings Camp No:
1443-93 dated 17th Nov
1885 were made applicable
to the Contract of the
period and to future
bidding Contracts also.

15 According to this
the rates are: ——

	max.	min
Hubli —	" " 10	" " 6
other villages —	" " 8	" " 4

Order no: 23 ~~dated~~ 18th Dec 1893 was issued to the Auditor stating that the old rates may be allowed to continue.

16 In docket no: 3486-98/171 dated 17th March 1894 the Excise Commissioner requested to be informed if the retail rates of date Toddy sanctioned in Govt. Proceedings no: 14837/R.F 210-92 dated 7th March 1893 required revision and if so what rates would be proposed by the Department

17 In reply the rates ~~proposed~~ mentioned in the ~~statement~~ enclosed in ~~proceedings~~ this office no: 1575 dated 24/26th March 1894 were proposed. A revised Scale of rates was sanctioned as per Notification no: 17066 R. 210-92 dated 10th April 1894, but this has been made applicable for 1894-95 alone.

Again on the 19/20th May 1894
Shamannah petitioned
the Dy Commr to the effect
that as per orders of the
Dy Commr in No: 23 dated
16th December 1893, the taluk
officer issued an endorse-
ment No: 25 dated 20th Dec
1893 authorising him to
sell toddy in

	Toddy	Arrack
Hunsur	1-1-3	0-0-10
Villages	0-1-0	0-0-8

That as he was selling
at the reduced rates from
the beginning till the above
order reached him, he
prayed that a proportionate
amount for that period
may be ordered to be
remitted to him, and
the Taluk amildar may
be instructed to recover
the Amount due after
deducting this Amount.

If the petitioner was
directed in order no 75
dated 21st May 1894 that

that he ought to be present
his case before the Amildar
of the Taluk.

19 Shamannah petitioned
the Amildar of Honsur
Taluk on 30th may 1894
stating that he had
suffered loss on account
of the reduction of the
rates and stating all
the facts described above,
and concluded by
saying that the amount of
loss to him should be
deducted from his
dues and repaid to him,
what remained there-
after from the deposit
amount and also en-
closed the following
petition

20 Petition of Shamannah
to the Excise Commissioner
dated 23rd november 1893
stating that he was
suffering from the
reduction

reduction of the rates ordered
in Notification no: 1706 R.
210-92 dated 10th April 1894
that a proportionate re-
duction should be made
in this Izara amount
and the rate must be
raised to what they were
at the time of sales or
orders may be issued
to the Collector to recover
what becomes due after
deducting a proportionate
sum from his Izara
Amount on account
of the reduction in the
rates.

21 The Excise Commr's
order no: 1066 dated 27th
November 1893 to the
petitioner simply
states that the rates
mentioned by the
petitioner were not
in existence at the time
of sale and was asked
to produce if there were

were any references in
support of his statement

The auildar forwarded the above petition together with enclosures with his report no: 41 dated 2 June 1894 wherein he simply gives a resume of the facts of the case without offering any opinion regarding the reduction in the Jagir amount prayed for by the petitioner.

To this order no: 43 dated 13th June 1894 was issued to the auildar saying that as it had come to the notice of the Darbar that the petitioner was pending bidding at the old rate even before the receipt of the order referred to by him

him there could have
been no reason for
loss to him and so nothing
could be done to him

24 Shamanthi
claims for
remissions
are based
on the following
grounds.

In the license
form B issued
in 1892 & 93 as alleged by the
maximum fee
for Salagrama was
~~collected only~~
annas 1 & 3 pies &
for villages Kasaman
one anna &
minimum 8 pies
& when he took
up the contract
for Hunsur & Kattia
Kalalvadi &
Thillakeri for 1893/94

he was under the
impression that
these rates were
in force but
within the last fortnight
V.P.W.R. was forced
to inform him
April, he learnt
that the Assessment
rates for salary
Nasaba area 10 per
& that of villages
6 per

25 On this representation
the Sy: Com: sanctioned
the Assessment
rate of 1 anna 3 per
in December 23 for
remainder. His As his
contract period
extended to June 24,
he could have sold
goddy at the
enhanced rate
but from his own

Jackson & 14/6/54
& the statements
of contractors
& sellers of L.
Reservoir Yes.
clearly show
that to-day
was sold
at any time
for a higher
price than
to price. at Hunns.
this failed to
sell at higher
price than to
price recommended
the sale of
Lands 3 price
was sanctioned,
it should be
presumed
that he could
not have
done so even

if this Board
had any power
to
[illegible] the Commissioners
Court. As
the Museum
Scale was as
in the Statutes
& as his sales
at Museum
[illegible] yearly
this year, he
claim for
[illegible]
on account
that he
is [illegible] a
[illegible]

As for Kattia
Eulalia and
Thatcheria
the Estuarine
species were
scarce — 6 specs
in — 4 specs
according to
entries in
the Diaries from B
for 1892-93,
were — 1 among
them — 8 specs.

December 93, thirty:
one: sunlit and
clear, plates on
representations
on the engravings
of, it appears
that in these two
days, today and
Dec. 8 specs from

Enclosure to

from 20th December 1893.

As the maximum was only 6 pies according to notification, the difference of 2 pies for 8 months and 20 days may be made good to him.

According to his own accounts the sale for Tattikaval for the period realized Rs 503-4-a

He will therefore be entitled to get Rs 167-12-a if the extra rate of 8 pies is conceded to him for that period

30. As for Kattai Malalwadi he sublet his Shop in September 1893 for Rs 75 and the amount the Contractor had to pay to Government was Rs 74-6-a per month

Therefore his claim for increased rate should be calculated only for 5 months from April to

to august.

31 His realization for this period according to his own account was Rs 180-9-6 He will have to get Rs 60-3-2 if the excess rate of 8 pies is conceded to him for this period

The total amount that will have to be recommended for remission will be Rs ~~240-12-8~~ 227-15-2

32. If approved, an endorsement will be given to this effect to the Petitioner and necessary recommendation made to the Excise Commissioner

24/9/54

Approved - submit a
report to Ex. Comm

24/9.

Ex. Ex. 1/11/15

Ex. Ex. 893/

Ex. Ex. Ex.

ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਨੇ 24 ਦੀ ਕਾਲੀ ਅਤੇ ਚਿੱਟੀ ਰੰਗ ਦੀ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਜਿਸ ਦਾ ਇੰਜਣ ਨੰਬਰ

ਅਤੇ ਚੈਸੀ ਨੰਬਰ ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਵੇਚੀ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਮੁਦਈ ਨੇ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ

ਕੁਝ ਰਕਮ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਦੀ ਡਲਿਵਰੀ ਵੇਲੇ ਅਤੇ ਕੁਝ ਰਕਮ ਬਾਅਦ ਵਿੱਚ ਦੇਣੀ ਤੈਅ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ

ਅਤੇ ਮੁਦਈ ਨੇ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਦੀ ਡਲਿਵਰੀ ਦੇ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ 1 ਨੇ ਮੁਦਈ ਨੂੰ

ਕੁਝ ਰਕਮ ਦੇ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਕੁਝ ਰਕਮ ਦੇਣ ਤੋਂ ਟਾਲ ਮਟੋਲ ਕਰਦਾ ਰਿਹਾ ਅਤੇ ਮੁਦਈ ਨੇ

ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ 1 ਤੋਂ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਦੀ ਬਾਕੀ ਰਕਮ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਤਾਂ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ 1 ਨੇ

ਮੁਦਈ ਨੂੰ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਵਾਪਸ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਅਤੇ ਮੁਦਈ ਨੇ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਵਾਪਸ ਲੈ ਲਿਆ ਅਤੇ

ਮੁਦਈ ਨੇ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਦੀ ਰਕਮ ਵਾਪਸ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਮੁਦਾਲਾ ਨੰਬਰ 1 ਨੇ ਮੁਦਈ ਨੂੰ

ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਦੀ ਰਕਮ ਵਾਪਸ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਸੀ

ਸਾਲ ਵਿਚ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਸਕੀਮ ਅਧੀਨ ਕਰਜ਼ਾ ਲੈਣ ਦੀ ਇਜਾਜ਼ਤ ਦੇ ਸਕਦੇ ਹਨ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਆਮਦਨ ਰੁਪਏ 10,000/- ਤੋਂ ਵੱਧ ਨਾ ਹੋਵੇ ਅਤੇ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਰੁਪਏ 5

(A)

31

20481

Call the report
Received in
Accounts Section
Rec'd
Dated

INDIA COURT FEE INDIA

MYSORE

TWELVE ANNAS

INDIA COURT FEE INDIA

MYSORE

TWELVE ANNAS

Stamps Rupees two

(13)

367

5-8-93

ਸਾਰਥਕ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੀ ਸਿਰਜਣਾ ਲਈ ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਜ ਨਾਲ ਜੁੜਿਆ ਹੋਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ

ਸਾਹਿਤ ਸਮਾਜ ਦਾ ਦਰਪਣ ਹੁੰਦਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਦਾ ਅੰਗ ਹੁੰਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਿਚਰਦਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਵਿਤ ਹੁੰਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿਚ ਵਾਪਰਦੀਆਂ ਘਟਨਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੀ ਰਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ।

Enclosure to

Petn No 268

Mysore Dewan's Office

Petition Department

Official Memorandum

Received 22.8.93

The accompanying Petition No 367 dated 4th August 1893, received at the Dewan's office from Shamannah & Honnur, Mysore District, praying for permission to sell Toddy at the old rates is forwarded together with its one enclosure, to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, for disposal and endorsement to Petitioner.

Req. No. 15-36 21.8.93

Bangalore
Dt 15th/17th August 1893

"By order"
/Signed/ M. Narain Rao
for Chief Secretary

21 Aug
J. Saroja
Bring up

No. 659 (Circ 2) / 20

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, Dated 19th August 1893

Official Memorandum

Copy of the foregoing together with the

the original Petition (to be returned), is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, for early report on the points alleged by the Petitioner

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

M. C.

6/2

Encl No

23/3466

2-8-93

5

No. 17 / 1880-93 Encl. to Slg

15/12/1893

Submitted
16/12/1893

[illegible]

Le '&2·9

B. Aiyn Kumki Farm.

——:o:——

This agreement is entered into this day of one thousand eight hundred and ninety between Amildar of Taluk in the District of for the time being on behalf of Government on the one part and son of residing at in the Taluk of on the other, for the exclusive privilege of drawing toddy from the Vanams described below and selling the same to the shops also described below for the period of one year, viz:—from the 1st April 1892 to 31st March 1893, both days inclusive.

DESCRIPTION OF THE VANAMS AND SHOPS.

Names of Village and Shops.	Name of Vanam.	Names of Village and shops.	Name of Vanam.	Remarks.
1.		6.		
2.		7.		
3.		8.		
4.		9.		
5.		10.		

Whereas the said Government of Mysore have agreed to lease to the said the exclusive privilege of vending toddy within the aforesaid shops for one year viz:—from 1st April 1892 to 31st March 1893, both days inclusive. The said hereby engages to pay to the said Government of Mysore a rent of Rs. per annum in 12 equal monthly instalments for the whole period of the contract besides the local cess of one anna per Rupee on the amount of rental viz:—Rs. on the security of the two approved parties named at the foot of this agreement for the fulfilment of this engagement and to abide by the following conditions, and the Lessee further hereby binds himself, his heirs, executors and representatives by the terms of this agreement.

Conditions.

I. The annual contract amount of Rupees shall be paid by twelve equal monthly instalments together with the prescribed local cess of one anna on every rupee, computed on such contract amount, into the Taluk Treasury on or before the 15th of the month following that for which the payment is due; and interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum shall be paid on all arrears left outstanding on that date. Such arrears shall, if they remain unpaid after the lapse of the month in which they are payable, be recoverable by attachment and sale of the property of the lessee or of his sureties in the manner prescribed by the rules in force for

the time being for the recovery of arrears of land revenue, and it shall be at the discretion of the Deputy Commissioner, with the sanction of the Dewan to declare the lease cancelled, and to resell or retain it under Government management and to recover all outstanding arrears with interest and any losses which may result from such resale or other disposal of the contract, by attachment and sale of the property of the defaulter or of his sureties under the rules in force for the recovery of arrears of land revenue.

II. The usual licenses to retail vendors will be issued in the prescribed form (copy enclosed) by the Deputy Commissioner, and no alteration shall be made by the lessee in the locality or number of the retail shops now opened for sale of toddy, without the express sanction of the Deputy Commissioner. The Deputy Commissioner is competent to order any shops to be closed on grounds of public expediency, and the lessee shall not claim any compensation therefor.

III. Grain, goods, ornaments, wearing apparel or other property should not be received in barter or pawn for toddy.

IV. The lessee shall conform to any additional rules which may be prescribed from time to time by the Government of Mysore.

V. The lessee shall not draw toddy from Inam holdings nor shall he give toddy to shops situated in Inam holdings, as such holdings will be farmed separately.

VI. No toddy shops shall be opened before 6 A. M., and all shops shall be closed at 9 P. M, except when otherwise authorized by the Taluk Magistrate on exceptional occasions, and all toddy drawn shall be sold in such shops and not elsewhere.

VII. All shops for the sale of toddy on or adjacent to the line of march shall be closed while a Regiment or Detachment of European Soldiers is passing by or during its temporary halt in the vicinity, and no compensation shall be claimable for loss alleged to have occurred or to have been occasioned thereby, and it shall be lawful for such troops to have their own Abkari Contractor.

VIII. The lessee of the "Aiyn Kumki" farm shall sell his toddy to another Government farmer at the *mamool* rate prevailing in the locality viz :- at Ghadas per Rupee or if so desired, Government shall divide the grove or groves so as to admit of the required supply of the "Aiyn Kumki" "Shop farm" or other class of farms being tapped and removed from the trees assigned therefor.

IX. The lessee shall keep true and correct accounts, showing (1) the names and situation of date topes from which toddy is drawn, (2) the number of trees tapped, (3) the quantity of toddy drawn daily, (4) the quantity of toddy sold daily, and (5) the names of the shops to which toddy is supplied. These accounts shall be open to inspection by the

Amildar or any other officer nominated by the Amildar or appointed by the Deputy Commissioner for this purpose, and a copy therof shall be furnished by the lessee to the Deputy Commissioner or Amildar on application, in such form as may be prescribed by Government.

X. The lessee shall have no claim to compensation or remission on account of any arrangements which may be made by Government in regard to beer or other descriptions of liquor or spirit than toddy. The Dewan reserves to himself the power to terminate the lease on public grounds, awarding a reasonable compensation for the same.

XI. No toddy shall be knowingly sold or given to any European Soldier, Sailor, European or Eurasian woman or child connected with or related to men of those classes or to a sepoy or Policeman when on duty, and any breach of this rule shall render the lessee or the vendor severally or jointly liable to the payment of a penalty of Rs. 50, as well as for the cancelment of the vendor's license, or otherwise dispose of the contract in the manner laid down in condition I, or in such other way as Government may deem fit to direct.

XII. The lessee shall be responsible that his servants or agents are prevented from extracting toddy from young immature date trees, the trunks of which are less than one yard in height from the ground to the springing of the branches, nor shall any leaves be cut and used by them except such as may have to be removed for the purpose of drawing toddy, nor shall any trees be tapped in so careless a manner as to lead to their destruction: and any breach of these rules shall render the lessee liable to the payment of a penalty of Rs. 50. for each offence.

XIII. The rates at which toddy shall be sold to the public undiluted and unadulterated shall be as follows per seer of the capacity of one Imperial Quart, and 24 thereof shall go to one Ghada.

	Prices per Seer.	
	Maximum.	Minimum.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
In the Taluk Kasabas of the District ...	0 1 5	0 0 10
In the Villages of	0 1 0	0 0 8

XIV. The Lessee shall pay the usual mohatarfa tax on carts used for conveying toddy, but such carts shall not be impressed for government work.

XV. The Lessee shall convey all his toddy on permits issued by an officer of government appointed in this behalf, and the conditions laid down therein shall be strictly adhered to by all the parties concerned.

XVI. The Lessee shall employ only professional tap-men to whom a license will be issued and the conditions thereof shall be strictly observed by both parties.

In witness whereof Amildar of Taluk for the time being, and the said have hereto set their hands and seals this day of in the year one thousand eight hundred and at Taluk Kutchery.

(Signed)

Amildar, *Taluk,*

Toddy Contractor.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of

Witness

1

We residing at do hereby bind ourselves to stand security in the sum of Rs. severally and jointly for the due fulfilment of the above mentioned contract, entered into by the Lessee with the Government of Mysore.

(Signed)

Witness

1

2

Securities.

Encl. No 219

A. ಏಕ್ ಇಜಾರೆ.

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ವಿವರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕೈಂದಿ ವನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಂದಿ ಉತಾರ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ದುರ್ಕಾತ್‌ಗಳಿಗೆ 1892 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ವಿಲ್ಸನ್ (ಗುರಿ) ಲಾಗಾಯಿತು 1893 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮಾರ್ಚಿ 31 ಮಿನುಹು ಸದರಿ ಕೈಂದಿಯನ್ನು ಬಿಕರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸುವ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಮುಚಿಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮುಚಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೆ ಡಿ ಸ್ಪೆನ್ಸರ್ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರು ಮತ್ತು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿರುವ ಪರಸ್ಪರ ಒಡಂಬಟ್ಟು ಒಂದು ಸಾವಿರದ ಎಂಟನೂರ ತೊಂಭತ್ತು ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ 15 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂ

ವನಗಳ ಮತ್ತು ದುರ್ಕಾತ್‌ಗಳ ವಿವರ.

ಗ್ರಾಮ ಮತ್ತು ದುರ್ಕಾತ್‌ಗಳ ಹೆಸರು.	ವನದ ಹೆಸರು.	ಗ್ರಾಮ ಮತ್ತು ದುರ್ಕಾತ್‌ಗಳ ಹೆಸರು.	ವನದ ಹೆಸರು.
1		6	
2		7	
3		8	
4		9	
5		10	

1892 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ವಿಲ್ಸನ್ 1ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಲಾಗಾಯಿತು 1893ನೇ ದಿನಗಳೂ ಸೇರಿ) ಮೇಲೆ ವಿವರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ದುರ್ಕಾತ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಂದಿ ಬಿಕರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಸೂರು ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನವರು ಮೇಲೆ ನಮೂದಾದ ನಾದ ದುವದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ನಾದ ನಾನು ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲ ಜಾರೆ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಸದರಿ ಇಜಾರೆ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಬಾಬತು ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಒ ಏ ಒಂದೇ ಸಮನಾದ ಹನ್ನೆರಡು ಮಾಹೇಯಾನಾ ಖಿಸ್ತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರಾ ಮೊಬಲ ಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ ; ಈ ಒಡಂಬಡಿಕೆಗೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ನಡದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ವ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ನಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಈ ಒಡಂಬಡಿಕೆ ಕೆಳಿಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂ ಮಿರ್ಜಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇಜಾರ್‌ದಾರನಾದ ನಾನು, ನನ್ನ ವಾರಸ್‌ದಾರು) ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ನಡದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧರಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು.

I. ಸಾಲೀಯಾನ ಇಜಾರೆ ಮೊಬಲಗಿಗೆ ರೂಪಾಯಿ 1ಕ್ಕೆ 1 ಆಣ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಆಗುವ ಲೋಕಲ್ ಸೆಸ್ಸನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸದರಿ ಇಜಾರೆ ಮೊಬಲಗು 12893- ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಖಿಸ್ತು ಬಾಕಿ ಆಗುವ ತಿಂಗಳ ಮಾರಣೆ ತಿಂಗಳು 15 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿನಸವಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿಯಾಗಲಿ ಇಜಾರೆದಾರನು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಖಜಾನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹೇಯಾನಾ ಒಂದೇ ಸಮನಾದ ಹನ್ನೆರಡು ಖಿಸ್ತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಸದರಿ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕೆ ತಮಾಂ ಬಾಕಿಗಳಿಗೂ ಶೇಕಡ ಸಾಲೀಯಾನ 6ರೂಪಾಯಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಸದರಿ ಬಾಕಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಪಾವತಿ ಆಗಬೇಕಾದ ತಿಂಗಳುಗಳೆದ ಮೇಲೂ ಪಾವತಿ ಆಗದೆ ನಿಂತರೆ ಜವಾರ್ಮಾ ಕಂದಾಯದ ಬಾಕಿ ವಸೂಲಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಹಾಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ರೂಲ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಧಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಇಜಾರೆದಾರನ ಯಾ ಆತನ ಜಾಮೀರ್ದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತುಜಪ್ತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಹರಾಜು ಮೂಲಕ ವಸೂಲಾಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು, ಮತ್ತು ದಿವಾನ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯದೆ ಅಥವಾ ಇಜಾರೆ ರದ್ದುಮಾಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಇಜಾರೆಯನ್ನು

XIII. ನೀರು ಹುಲ್ಲು ಇತರ ಪದಾರ್ಥವನ್ನು ಬೆರಸದೆ ಕೇಂದಿಯನ್ನು ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಇದರಷ್ಟು ಕಂಪ ದರದಂತೆ ಮಾರ ಬೇಕು. ಸೇರು ಅಂದರೆ ಇಂಪೀರಿಯಲ್ ಕ್ವಾರ್ಟ್ಸ್ ಅಂಥಾದ್ದು 24 ಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಪೂಡ.

	ದರ ಸೇರು 1ಕ್ಕೆ. ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ದರ. ರಷ್ಯ. ಆ. ಪೈ.	ಕಮ್ಮಿ ದರ. ರೂ. ಆ. ಪೈ.
ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟಿನ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸಬೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ...	1—3	—11
ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ...	1—1	—8

XIV. ಇಜಾರೇದಾರನು ಕೇಂದಿ ಸಾಗಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸುವ ಗಾಡಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾಮೂಲು ಮೇರೆ ಗಾಡಿ ಕೆಂದಾಯ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಆದರೆ ಅಂಥಾ ಗಾಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟೇ ಹಿಡಿಯಕೂಡದು.

XV. ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ನೇಮಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಆಫೀಸರ್‌ನಿಂದ ರಹದಾರಿ ಪಡದು ಅನಂತರ ಕೇಂದಿಯನ್ನ ಒಂದು ಸ್ಥಳದಿಂದ ಮತ್ತೊಂದು ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಗಿಸಬಹುದು. ಮತ್ತು ಸದರಿ ರಹದಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿನ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪವೂ ತಪ್ಪದಂತೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಜನಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

XVI. ಇಜಾರೆದಾರನು ನೇಮಕಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದ್ದು ಕಸಬುದಾರರಾದ ಕೇಂದಿ ಉತ್ತಾರುದಾರರನ್ನೇ ಹೊರ್ತು ಇತರರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಕೂಡದು, ಉತ್ತಾರುದಾರನಿಗೆ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸು ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತೆ. ಅದರ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಉಭಯತ್ತರು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪವು ತಪ್ಪದಂತೆ ನಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಸದ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರರಾದ
ರೂ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ
ಟನೂರು ತೊಂಭತ್ತು
ನೇ ಇಸವಿ
ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ
ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವದಸ್ಕೂರ್ ಸೈನು ಸೊಹರು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರ್.

ಕೇಂದಿ ಇಜಾರೆದಾರ್.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು.

1ನೇ
2ನೇ

ಈ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ರೂಬರೂವ್ ಈ ಮುಚ್ಚಳಿಕೆಯು ಸೈನ್ ಮೊಹರಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನವರೊಡನೆ ಇಜಾರೆದಾರನಾದ
ಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ಮೇಲೆ ನಮೂದಾದ ಒಡಂಬಡಿಕೆಗೆ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ಇಜಾರೆದಾರನು ನಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕಬಗ್ಗೆ
ಸ್ಥಳದ ವಾಸಸ್ಥರಾದ
ಎಂಬ ನಾವು
ಗಳು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿಯೂ ಸಮಷ್ಟಿಯಾಗಿಯೂ 30/2-4 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಜಾಮೀನಾಗಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧರಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು

1ನೇ
2ನೇ

ಜಾಮೀನುದಾರರು.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಶ್ರೀ

ವಿಷಯ : ಸಾಲ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ : ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಲು ವಿಷಾದಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಶಾಖಾ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥಾಪಕರು

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಎಸ್‌ಎಸ್‌ಎಲ್‌ಸಿ/ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ/ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ/೨೦೨೩-೨೪

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ವಿಷಾದಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಕಳೆದ ೧೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪ್ರೌಢಶಾಲೆ, ಹೊಸಹಳ್ಳಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯ ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರ ಹುದ್ದೆಯಿಂದ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೩೧-೦೫-೨೦೨೩ ರಂದು ನಿವೃತ್ತಿ ಹೊಂದುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕರಿಸಿದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಸಹೋದ್ಯೋಗಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರಿಗೆ, ಶಾಲಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಪದಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ, ಪೋಷಕರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೃದಯಪೂರ್ವಕ ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳು.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,
(ಸಹಿ)
ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀದೇವಿ ಹಿರೇಮಠ
ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಹೊಸಹಳ್ಳಿ
ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೦-೧೨-೪೩

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ವಿಷಾದಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಕಳೆದ ೧೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪ್ರೌಢಶಾಲೆ, ಹೊಸಹಳ್ಳಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯ ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರ ಹುದ್ದೆಯಿಂದ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೩೧-೦೫-೨೦೨೩ ರಂದು ನಿವೃತ್ತಿ ಹೊಂದುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕರಿಸಿದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಸಹೋದ್ಯೋಗಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರಿಗೆ, ಶಾಲಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಪದಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ, ಪೋಷಕರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೃದಯಪೂರ್ವಕ ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳು.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,
(ಸಹಿ)
ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀದೇವಿ ಹಿರೇಮಠ
ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರು

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಹೊಸಹಳ್ಳಿ
ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೦-೧೨-೪೩

Enrolment

ساوه - ۱۶۹۴ - ۹ - ۶ مرکز ثبت اسناد و املاک

دادخواست: مرتضی ... فرزند ... به طرفیت ...

خواندگان: ۱- ... ۲- ... ۳- ...

خواسته: الزام خواندگان به تنظیم سند رسمی انتقال ششدانگ یک باب خانه واقع در ساوه، محله سنگ‌تراشان، کوچه نهم، پلاک ۱۴، به شماره ثبت ۲۱۰۵ و ۲۱۰۶ ساوه، و در صورت امتناع، صدور حکم به قائم‌مقامی دادگاه.

ارزش خواسته: ۴۸۰۰۰۰۰۰ ریال

دلایل و منضمات دادخواست:

۱- سند عادی مورخ ۱۵ آبان ۱۳۹۰ حاکی از خرید ششدانگ ملک به مبلغ ۴۸۰۰۰۰۰۰ ریال

۲- رسید پرداخت مبلغ ۴۸۰۰۰۰۰۰ ریال به شماره ۷۷۱۲ مورخ ۱۵ آبان ۱۳۹۰

۳- گواهی امضای ذیل سند عادی از دفتر اسناد رسمی شماره ۱۲ ساوه

۴- استشهاد محلی مورخ ۲ دی ۱۳۹۳ مشتمل بر شهادت سه نفر از همسایگان مبنی بر تصرف خواهان و پرداخت ثمن

۵- ... برگ

۶- ... برگ

۷- ... برگ

۸- ... برگ

۹- ... برگ

۱۰- ... برگ

۱۱- ... برگ

۱۲- ... برگ

۱۳- ... برگ

۱۴- ... برگ

۱۵- ... برگ

۱۶- ... برگ

۱۷- ... برگ

۱۸- ... برگ

۱۹- ... برگ

۲۰- ... برگ

۲۱- ... برگ

۲۲- ... برگ

۲۳- ... برگ

۲۴- ... برگ

۲۵- ... برگ

۲۶- ... برگ

۲۷- ... برگ

۲۸- ... برگ

۲۹- ... برگ

۳۰- ... برگ

۳۱- ... برگ

۳۲- ... برگ

۳۳- ... برگ

۳۴- ... برگ

۳۵- ... برگ

۳۶- ... برگ

۳۷- ... برگ

۳۸- ... برگ

۳۹- ... برگ

۴۰- ... برگ

۴۱- ... برگ

۴۲- ... برگ

۴۳- ... برگ

۴۴- ... برگ

۴۵- ... برگ

۴۶- ... برگ

۴۷- ... برگ

۴۸- ... برگ

۴۹- ... برگ

۵۰- ... برگ

۵۱- ... برگ

۵۲- ... برگ

۵۳- ... برگ

۵۴- ... برگ

۵۵- ... برگ

۵۶- ... برگ

۵۷- ... برگ

۵۸- ... برگ

۵۹- ... برگ

۶۰- ... برگ

۶۱- ... برگ

۶۲- ... برگ

۶۳- ... برگ

۶۴- ... برگ

۶۵- ... برگ

۶۶- ... برگ

۶۷- ... برگ

۶۸- ... برگ

۶۹- ... برگ

۷۰- ... برگ

۷۱- ... برگ

۷۲- ... برگ

۷۳- ... برگ

۷۴- ... برگ

۷۵- ... برگ

۷۶- ... برگ

۷۷- ... برگ

۷۸- ... برگ

۷۹- ... برگ

۸۰- ... برگ

۸۱- ... برگ

۸۲- ... برگ

۸۳- ... برگ

۸۴- ... برگ

۸۵- ... برگ

۸۶- ... برگ

۸۷- ... برگ

۸۸- ... برگ

۸۹- ... برگ

۹۰- ... برگ

۹۱- ... برگ

۹۲- ... برگ

۹۳- ... برگ

۹۴- ... برگ

۹۵- ... برگ

۹۶- ... برگ

۹۷- ... برگ

۹۸- ... برگ

۹۹- ... برگ

۱۰۰- ... برگ

۱۰۱- ... برگ

۱۰۲- ... برگ

۱۰۳- ... برگ

۱۰۴- ... برگ

۱۰۵- ... برگ

۱۰۶- ... برگ

۱۰۷- ... برگ

۱۰۸- ... برگ

۱۰۹- ... برگ

۱۱۰- ... برگ

۱۱۱- ... برگ

۱۱۲- ... برگ

۱۱۳- ... برگ

۱۱۴- ... برگ

۱۱۵- ... برگ

۱۱۶- ... برگ

۱۱۷- ... برگ

۱۱۸- ... برگ

۱۱۹- ... برگ

۱۲۰- ... برگ

۱۲۱- ... برگ

۱۲۲- ... برگ

۱۲۳- ... برگ

۱۲۴- ... برگ

۱۲۵- ... برگ

۱۲۶- ... برگ

۱۲۷- ... برگ

۱۲۸- ... برگ

۱۲۹- ... برگ

۱۳۰- ... برگ

۱۳۱- ... برگ

۱۳۲- ... برگ

۱۳۳- ... برگ

۱۳۴- ... برگ

۱۳۵- ... برگ

۱۳۶- ... برگ

۱۳۷- ... برگ

۱۳۸- ... برگ

۱۳۹- ... برگ

۱۴۰- ... برگ

۱۴۱- ... برگ

۱۴۲- ... برگ

۱۴۳- ... برگ

۱۴۴- ... برگ

۱۴۵- ... برگ

۱۴۶- ... برگ

۱۴۷- ... برگ

۱۴۸- ... برگ

۱۴۹- ... برگ

۱۵۰- ... برگ

۱۵۱- ... برگ

۱۵۲- ... برگ

۱۵۳- ... برگ

۱۵۴- ... برگ

۱۵۵- ... برگ

۱۵۶- ... برگ

۱۵۷- ... برگ

۱۵۸- ... برگ

۱۵۹- ... برگ

۱۶۰- ... برگ

۱۶۱- ... برگ

۱۶۲- ... برگ

۱۶۳- ... برگ

۱۶۴- ... برگ

۱۶۵- ... برگ

۱۶۶- ... برگ

۱۶۷- ... برگ

۱۶۸- ... برگ

۱۶۹- ... برگ

۱۷۰- ... برگ

۱۷۱- ... برگ

۱۷۲- ... برگ

۱۷۳- ... برگ

۱۷۴- ... برگ

۱۷۵- ... برگ

۱۷۶- ... برگ

۱۷۷- ... برگ

۱۷۸- ... برگ

۱۷۹- ... برگ

۱۸۰- ... برگ

۱۸۱- ... برگ

۱۸۲- ... برگ

۱۸۳- ... برگ

۱۸۴- ... برگ

۱۸۵- ... برگ

۱۸۶- ... برگ

۱۸۷- ... برگ

۱۸۸- ... برگ

۱۸۹- ... برگ

۱۹۰- ... برگ

۱۹۱- ... برگ

۱۹۲- ... برگ

۱۹۳- ... برگ

۱۹۴- ... برگ

۱۹۵- ... برگ

۱۹۶- ... برگ

۱۹۷- ... برگ

۱۹۸- ... برگ

۱۹۹- ... برگ

۲۰۰- ... برگ

۲۰۱- ... برگ

۲۰۲- ... برگ

۲۰۳- ... برگ

۲۰۴- ... برگ

۲۰۵- ... برگ

۲۰۶- ... برگ

۲۰۷- ... برگ

۲۰۸- ... برگ

۲۰۹- ... برگ

۲۱۰- ... برگ

۲۱۱- ... برگ

۲۱۲- ... برگ

۲۱۳- ... برگ

۲۱۴- ... برگ

۲۱۵- ... برگ

۲۱۶- ... برگ

۲۱۷- ... برگ

۲۱۸- ... برگ

۲۱۹- ... برگ

۲۲۰- ... برگ

۲۲۱- ... برگ

۲۲۲- ... برگ

۲۲۳- ... برگ

۲۲۴- ... برگ

۲۲۵- ... برگ

۲۲۶- ... برگ

۲۲۷- ... برگ

۲۲۸- ... برگ

۲۲۹- ... برگ

۲۳۰- ... برگ

۲۳۱- ... برگ

۲۳۲- ... برگ

۲۳۳- ... برگ

۲۳۴- ... برگ

۲۳۵- ... برگ

۲۳۶- ... برگ

۲۳۷- ... برگ

۲۳۸- ... برگ

۲۳۹- ... برگ

۲۴۰- ... برگ

۲۴۱- ... برگ

۲۴۲- ... برگ

۲۴۳- ... برگ

۲۴۴- ... برگ

۲۴۵- ... برگ

۲۴۶- ... برگ

۲۴۷- ... برگ

۲۴۸- ... برگ

۲۴۹- ... برگ

۲۵۰- ... برگ

۲۵۱- ... برگ

۲۵۲- ... برگ

۲۵۳- ... برگ

۲۵۴- ... برگ

۲۵۵- ... برگ

۲۵۶- ... برگ

۲۵۷- ... برگ

۲۵۸- ... برگ

۲۵۹- ... برگ

۲۶۰- ... برگ

۲۶۱- ... برگ

۲۶۲- ... برگ

۲۶۳- ... برگ

۲۶۴- ... برگ

۲۶۵- ... برگ

۲۶۶- ... برگ

۲۶۷- ... برگ

۲۶۸- ... برگ

۲۶۹- ... برگ

۲۷۰- ... برگ

۲۷۱- ... برگ

۲۷۲- ... برگ

۲۷۳- ... برگ

۲۷۴- ... برگ

۲۷۵- ... برگ

۲۷۶- ... برگ

۲۷۷- ... برگ

۲۷۸- ... برگ

۲۷۹- ... برگ

۲۸۰- ... برگ

۲۸۱- ... برگ

۲۸۲- ... برگ

۲۸۳- ... برگ

۲۸۴- ... برگ

۲۸۵- ... برگ

۲۸۶- ... برگ

۲۸۷- ... برگ

۲۸۸- ... برگ

۲۸۹- ... برگ

۲۹۰- ... برگ

۲۹۱- ... برگ

۲۹۲- ... برگ

۲۹۳- ... برگ

۲۹۴- ... برگ

۲۹۵- ... برگ

۲۹۶- ... برگ

۲۹۷- ... برگ

۲۹۸- ... برگ

۲۹۹- ... برگ

۳۰۰- ... برگ

۳۰۱- ... برگ

۳۰۲- ... برگ

۳۰۳- ... برگ

۳۰۴- ... برگ

۳۰۵- ... برگ

۳۰۶- ... برگ

۳۰۷- ... برگ

۳۰۸- ... برگ

۳۰۹- ... برگ

۳۱۰- ... برگ

۳۱۱- ... برگ

۳۱۲- ... برگ

۳۱۳- ... برگ

۳۱۴- ... برگ

۳۱۵- ... برگ

۳۱۶- ... برگ

۳۱۷- ... برگ

۳۱۸- ... برگ

۳۱۹- ... برگ

۳۲۰- ... برگ

۳۲۱- ... برگ

۳۲۲- ... برگ

۳۲۳- ... برگ

۳۲۴- ... برگ

۳۲۵- ... برگ

۳۲۶- ... برگ

۳۲۷- ... برگ

۳۲۸- ... برگ

۳۲۹- ... برگ

۳۳۰- ... برگ

۳۳۱- ... برگ

۳۳۲- ... برگ

۳۳۳- ... برگ

۳۳۴- ... برگ

۳۳۵- ... برگ

۳۳۶- ... برگ

۳۳۷- ... برگ

۳۳۸- ... برگ

۳۳۹- ... برگ

۳۴۰- ... برگ

۳۴۱- ... برگ

۳۴۲- ... برگ

۳۴۳- ... برگ

۳۴۴- ... برگ

۳۴۵- ... برگ

۳۴۶- ... برگ

۳۴۷- ... برگ

۳۴۸- ... برگ

۳۴۹- ... برگ

۳۵۰- ... برگ

۳۵۱- ... برگ

۳۵۲- ... برگ

۳۵۳- ... برگ

۳۵۴- ... برگ

۳۵۵- ... برگ

۳۵۶- ... برگ

۳۵۷- ... برگ

۳۵۸- ... برگ

۳۵۹- ... برگ

۳۶۰- ... برگ

۳۶۱- ... برگ

۳۶۲- ... برگ

۳۶۳- ... برگ

۳۶۴- ... برگ

۳۶۵- ... برگ

۳۶۶- ... برگ

۳۶۷- ... برگ

۳۶۸- ... برگ

۳۶۹- ... برگ

۳۷۰- ... برگ

۳۷۱- ... برگ

۳۷۲- ... برگ

۳۷۳- ... برگ

۳۷۴- ... برگ

۳۷۵- ... برگ

۳۷۶- ... برگ

۳۷۷- ... برگ

۳۷۸- ... برگ

۳۷۹- ... برگ

۳۸۰- ... برگ

۳۸۱- ... برگ

۳۸۲- ... برگ

۳۸۳- ... برگ

۳۸۴- ... برگ

۳۸۵- ... برگ

۳۸۶- ... برگ

۳۸۷- ... برگ

۳۸۸- ... برگ

۳۸۹- ... برگ

۳۹۰- ... برگ

۳۹۱- ... برگ

۳۹۲- ... برگ

۳۹۳- ... برگ

۳۹۴- ... برگ

۳۹۵- ... برگ

۳۹۶- ... برگ

۳۹۷- ... برگ

۳۹۸- ... برگ

۳۹۹- ... برگ

۴۰۰- ... برگ

۴۰۱- ... برگ

۴۰۲- ... برگ

۴۰۳- ... برگ

۴۰۴- ... برگ

۴۰۵- ... برگ

۴۰۶- ... برگ

۴۰۷- ... برگ

۴۰۸- ... برگ

۴۰۹- ... برگ

۴۱۰- ... برگ

۴۱۱- ... برگ

۴۱۲- ... برگ

۴۱۳- ... برگ

۴۱۴- ... برگ

۴۱۵- ... برگ

۴۱۶- ... برگ

۴۱۷- ... برگ

۴۱۸- ... برگ

۴۱۹- ... برگ

۴۲۰- ... برگ

۴۲۱- ... برگ

۴۲۲- ... برگ

۴۲۳- ... برگ

۴۲۴- ... برگ

۴۲۵- ... برگ

۴۲۶- ... برگ

۴۲۷- ... برگ

۴۲۸- ... برگ

۴۲۹- ... برگ

۴۳۰- ... برگ

۴۳۱- ... برگ

۴۳۲- ... برگ

۴۳۳- ... برگ

۴۳۴- ... برگ

۴۳۵- ... برگ

۴۳۶- ... برگ

۴۳۷- ... برگ

۴۳۸- ... برگ

۴۳۹- ... برگ

۴۴۰- ... برگ

۴۴۱- ... برگ

۴۴۲- ... برگ

۴۴۳- ... برگ

۴۴۴- ... برگ

۴۴۵- ... برگ

۴۴۶- ... برگ

۴۴۷- ... برگ

۴۴۸- ... برگ

۴۴۹- ... برگ

۴۵۰- ... برگ

۴۵۱- ... برگ

۴۵۲- ... برگ

۴۵۳- ... برگ

۴۵۴- ... برگ

۴۵۵- ... برگ

۴۵۶- ... برگ

۴۵۷- ... برگ

۴۵۸- ... برگ

۴۵۹- ... برگ

۴۶۰- ... برگ

۴۶۱- ... برگ

۴۶۲- ... برگ

۴۶۳- ... برگ

۴۶۴- ... برگ

۴۶۵- ... برگ

۴۶۶- ... برگ

۴۶۷- ... برگ

۴۶۸- ... برگ

۴۶۹- ... برگ

۴۷۰- ... برگ

۴۷۱- ... برگ

۴۷۲- ... برگ

۴۷۳- ... برگ

۴۷۴- ... برگ

۴۷۵- ... برگ

۴۷۶- ... برگ

۴۷۷- ... برگ

۴۷۸- ... برگ

۴۷۹- ... برگ

۴۸۰- ... برگ

۴۸۱- ... برگ

۴۸۲- ... برگ

۴۸۳- ... برگ

۴۸۴- ... برگ

۴۸۵- ... برگ

۴۸۶- ... برگ

۴۸۷- ... برگ

۴۸۸- ... برگ

۴۸۹- ... برگ

۴۹۰- ... برگ

۴۹۱- ... برگ

۴۹۲- ... برگ

۴۹۳- ... برگ

۴۹۴- ... برگ

۴۹۵- ... برگ

۴۹۶- ... برگ

۴۹۷- ... برگ

۴۹۸- ... برگ

۴۹۹- ... برگ

۵۰۰- ... برگ

۵۰۱- ... برگ

۵۰۲- ... برگ

۵۰۳- ... برگ

۵۰۴- ... برگ

۵۰۵- ... برگ

۵۰۶- ... برگ

۵۰۷- ... برگ

۵۰۸- ... برگ

۵۰۹- ... برگ

۵۱۰- ... برگ

۵۱۱- ... برگ

۵۱۲- ... برگ

۵۱۳- ... برگ

۵۱۴- ... برگ

۵۱۵- ... برگ

۵۱۶- ... برگ

۵۱۷- ... برگ

۵۱۸- ... برگ

۵۱۹- ... برگ

۵۲۰- ... برگ

۵۲۱- ... برگ

۵۲۲- ... برگ

۵۲۳- ... برگ

۵۲۴- ... برگ

۵۲۵- ... برگ

۵۲۶- ... برگ

۵۲۷- ... برگ

۵۲۸- ... برگ

۵۲۹- ... برگ

۵۳۰- ... برگ

۵۳۱- ... برگ

۵۳۲- ... برگ

۵۳۳- ... برگ

۵۳۴- ... برگ

۵۳۵- ... برگ

۵۳۶- ... برگ

۵۳۷- ... برگ

۵۳۸- ... برگ

۵۳۹- ... برگ

۵۴۰- ... برگ

۵۴۱- ... برگ

۵۴۲- ... برگ

۵۴۳- ... برگ

۵۴۴- ... برگ

۵۴۵- ... برگ

۵۴۶- ... برگ

۵۴۷- ... برگ

۵۴۸- ... برگ

۵۴۹- ... برگ

۵۵۰- ... برگ

۵۵۱- ... برگ

۵۵۲- ... برگ

۵۵۳- ... برگ

۵۵۴- ... برگ

۵۵۵- ... برگ

۵۵۶- ... برگ

۵۵۷- ... برگ

۵۵۸- ... برگ

۵۵۹- ... برگ

۵۶۰- ... برگ

۵۶۱- ... برگ

۵۶۲- ... برگ

۵۶۳- ... برگ

۵۶۴- ... برگ

۵۶۵- ... برگ

۵۶۶- ... برگ

۵۶۷- ... برگ

۵۶۸- ... برگ

۵۶۹- ... برگ

۵۷۰- ... برگ

۵۷۱- ... برگ

۵۷۲- ... برگ

۵۷۳- ... برگ

۵۷۴- ... برگ

۵۷۵- ... برگ

۵۷۶- ... برگ

۵۷۷- ... برگ

۵۷۸- ... برگ

۵۷۹- ... برگ

۵۸۰- ... برگ

۵۸۱- ... برگ

۵۸۲- ... برگ

۵۸۳- ... برگ

۵۸۴- ... برگ

۵۸۵- ... برگ

۵۸۶- ... برگ

۵۸۷- ... برگ

۵۸۸- ... برگ

۵۸۹- ... برگ

۵۹۰- ... برگ

۵۹۱- ... برگ

۵۹۲- ... برگ

۵۹۳- ... برگ

۵۹۴- ... برگ

۵۹۵- ... برگ

۵۹۶- ... برگ

۵۹۷- ... برگ

۵۹۸- ... برگ

۵۹۹- ... برگ

۶۰۰- ... برگ

۶۰۱- ... برگ

۶۰۲- ... برگ

۶۰۳- ... برگ

۶۰۴- ... برگ

۶۰۵- ... برگ

۶۰۶- ... برگ

۶۰۷- ... برگ

۶۰۸- ... برگ

۶۰۹- ... برگ

۶۱۰- ... برگ

۶۱۱- ... برگ

۶۱۲- ... برگ

۶۱۳- ... برگ

۶۱۴- ... برگ

۶۱۵- ... برگ

۶۱۶- ... برگ

۶۱۷- ... برگ

۶۱۸- ... برگ

۶۱۹- ... برگ

۶۲۰- ... برگ

۶۲۱- ... برگ

۶۲۲- ... برگ

۶۲۳- ... برگ

۶۲۴- ... برگ

۶۲۵- ... برگ

۶۲۶- ... برگ

۶۲۷- ... برگ

۶۲۸- ... برگ

۶۲۹- ... برگ

۶۳۰- ... برگ

۶۳۱- ... برگ

۶۳۲- ... برگ

۶۳۳- ... برگ

۶۳۴- ... برگ

۶۳۵- ... برگ

۶۳۶- ... برگ

۶۳۷- ... برگ

۶۳۸- ... برگ

۶۳۹- ... برگ

۶۴۰- ... برگ

۶۴۱- ... برگ

۶۴۲- ... برگ

۶۴۳- ... برگ

۶۴۴- ... برگ

۶۴۵- ... برگ

۶۴۶- ... برگ

۶۴۷- ... برگ

۶۴۸- ... برگ

۶۴۹- ... برگ

۶۵۰- ... برگ

۶۵۱- ... برگ

۶۵۲- ... برگ

۶۵۳- ... برگ

۶۵۴- ... برگ

۶۵۵- ... برگ

۶۵۶- ... برگ

۶۵۷- ... برگ

۶۵۸- ... برگ

۶۵۹- ... برگ

۶۶۰- ... برگ

۶۶۱- ... برگ

۶۶۲- ... برگ

۶۶۳- ... برگ

۶۶۴- ... برگ

۶۶۵- ... برگ

۶۶۶- ... برگ

۶۶۷- ... برگ

۶۶۸- ... برگ

۶۶۹- ... برگ

۶۷۰- ... برگ

۶۷۱- ... برگ

۶۷۲- ... برگ

۶۷۳- ... برگ

۶۷۴- ... برگ

۶۷۵- ... برگ

۶۷۶- ... برگ

۶۷۷- ... برگ

۶۷۸- ... برگ

۶۷۹- ... برگ

۶۸۰- ... برگ

۶۸۱- ... برگ

۶۸۲- ... برگ

۶۸۳- ... برگ

۶۸۴- ... برگ

۶۸۵- ... برگ

۶۸۶- ... برگ

۶۸۷- ... برگ

۶۸۸- ... برگ

۶۸۹- ... برگ

۶۹۰- ... برگ

۶۹۱- ... برگ

۶۹۲- ... برگ

۶۹۳- ... برگ

۶۹۴- ... برگ

۶۹۵- ... برگ

۶۹۶- ... برگ

۶۹۷- ... برگ

۶۹۸- ... برگ

۶۹۹- ... برگ

۷۰۰- ... برگ

۷۰۱- ... برگ

۷۰۲- ... برگ

۷۰۳- ... برگ

۷۰۴- ... برگ

۷۰۵- ... برگ

۷۰۶- ... برگ

۷۰۷- ... برگ

۷۰۸- ... برگ

۷۰۹- ... برگ

۷۱۰- ... برگ

۷۱۱- ... برگ

۷۱۲- ... برگ

۷۱۳- ... برگ

۷۱۴- ... برگ

۷۱۵- ... برگ

۷۱۶- ... برگ

۷۱۷- ... برگ

۷۱۸- ... برگ

۷۱۹- ... برگ

۷۲۰- ... برگ

۷۲۱- ... برگ

۷۲۲- ... برگ

۷۲۳- ... برگ

۷۲۴- ... برگ

۷۲۵- ... برگ

۷۲۶- ... برگ

۷۲۷- ... برگ

۷۲۸- ... برگ

۷۲۹- ... برگ

۷۳۰- ... برگ

۷۳۱- ... برگ

۷۳۲- ... برگ

۷۳۳- ... برگ

۷۳۴- ... برگ

۷۳۵- ... برگ

۷۳۶- ... برگ

۷۳۷- ... برگ

۷۳۸- ... برگ

۷۳۹- ... برگ

۷۴۰- ... برگ

۷۴۱- ... برگ

۷۴۲- ... برگ

۷۴۳- ... برگ

۷۴۴- ... برگ

۷۴۵- ... برگ

۷۴۶- ... برگ

۷۴۷- ... برگ

۷۴۸- ... برگ

۷۴۹- ... برگ

۷۵۰- ... برگ

۷۵۱- ... برگ

۷۵۲- ... برگ

۷۵۳- ... برگ

۷۵۴- ... برگ

۷۵۵- ... برگ

۷۵۶- ... برگ

۷۵۷- ... برگ

۷۵۸- ... برگ

۷۵۹- ... برگ

۷۶۰- ... برگ

۷۶۱- ... برگ

۷۶۲- ... برگ

۷۶۳- ... برگ

۷۶۴- ... برگ

۷۶۵- ... برگ

۷۶۶- ... برگ

۷۶۷- ... برگ

۷۶۸- ... برگ

۷۶۹- ... برگ

۷۷۰- ... برگ

۷۷۱- ... برگ

۷۷۲- ... برگ

۷۷۳- ... برگ

۷۷۴- ... برگ

۷۷۵- ... برگ

۷۷۶- ... برگ

۷۷۷- ... برگ

۷۷۸- ... برگ

۷۷۹- ... برگ

۷۸۰- ... برگ

۷۸۱- ... برگ

۷۸۲- ... برگ

۷۸۳- ... برگ

۷۸۴- ... برگ

۷۸۵- ... برگ

۷۸۶- ... برگ

۷۸۷- ... برگ

۷۸۸- ... برگ

۷۸۹- ... برگ

۷۹۰- ... برگ

۷۹۱- ... برگ

۷۹۲- ... برگ

۷۹۳- ... برگ

۷۹۴- ... برگ

۷۹۵- ... برگ

۷۹۶- ... برگ

۷۹۷- ... برگ

۷۹۸- ... برگ

۷۹۹- ... برگ

۸۰۰- ... برگ

۸۰۱- ... برگ

۸۰۲- ... برگ

۸۰۳- ... برگ

۸۰۴- ... برگ

۸۰۵- ... برگ

۸۰۶- ... برگ

۸۰۷- ... برگ

۸۰۸- ... برگ

۸۰۹- ... برگ

۸۱۰- ... برگ

۸۱۱- ... برگ

۸۱۲- ... برگ

۸۱۳- ... برگ

۸۱۴- ... برگ

۸۱۵- ... برگ

۸۱۶- ... برگ

۸۱۷- ... برگ

۸۱۸- ... برگ

۸۱۹- ... برگ

۸۲۰- ... برگ

۸۲۱- ... برگ

۸۲۲- ... برگ

۸۲۳- ... برگ

۸۲۴- ... برگ

۸۲۵- ... برگ

۸۲۶- ... برگ

۸۲۷- ... برگ

۸۲۸- ... برگ

۸۲۹- ... برگ

۸۳۰- ... برگ

۸۳۱- ... برگ

۸۳۲- ... برگ

۸۳۳- ... برگ

۸۳۴- ... برگ

۸۳۵- ... برگ

۸۳۶- ... برگ

۸۳۷- ... برگ

۸۳۸- ... برگ

۸۳۹- ... برگ

۸۴۰- ... برگ

۸۴۱- ... برگ

۸۴۲- ... برگ

۸۴۳- ... برگ

۸۴۴- ... برگ

۸۴۵- ... برگ

۸۴۶- ... برگ

۸۴۷- ... برگ

۸۴۸- ... برگ

۸۴۹- ... برگ

۸۵۰- ... برگ

۸۵۱- ... برگ

۸۵۲- ... برگ

۸۵۳- ... برگ

۸۵۴- ... برگ

۸۵۵- ... برگ

۸۵۶- ... برگ

۸۵۷- ... برگ

۸۵۸- ... برگ

۸۵۹- ... برگ

۸۶۰- ... برگ

۸۶۱- ... برگ

۸۶۲- ... برگ

۸۶۳- ... برگ

۸۶۴- ... برگ

۸۶۵- ... برگ

۸۶۶- ... برگ

۸۶۷- ... برگ

۸۶۸- ... برگ

۸۶۹- ... برگ

۸۷۰- ... برگ

۸۷۱- ... برگ

۸۷۲- ... برگ

۸۷۳- ... برگ

۸۷۴- ... برگ

۸۷۵- ... برگ

۸۷۶- ... برگ

۸۷۷- ... برگ

۸۷۸- ... برگ

۸۷۹- ... برگ

۸۸۰- ... برگ

۸۸۱- ... برگ

۸۸۲- ... برگ

۸۸۳- ... برگ

۸۸۴- ... برگ

۸۸۵- ... برگ

۸۸۶- ... برگ

۸۸۷- ... برگ

۸۸۸- ... برگ

۸۸۹- ... برگ

۸۹۰- ... برگ

۸۹۱- ... برگ

۸۹۲- ... برگ

۸۹۳- ... برگ

۸۹۴- ... برگ

۸۹۵- ... برگ

۸۹۶- ... برگ

۸۹۷- ... برگ

۸۹۸- ... برگ

۸۹۹- ... برگ

۹۰۰- ... برگ

۹۰۱- ... برگ

۹۰۲- ... برگ

۹۰۳- ... برگ

۹۰۴- ... برگ

۹۰۵- ... برگ

۹۰۶- ... برگ

۹۰۷- ... برگ

۹۰۸- ... برگ

۹۰۹- ... برگ

۹۱۰- ... برگ

۹۱۱- ... برگ

۹۱۲- ... برگ

۹۱۳- ... برگ

۹۱۴- ... برگ

۹۱۵- ... برگ

۹۱۶- ... برگ

۹۱۷- ... برگ

۹۱۸- ... برگ

۹۱۹- ... برگ

۹۲۰- ... برگ

۹۲۱- ... برگ

۹۲۲- ... برگ

۹۲۳- ... برگ

۹۲۴- ... برگ

۹۲۵- ... برگ

۹۲۶- ... برگ

۹۲۷- ... برگ

۹۲۸- ... برگ

۹۲۹- ... برگ

۹۳۰- ... برگ

۹۳۱- ... برگ

۹۳۲- ... برگ

۹۳۳- ... برگ

۹۳۴- ... برگ

۹۳۵- ... برگ

۹۳۶- ... برگ

۹۳۷- ... برگ

۹۳۸- ... برگ

۹۳۹- ... برگ

۹۴۰- ... برگ

۹۴۱- ... برگ

۹۴۲- ... برگ

۹۴۳- ... برگ

۹۴۴- ... برگ

۹۴۵- ... برگ

۹۴۶- ... برگ

۹۴۷- ... برگ

۹۴۸- ... برگ

۹۴۹- ... برگ

۹۵۰- ... برگ

۹۵۱- ... برگ

۹۵۲- ... برگ

۹۵۳- ... برگ

۹۵۴- ... برگ

۹۵۵- ... برگ

۹۵۶- ... برگ

۹۵۷- ... برگ

۹۵۸- ... برگ

۹۵۹- ... برگ

۹۶۰- ... برگ

۹۶۱- ... برگ

۹۶۲- ... برگ

۹۶۳- ... برگ

۹۶۴- ... برگ

۹۶۵- ... برگ

۹۶۶- ... برگ

۹۶۷- ... برگ

۹۶۸- ... برگ

۹۶۹- ... برگ

۹۷۰- ... برگ

۹۷۱- ... برگ

۹۷۲- ... برگ

۹۷۳- ... برگ

۹۷۴- ... برگ

۹۷۵- ... برگ

۹۷۶- ... برگ

۹۷۷- ... برگ

۹۷۸- ... برگ

۹۷۹- ... برگ

۹۸۰- ... برگ

۹۸۱- ... برگ

۹۸۲- ... برگ

۹۸۳- ... برگ

۹۸۴- ... برگ

۹۸۵- ... برگ

۹۸۶- ... برگ

۹۸۷- ... برگ

۹۸۸- ... برگ

۹۸۹- ... برگ

۹۹۰- ... برگ

۹۹۱- ... برگ

۹۹۲- ... برگ

۹۹۳- ... برگ

۹۹۴- ... برگ

۹۹۵- ... برگ

۹۹۶- ... برگ

۹۹۷- ... برگ

۹۹۸- ... برگ

۹۹۹- ... برگ

۱۰۰۰- ... برگ

7/7/94

7/7/94

ਸ਼ਰਨਜੀਤ ਕੌਰ ਪੁੱਤਰੀ ਸ਼ਰਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਮੁਹਾਲੀ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਮੁਹਾਲੀ ਹਾਲ ਵਾਸੀ ਮਕਾਨ ਨੰਬਰ ੨੨੨੨ ਫੇਜ਼ ੭ ਮੁਹਾਲੀ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਮੁਹਾਲੀ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਦਰਖ਼ਾਸਤ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੀ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਸਬ-ਰਜਿਸਟਰਾਰ ਮੁਹਾਲੀ ਵਿਚ ਮਿਤੀ ੨੭-੧੧-੨੦੧੯ ਨੂੰ ਬਹੀ ਨੰਬਰ ੧ ਜਿਲਦ ਨੰਬਰ ੪੯੨੭ ਸਫ਼ਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧੨੩ ਤੋਂ ੧੨੬ ਤੇ ਦਰਜ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਗੁੰਮ ਹੋ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਥਾਣਾ ਸਿਟੀ ਮੁਹਾਲੀ ਵਿਚ ਮਿਤੀ ੨੭-੧੧-੨੦੧੯ ਨੂੰ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਨਕਲ ਰੋਜ਼ਨਾਮਚਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੧੩੭੫ ਮਿਤੀ ੨੭-੧੧-੨੦੧੯ ਨੂੰ ਦਰਜ ਹੈ

Encl. to
Pg 68

(D)

Pg 69

E

15/3

(9)

163

19/3/94

19/3/94

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 23 - 3 ಗೃಹ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ
ಮೃತಪಟ್ಟ ಪೊಲೀಸ್
ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರು
ಪರಿಹಾರಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ
ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಕುರಿತು
R. Ellappa Selli

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಗೃಇಪ 1489 - 11 - 2 ಬೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲಾಂಕ 531 - 11 -
ಬೆ. ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲಾಂಕ 300 ರಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಮನವಿ 831 - 11 - 2 ಬೆ
ಮೇಲಾಂಕ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲಾಂಕ 658 - 6 - 4 ರಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವ

ದರಜಾಡಾ

ary.

15-6-93
22-7

Encl to SL9

Rec'd 12/7/94

167

Regd No
Ghee 408
11.7.94
Tehsildar

2 Encl to
bl9 164

(H)

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਤਹਿਸੀਲਦਾਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਫਿਲੌਰ

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ:- ਘਿਓ ਦੀ ਵਿਕਰੀ ਤੇ ਲੱਗਣ ਵਾਲੀ ਫੀਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ।

ਸਾਲ 1994-95 ਲਈ ਘਿਓ ਦੀ ਵਿਕਰੀ ਤੇ ਲੱਗਣ ਵਾਲੀ ਫੀਸ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਚਲਾਨ ਨੰਬਰ 01 ਮਿਤੀ 11.7.94 ਰਾਹੀਂ 4948/- ਰੁਪਏ ਦੀ ਰਕਮ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਰਸੀਦ ਨੰਬਰ 408 ਮਿਤੀ 11.7.94 ਦੀ ਫੋਟੋ ਕਾਪੀ ਨੱਥੀ ਕਰਕੇ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾ ਰਹੀ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਰਕਮ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਸਾਲ 1993-94 ਦੀ ਫੀਸ ਦੀ ਰਕਮ 4948/- ਰੁਪਏ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਸਾਲ 1993-94 ਦੀ ਫੀਸ ਦੀ ਰਕਮ 2500/- ਰੁਪਏ ਕੱਟ ਕੇ ਬਾਕੀ ਰਹਿੰਦੀ ਰਕਮ 2448/- ਰੁਪਏ ਸਾਲ 1994-95 ਦੀ ਫੀਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ

ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ
ਫਿਲੌਰ

ਸਮਾਜ ਭਲਾਈ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਦੇ ਸਾਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਕੰਮਾਂ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ

ਸਮਾਜ ਭਲਾਈ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸਾਲ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਕੰਮਾਂ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਹੈ:-

ਵਿਭਾਗ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸਾਲ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਕੁੱਲ 1250 ਲੋੜਵੰਦ ਪਰਿਵਾਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਰਾਸ਼ਨ ਕਿੱਟਾਂ ਵੰਡੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ 340 ਵਿਧਵਾ ਔਰਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਿਲਾਈ ਮਸ਼ੀਨਾਂ ਮੁਫ਼ਤ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਉਹ ਆਪਣਾ ਰੋਜ਼ਗਾਰ ਕਮਾ ਸਕਣ।

ਅੰਗਹੀਣ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਆਂ ਲਈ ਵ੍ਹੀਲਚੇਅਰ ਅਤੇ ਸਹਾਇਕ ਉਪਕਰਣ ਵੰਡਣ ਲਈ ਇੱਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ ਕੈਂਪ 12 ਮਾਰਚ ਨੂੰ ਲਗਾਇਆ ਗਿਆ, ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ 187 ਲਾਭਪਾਤਰੀਆਂ ਦੀ ਪਛਾਣ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ।

ਵਿਭਾਗ ਦੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀਆਂ ਨੇ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਕਿ ਲੋੜਵੰਦ ਪਰਿਵਾਰਾਂ ਦੀ ਚੋਣ ਪਿੰਡ ਪੱਧਰੀ ਕਮੇਟੀਆਂ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਿਫ਼ਾਰਸ਼ ਨੂੰ ਤਰਜੀਹ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ।

ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਵਧੇਰੇ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਲਈ ਲਾਭਪਾਤਰੀ ਆਪਣੇ ਨੇੜਲੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਭਲਾਈ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਸੰਪਰਕ ਕਰ ਸਕਦੇ ਹਨ। ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਦਾ ਫ਼ੋਨ ਨੰਬਰ 01634-298765 ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਸਵੇਰੇ 9 ਵਜੇ ਤੋਂ ਸ਼ਾਮ 5 ਵਜੇ ਤੱਕ ਹੈ।

ਵਿਭਾਗ ਦੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀਆਂ ਨੇ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਕਿ ਲੋੜਵੰਦ ਪਰਿਵਾਰਾਂ ਦੀ ਚੋਣ ਪਿੰਡ ਪੱਧਰੀ ਕਮੇਟੀਆਂ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਿਫ਼ਾਰਸ਼ ਨੂੰ ਤਰਜੀਹ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ।

ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਵਧੇਰੇ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਲਈ ਲਾਭਪਾਤਰੀ ਆਪਣੇ ਨੇੜਲੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਭਲਾਈ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਸੰਪਰਕ ਕਰ ਸਕਦੇ ਹਨ। ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਦਾ ਫ਼ੋਨ ਨੰਬਰ 01634-298765 ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਸਵੇਰੇ 9 ਵਜੇ ਤੋਂ ਸ਼ਾਮ 5 ਵਜੇ ਤੱਕ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਹਿਬ ਸ਼ਾਹ ਅਬਦੁਲ ਲਤੀਫ ਭਟਾਈ ਦੀ ਸਾਖੀ ਸ਼ਾਹ ਅਬਦੁਲ ਲਤੀਫ ਭਟਾਈ

ਸ਼ਾਹ ਅਬਦੁਲ ਲਤੀਫ ਭਟਾਈ ਦਾ ਜਨਮ ਸੰਨ ੧੬੮੯ ਈਸਵੀ ਵਿਚ ਹੋਇਆ ਸੀ। ਆਪ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਸ਼ਾਹ ਹਬੀਬ ਸੀ। ਆਪ ਦੇ ਪਿਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪੁਰਖੇ ਹਰਾਤ ਤੋਂ ਆ ਕੇ ਹਾਲਾ ਵਿਚ ਵਸ ਗਏ ਸਨ

ਸੰਤੋਖ ਸਿੰਘ ਧੀਰ ਦੀ ਕਵਿਤਾ ਵਿਚ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਤੀ ਦਾ ਚਿਤਰਣ

ਡਾ. ਸੁਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਠੀ

ਸੰਤੋਖ ਸਿੰਘ ਧੀਰ ਦੀ ਕਵਿਤਾ ਵਿਚ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਤੀ ਦਾ ਚਿਤਰਣ ਕਈ ਰੂਪਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ। ਕਦੇ ਉਹ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਤੀ ਨੂੰ ਇਕ ਸੁਤੰਤਰ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਵਜੋਂ ਚਿਤਰਦਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਕਦੇ ਉਹ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਤੀ ਨੂੰ ਮਨੁੱਖੀ ਭਾਵਾਂ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਗਟਾਵੇ ਦਾ ਮਾਧਿਅਮ ਬਣਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਕਦੇ ਉਹ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਤੀ ਨੂੰ ਮਨੁੱਖੀ ਭਾਵਾਂ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਤੀਕ ਵਜੋਂ ਵਰਤਦਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਕਦੇ ਉਹ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਤੀ ਨੂੰ ਮਨੁੱਖੀ ਭਾਵਾਂ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਤੀਬਿੰਬ ਵਜੋਂ ਚਿਤਰਦਾ ਹੈ।



To L.C.

By post paid
23 July 1894

Ex. Exch. 650
& 917

To
A Rimea Sawmy Iyengar Esq.
B.A. B.L.
Vakil
Pleader
Mysore

Petitioner in Petition & Statement
Civil Judge's Court
Hassan

Sir

In continuation of my Civil Petition dated 19th July 1894 praying for a Receiver in the suit of my wife Ramakka I beg leave herewith to enclose a memorandum to represent my case as it stands in this Court & to respectfully request the favour of your merciful consideration of the same.

I beg to state that the contract of the body of the Hassan & the other pleader was taken to me by Venkata on the 2nd July 93 for Rs 15 monthly for an unexpired term of 2 1/2 years Rs 916-5-4 payable by monthly instalments of Rs 31 9 7 4 = 16- At that time the said pleader collected rents as the Receiver of Chitoor as shown in the margin

I beg further to represent that on the said suit in the 1st Court of Civil Judge in April 1893 but on the 8th of the said month was formally withdrawn a petition dated the 7th March 1893 Rs 828 Recovery

210:1

1st Class	Rs as p			
2nd do	1 3	In Custody		
	4 10	pending		
1 Class	1 "			
2nd do	8	In Villages		
		pending		

the selling rate as per margin. It while thus

1st Class	Brick	0-10	In Cusbas
2nd Class		-6	
1st Class		-6	In villages
2nd do		-4	

he observed that the production entailed a loss of 5 and 10 bis respectively for every body in the town and a loss of 6 and 4 bis respectively in the villages. I

Thereupon immediately complained to the Collector and Govt Holder praying that I might be allowed and praying for a further time for petitioning Govt to enquire into the matter. Govt sent an order to the Collector on the 6th June 1893 to the effect that I cannot interfere with the orders of Govt. This order was communicated to me by the Collector in his Endorsement No 5 dated 22nd July 1893 Thereupon I was compelled to represent the matter to the Excise Commissioner and to His Excellency the Dewan. The latter sent me an Endorsement No 366 dated 15th Augt 1893 telling me that my petition was referred to the Excise Commissioner for his early disposal while the former gave me an Endorsement No 966 dated 27th Novr 1893 directing me to get the reference which authorizes the levying higher rates formerly as stated in my complaint. My petitions having remained in the meantime have served to the Taluk Govt Amin for ingathering and Retail Shroffs before the Collector all the references made by the Excise Commissr. Whereupon after some correspondence between the Taluk and the District Govt Amin was pleased to direct Bidkini No 23 dated 20th Decr 1893 requiring me the reasonableness of my request and authorizing me to sell locally at the higher rates the rates prescribed in the Govt Notification. This order was communicated to me on the 25th idem Hence forward I effected sales at higher rates as directed up to the date of the end of my Contract.

As however I sustained considerable loss in between the date of the receipt by me of the Govts Notification reducing the selling rate

rates and Your Honors order No 23
authorizing me to sell at higher rates was
thus entered on me as you will see from the
Representation and Memorial dated 19 May 1894 and prayed for a pro-
portionate Remission in my contract amount
but I was favoured with a reply on the 26
May 1894 in Endorsement No 75 that I
should represent my case thro the Board
I did so on the 30 May 1894. The Board after
due inquiry referred to Your Honor by my letter
Vin the facts relating to my having sold
at lower rates but Your Honor Given no reply
on 13 June 94 on No 43 to any that as it is
brought to Your notice that I had sold timber
at higher rates the remedy can only be
granted It is with reference to this order
that I appealed to Your Honor again on
the 10 Instant by my Canaren petition now pen-
ding reference at Your hands.

Having thus laid the whole matter
before Your Honor I beg leave most deferen-
tially and yet emphatically to deny that
I do look to any at higher rates as stated in
Your Honors order. On the contrary I beg to
to assert that I adhered strictly to the
orders of the Govt in Notification No 14 828
dated 7 March 93 received by me on
the 8th April 93 until the receipt of Your
Honors order permitting me to sell at higher
rates. 210

There beg leave en passant to point
out that the Amount of the today Contract for
the Hunsur Taluq was during 1892-93 for 12 months
Rs 32,000 whereas that of 1893-94 was for 15 months
Rs 55000. If the latter Contract was only for
12 months the Amount would have been
only Rs 44000. This, Sir, proves that it is
to observed that the increase in the amt
is done chiefly by having raised the
Amount of the Contract believing that

the

the Rates then in vogue would be curtailed in the
same ratio. I begged to be permitted to point out that
it was owing to reduction of Rates, the Bank of Can-
ada for the Year 1894/95 was considerably reduced
to £37000 Rs.

I humbly trust that you will see fit that your Honor may
be at once that I have sustained a very heavy loss
of Rs. 3455-11-10 during the space of 6 months
and 17 days owing to reduction of Rates by the
Govt, and hence it is that I humbly urge that
I am entitled to the indulgent consideration
prayed for at your generous hands: Other-
wise I beg leave to state that it would
lead to my irremediable ruin.

With due apologies for this un-
avoidable intrusion on your precious time
and with due submission,

I beg leave to remain
Honored Sir,
Your most obedient &
humble Servant
[illegible]
Contractor

Mysore
22nd July.
1894

(A)

Level No 17

(11)

Chinmay Kumar Nayak

Chinmay Kumar Nayak

Chinmay Kumar Nayak

Enclosure

Sl 9 1

Translation

(10) (A)

To

The Dewali Commissioner

or Mysore District

Petition dated 24 may 1893 written by Kamplapurava Shanaan lessee of toddy shops in Hunsur town and other places residing at Kattemalalvadi ——

I have taken up the Contract of the toddy shops in Hunsur town Thattekere and Kattemalalvadi together with the Vanams attached thereto for a sum of Rs 14600 and odd. At the time of the sale of the toddy the rates at which toddy had to be sold were prescribed as follows

9 pies per seer in the Hunsur Kasba

8 pies per seer in the villages

I have therefore undertaken to pay for the

current year Rs 2000
more than what was
paid for the last year.
My Contract amount
is one third of the total
realization in the Taluk.
In the circular now issued
the following rates are
prescribed:

	max^m	min^m
In Kurba per Seer	10 pies :	6 pies
in villages do	6 :	4

The circular states that
toddy should be sold at
these rates. As this will
cause loss to me the
"Kurba dikkandar" either the
town rate or a rate which is one pie less than the town rate
ordered to be adopted for
the shops at Kattemalal
-vadi, Mattekere, Banni
Kuppe & Thalabetta which
are within a distance of
four miles from the town.
~~no the rates prescribed be~~
~~reduced by one pie~~
If that is not done the
drinking population of
the town will go to the four

places above named
which are near the town
and purchase the requi
there at the rate of 6 pie
per seer i.e. 5 pies less than
the rate prevailing in the
town and in consequence
the Kusba realization
will fall off by more than
one half. If the liquor
be sold in the Kasba at
the minimum rate of 6
pies, it would not [illegible]
half the amount even
cannot thus be realized.
A petition was addressed
to the Salt authorities
explaining to them the
circumstances and reques
ting that a recommend
ation might be made

[The original is torn here]

the Government has been
received in reply to the
effect that the rules cannot
be violated.

The contract was made
under the impression that
the rate prevailing at
that time would stand

good (during the period of Contract). As the rate are now reduced a proportionate reduction should also be made of the Contract amount. If the reduction of the rate had been previously intimated I would have bid accordingly. At the time of the sale the rate prescribed was 9 pies per seer and the rate now prescribed is 6 pies per seer. ~~[illegible]~~ One third having thus been reduced there is no objection ~~[illegible]~~ to pay the amount corresponding to the two thirds portion. Mine is a big Contract. The reduction of the rates will ~~[illegible]~~ without doubt completely ruin me. Unless the Govt take this matter into Consideration people will not be happy.

the Govt may Consider
how much ~~can~~ people
will in future have
to think before they
take up such contracts
and with what assurance
they can bid at the
sales if the present prayer
(for remission of the
Contract amount) be
not granted. My prayer
at present is this.

1. that a remission
should be covered of the
Share amount proportion-
-ate to the reduction of
the rates; or the rates
prevailing at the time
of sale both for town
shops and village shops
be confirmed. Out
of the above mentioned
four shops Malaloor
& Mattekere belong to
my Contract. The other
two shops Malabetta
and Kannikuppe have
been granted to another

contractor for a small
amount. In case
that Contractor is not
willing to sell toddy at
the mamool sanctioned
rates I am prepared
to take up those two
shops, sell the toddy as
per orders of Govt and
pay to Govt the amount
payable to it. In short
I pray that you may
be pleased to consider
the above circumstances
and pass orders so that
I may not be ruined by
this Contract and that
future Contractors may
also ~~Confidently~~ come
forward with confidence.

Sd/ Shamanna

1 (1)

To

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District

Petition dated 18th August 1893 written by Shamanna contractor of toddy shops in Hunsur Taluk Kusba and other places.

The right to vend toddy in 1893-94 was sold in the month of February of the current year. As it was proclaimed at the time of sale that the rates of selling toddy would be the same as those of the last year viz

	max.	min.
In Taluk Kusba per seer	0-1-3	0-0-4
in Villages per seer	0-1-0	0-0-4

the sale amount was increased by the bidders. There was an increase of Rs 11000 in the realizing of the whole Taluk for the current year Out of the Entire amount realized by Govt from the Contract in this Taluk Rs 15000 are from my Contract

and the right of vending toddy in the Taluk Kuzha has also been taken up.

On the 8th April last the Taluk authorities sent for me and explained that the Govt notification ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ which prescribed the following rates had come into force:-

In Kuzha per seer maxm : minm
0-0-10 : 0-0-6
in villages per seer 0-0-6 : 0-0-4.

The price per seer of toddy this ye is thus 6 pies less than that ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ which prevailed in the last year. In consequence, my realization will fall off - the amount of loss per month will be ~~xxxxxxxx~~ four or five hundred rupees —.

Therefore (I pray) that the rate which prevailed at the time of sale may be confirmed and the contract amount received by Govt. or a remission of the contract amount proportionate to the reduction of the rates referred to in the above now brought to force

may be covered. If neither of these prayers be granted the "bab" may be resumed by Govt. At the time of sale ~~to such proclamation was~~ certain rates had been proclaimed and afterwards they were reduced. Consequently the amount is not (suspd) realized and the petitioner will be ruined. It is therefore prayed that the petitioner's case may be recommended to Govt (for favourable orders). It is (further) prayed that in anticipation of Sanction orders may be passed authorizing the sale of toddy at the rates of the last year. ~~Too~~ I have (herewith) produced an Endorsement obtained from the Talook to shew that the rates were actually those mentioned above -

Sd Shamanna

× × ×

I took up the contract when the rates were high

if my bid corresponded
to those rates. The rates
have recently been reduced
and the realization has
decreased by one half.
The other half is being
realized. If the reduced
rates are allowed to stand
the Fare amount should
also be reduced. Otherwise
the rates should be raised.
That is to say, the rates
which were prevailing at
the time of sale should
be confirmed. Now there
~~xxxxxxxx~~ is a loss of four
hundred rupees per month.

Sd. Shamanna

(B) 19

To

The Dewan to H. H
the Maharajah of
Mysore

Petition dated 4th
August 1893 of Kamala
pur Shamanna Contract
or of toddy shops in
Hunsur Kasiba and other
places in the Hunsur Taluk
Mysore District. ———

The Taluk Huildar
and the Assistant
Commissioner who had
come for the sale Encourag
the bidders to come
forward & offer large amounts
So that the toddy revenue
of the Hunsur Taluk for
the current year might
rise higher than that
of the previous year. The
bidders thinking that
the rates were high and
that during the period of
Contract there would be
two hot seasons and
relying on the Encouraging

words of these officers as
also on the rates of sale
prescribed in the previous
year raised the bids where
-by the Govt. toddy realization of the taluk
for that year exceeded that
for the previous year by
eleven thousand and odd
rupees. One third of the
total realization of the
Taluk is from my contract.
For the four shops viz
two in Hunsur town,
one in Thattekere and
one in malalvadi together
with the Vanams in the
~~villages~~ Inam villages
from which toddy is supplied
~~to these shops~~ thereto I have
bid Rs 14916-5-0 payable
in 15 months at the rate
of Rs 974-5-0 per mensem
and taken up the
contract. I have more
-over, according to the rules,
produced the deposit
amount and given
security. I have taken
possession of the "bab".

The mamool rates in this Taluk were:

	Rs as ps
in Kusba per seer	0-0-9

in villages per seer from 6 to 10 pies according to the localities. Besides, the rates mentioned in the printed forms of mucha likas executed by the contractors during the last year were:—

	max^m : min^m
in Taluk Kusba	0-1-3 : 0-0-1
in villages	0-1-0 : 0-0-8

As it was stated at the time of sale that the toddy might be sold either at the mamool rates or at the ~~[illegible]~~ rates of the previous year, we thought we might realize a good amount if we sold toddy at the rates of the previous year which were higher than the mamool rates by one or two pies (per seer) and with this hope we undertook to pay a much larger amount than that realized by Govt in the previous year.

The sale in question was held on the 22d. Feby last. I intended to commence the business under the contract from the 1st April to allow the mamool rate and sell toddy at the higher munchalika rate of the last year. But in the month of April the Taluk authorities sent for the Y. Iyaravars, told them that a fresh notifn had been issued by Govt and obtained their signatures. The notification referred to is the one that was issued on the 7th March prescribing the rates of selling toddy. The rates therein mentioned are:—

	maxm : minm
In Taluk Kuzha	0-0-10 : 0-0-6
In villages	0-0-6 : 0-0-4

It was then represented to the Distt & the Taluk authorities that "this reduction of rate would cause much loss to contractors and that Orders should therefore be passed authorising the sale of toddy at the mamool rates or granting remission of Contract

amount proportionate
to the reduction of the
rates. In reply endorse-
ment No. 31 dated 6-6-93
from the District and
Sub. No. 5 dated 22-7-93
from the Talek authori-
ties have been received
stating that the rules
could not be violated

By selling toddy at
the reduced rate now
ordered half the amount
only is realized — the loss
per month being four
or five hundred rupees —
The reason is that the
drinking population of
the town instead of
purchasing toddy in Hunsur Kuba
at the rate of 10 pies per
seer would prefer purchasing
it in the shops of Natte-
-malal vadi Thattekere,
Bannimukke Thalabetta
Gavaorgere, Muppin
and Kothagal which are
within a distance of only four
miles from the town

× not legible in the original

and where the liquor is sold at a reduced price of 4 pies per seer. I have taken up the lease of the Kumba shops and I have to sustain much loss for the reason stated above. owing to the sale in the Kumba at the minimum rate of 6 pies per seer not even half the monthly instalment amount is realized The contractor will undoubtedly be ruined soon.

If the reduced rate now ordered had been previously published I would have taken into consideration the reduction of the rates before rushing to bid. but as it was proclaimed that the toddy might be sold at the last year's rates I thought

(4) Believe that the rates
a large amount might
be realized. ~~[illegible]~~
With this hope I took up
the Contract for a large
amount. Now that
the rates are reduced
respectable people who
entered into this Contract
will soon be ruined and
obliged to dispose of
their other property which
they may possess (for
this both they committed
themselves entering into this
Contract). Hereafter
people will have to be
very careful in calculating
the realization of ready
revenue and in relying
on Govt with regard to
such Contracts. The Govt
will come to see more
things at the sale ~~of~~ to
be held next year.
Orders should now be
passed confirming the
rates which were prevailing
at the time of sale both
with regard to Town Shops

and village shops. So that persons who have now taken up the contract may not be ruined or remission of contract amount proportionate to the reduction of rate be granted. If neither of these prayers be granted the petitioner will be unable to pay up the ~~consolidation~~ instal-ments amounts and the "bab" will soon have to be resumed by Govt. Govt may confiscate the property of the poor people and leave them to go begging in ~~about~~ in the broad world. The petitioner is unable to make any further representation.

The Endorsement visher as per orders of the Dy Comm^r is Enclosed

Sd/ Shamanna

(F)

To : 0 1 0 0

The Deputy Commissioner

Notice is hereby given that the sale of the right to collect tolls on the Kamptee Shahmana Contractor A. Todd's Ghats in Hingna Kuriba and other places for the year 1893-94 was held on the 23rd February 1893. As it then appeared from the sale proceedings that the following were the rates

in Kuriba per seer max^m 0-1-3 : min^m 0-0-10

in villages per seer 0-1-0 : 0-0-8

the amount bid was much higher than that of the previous year 1892-93. The bale came in the possession of the Contractor on the 1st April 1893. Soon afterwards Govt notification No 1482 0-36/210 dated 8-4-93 was issued authorizing the following rates for

1893-94 :-

	max^m :	min^m
In Taluk Kuba	0-0-10 :	0-0-6
in Villages	0-0-6 :	0-0-4.

These rates having been represented to the Taluk & the Dist authorities, to the Excise Comm^r and to the Dewan that the Contractor would be put to great loss, that the rates which prevailed at the time of sale should be confirmed and that the amount for the period when the sale was made at the altered rates should be remitted

In Endorsement no 1066 issued from the Excise Comm^r's office the Sarkhale showing that the rates stood as stated is asked for. I have produced that Endorsement. With regard to the rates which were prevailing at the time of sale, I obtained from the Taluk Endorsement no 8 which I have produced before the District authorities

what the
actual rates were at the
time of sales will also
be clearly seen from the
Report submitted to you
by the Taluk authorities.

Endorsement No.
367 dated 15th August
1893 issued from the
Devanancottai office states that
my petition was forwarded to the Revenue Board
for disposal and endorsement. I have produced
that endorsement

Proceedings dated 20-12-93
has been issued from
the Taluk office in accord-
ance with the orders
issued from your office
after some correspondence
on the subject between
the two offices The order
issued to me from the
Taluk office ~~prescribes~~
~~the form of Toddy shop~~ states
that Toddy should be
sold at the rates which

were prevailing at the
time of sale:
in Kurba max: min
0-1-3 : 0-0-10
in Villages 0-1-0 : 0-0-8
In accordance with
that order toddy was
sold at those rates ~~for~~
since the 25th Dec.-
~~Remissions should be~~
~~granted of the amount~~
The amount of loss
incurred owing to the
sale of toddy at the
reduced rates during the
interval between the
date on which the sale
at the reduced rates accord-
-ing to Govt ~~notification~~ notifn
dated 8th April 1893 was
commenced and the date
on which after Service
Correspondence your
order No. 23 came into
force, should be remitted
from the contract
amount.
The Taluk Amildar

202

should be ordered to
receive the contract
amount minus the
amount to be remitted

By Shamanna

To

Hunsur Taluk Kutcherry

Petition dated 30th May 1894 of Kanipalpur Shanmuga Contractor of toddy shops in Hunsur and other places.

(The exclusive privilege of vending) toddy for 1893-94 was sold on the 23d February 1893. The sale deed has mentioned the following rates.

	maxm:	minm
In Kusba per seer	0-1-3 :	0-0-10
In villages per seer	0-1-0 :	0-0-8

In Govt. notification no. 2014 $\frac{20-30}{210}$ dated 8-4-93 the following rates were prescribed for 1893-94.

	maxm:	minm
In Kusba per seer	0-0-10 :	0-0-6
In villages per seer	0-0-6 :	0-0-4

As these rate have come into force (I beg) that the rates which prevailed at the time of sale may be confirmed; otherwise by the sale of toddy at the reduced rate there will be loss (for me) I represent

ed to the Taluk and the District authorities to the Excise Commissioner and to the Dewan that remission should be ordered of the amount representing the loss sustained in consequence of the sale of toddy at the reduced rates. Thereupon some correspondence took place and order no 23 issued from the District Huzoor. In accordance with this order the Taluk authorities have issued order no 25 dated 20-12-93 wherein is ordered that the sale should take place at the rates which prevailed at the time of sale ie maximum minimum
in Kuda 0-1-3 : 0-0-10
in villages 0-1-0 : 0-0-8
Effect has been given to this order.

Remission must be ordered of the amount representing the loss in consequence of the sale of toddy at the reduced rates

from the 8th april 1893
until the last order came
into force which took place
some time after the sale
at the rates mentioned in
the Govt notification alre
referred to had commenced
and after some correspond
ence on the subject is until
the 25th December 1893
On the 19th instant I had
represented to the depty
Comm. that orders might
be issued to the Talukdars
Sirdars regarding the
recovery of the balance -
In reply I received an endorse
-ment no 75 dated 21. ins-
stating that my petition
should be presented through
the Talukdar authorities.
That Endorsement the Endt
no 867 dated 15th Augt 1893
issued from the Dewans office,
and Endorsement no 1066
dated 27. 11. 93 issued from
the Circuit Comm's office
have been herein Enclosed.
The Proceedings of the case
are all in the Talukdar (office)

Remission should be
recommended of the
amount representing
the difference of realization
in consequence of the ~~reduction~~
~~of rates~~ sale at the reduced
rates during the interval
between the ~~15th March~~
8th April 1893 from which
date the Govt notification already
referred to came into force
until the original rates, at which were prevailing
the time of sale were confirmed
after the 25th Dec. 1893.
I pray that the balance
due after deducting the
amount to be remitted
as well as ~~the~~ the amount that
has been received till now,
may at the end of the year
be taken from the deposit
amount ~~as~~ and treated
as "Nil game" and the
balance that then remains be
ordered to be returned.
Notice has been issued for
the amount now due.
For reasons stated above
I beg that you will kindly

Stop further proceedings.

Sd/ Shanahan

108

(D)

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Petition dated 23rd novem
ber 1893 of Shamanna
Contractor of toddy shops
in Hunsur Town & the
places in the Hunsur
Taluk Mysore District :-

As the rates which
prevailed at the time of
sale of this Taluk (Exclusive
Right to vend toddy) were
~~fixed at~~ reduced under
a notification. Price copied
representations were made
on the 4th August both to
you and the Dewan
that I had taken up the
contract under the belief
that the rates of the previous
year would not be exceeded,
that in fact ~~exceeded~~ the contract
was taken up for a
large amount than
that of the previous year
that ~~soon after~~ soon after

the sale the rates were
altered, that in consequence
I was put to loss and
that therefore the rates which
prevailed at the time of
sale should be confirmed
thereupon Endorsement
No. 367 dated 15/19 Augst
was issued stating that
my petition was forwarded
to the Excise Commr. for
disposal. I ~~was~~ again
represented on the 31st
October that the case
had not been disposed of.
the contract was taken
up Eight months ago.
from the month of April
when the rates were altered
I have been complaining
to the Clerk and the Dist
authorities, to you and
to the Dewan that owing
to the sale of toddy at the
reduced rates under
the circular I ~~was~~ have been sustain
-ing loss, but the case

has not yet been disposed of.
My first prayer is that
remission may be ordered
of the amount of difference
of realization caused by
the reduction of the rates
which prevailed at the
time of sale; another is
that orders may be passed
authorizing the sale at
the original rates during
the remaining period of
contract and directing
the recovery of the proper
amount for that period.
In case the matter be
not disposed of without
delay orders may be issued
to the Collector and the Dist.
authorities for the recovery
of such amount as would
correspond to the reduction
of the rates for the whole
year. By Shamanna

Order

The rates you mention
were not in force at the
time of sale. What
"darkhast" is there to show
that those rates were

prevailing at that time.

SD

for Excise Comm.r

27/11/93

(E)

In the Honsur Talluk
Kutcherry

Deposition of Shamanna
toddy contractor of Honsur
Kusba residing at Katte
Malalvadi, given on the
15th march 1894.

The amount due by me in
respect of toddy shops
in Kusba Honsur & other
places up to the End of
February is Rs 1894-9-6.
Towards this amount
I shall produce Rs 406-1-0
As for paying the balance,
~~(I hope to Shortly)~~ I have
sustained loss for having
sold toddy at the reduced
rates. Orders have been
issued to sell toddy from
1st January at the last
years rates. Till
final orders are passed
ie I cannot pay the
amount I lost during
the period when toddy
was sold at the reduced
rates.

If I am allowed some
time I am ~~[illegible]~~
ready to pay up the
~~[illegible]~~ amount due
three months have
yet to expire before the
close of the year. Within
that time I shall pay
the amount due with
the monthly instalments

Sd Shamanna

24

(H)

To

The Debil Commr
Nisare District

Petition dated 10th
July 1894 of Shiva anna
bin Chitlatta of Toddy
Shops in Hansur and
other places: ——————

Complained to the
Taluk authorities, to conv
yance to the Excise Comm
and to the Honble that
the rates which were
prevailing at the time
of the sale of the vale no
bee altered so that Toddy
had to be sold at the reduce
rates during the year
1893-94 and that thereby
I have been sustaining
loss & prayed to them
that the rates which pre
vailed at the time of Sale
might be confirmed and
that the amount represen
-ting the difference of rates at
for the period during which
Toddy was sold at reduced

rates might be covered to be remitted. Thereupon some correspondence took place and finally order No. 25 dated 20-12-93 was issued from your office authorizing the sale at the rates which prevailed at the time of the sale of the "bab".

A petition was presented to you praying for remission of the amount of loss sustained in consequence of the sale at the reduced rates. In reply endorsement No. 75 dated 21st may was received stating that I should make my representation through the Taluk authorities. I then represented ~~to~~ the matter to the Taluk authorities and produced the enclosures of the endorsement. The order No. 2 issued from the Taluk office states that on receipt of the proceedings and the report from the

W.C.

Taluka office you passed
the order no 43 dated
13th June stating that
it had been brought to
your notice that toddy
had been sold at the higher
rates given prior to the
issue of your order dated
20-12-93 ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ that in your
opinion there was no
reason why there should
have been any loss to
me and that therefore
no concession could
be shown to me. The
above referred order no 2
issued from the same
office states that the
amount owed by me should
be paid up at once, otherwise
further steps would be taken
for the recovery of the same
If I have given the Commence-
ment of the year until the
issue of order no 28 from your
office sold toddy at higher
rates than those mentioned
in the notification your
order well applies to me.
But it is otherwise. That
is otherwise will be evident

on a reference to the records showing that I was until the receipt of orders complaining (of the reduction of rates). I had taken up the contract of the shops in the Taluk Kuruba as well as in villages near the town. In the villages toddy was being sold at the low rate of 4 pies per seer and the drinking population of the Kuruba were going 5 times a day for purchase of the liquor. I did not violate the rules, for even if I violated them there would be no gain. Even after the issue of order no 25 confirming the rates which prevailed at the time of sale a petition was presented to the Taluk and after proclamation was made by beat of town: town the sale at the higher rates was commenced. But the drinking population did not easily accept the rates for the sale had taken

place during the previous
8 months at lower rates -
for 4 - 8 days - that did
not drink toddy. After
some vaccination there
were induced to take
by the rules the rates were
very slightly raised and
by the scale at such rates
no profit was made: and
on the other hand there
was some loss. It is my
misfortune that under
the above circumstances
am unable to pay to
you for the loss I have
sustained being made good.

I have personally
represented to you my
grievances at Kumsee.
Although my Constable sate
was reasonable and the
matter came up ~~for~~ before you for
~~disposal~~ disposal, untavourable
orders were issued to me.
This is my misfortune and
I am very sorry for it. If
it be proved
~~that~~ that previous to the issue

of orders anticipating the
sale at higher rates I
sold wood at these rates
(contrary to the rules no
remission need be ordered).
But if on the other hand
it is shown that I have
acted in accordance with
the rules the proper amount
of difference (of realization
caused by the sale at the
reduced rates) should be
ordered to be remitted.

The Taluk authorities
are demanding payment
of the full amount and are
about to adopting coercive measures
for its recovery under the
rules.

As the contract amount
and the rates of the year
before last were said to
have been high and
as a very large increase
of Rs 12000 was found
last year complaint was
made that owing to the
alteration of rates owing

The original is not very intelligible here.

the last year there was
loss Mercantile
sales were enhanced.
ing for trade stocks and
a notification was issued
for (thousands of) the current
year's assessment. It
was for the current year
for this town Tirka has
taken towns about
R. Sir
to be brief am a
respectable man. I
would rather leave the
country and even give
up my life than make
the plaintiff (Security)
suffer for my sake in loss
of his reputation and by
attachment of his property
on account of an amount
which I have lost with
which was nevertheless to
be paid to Govt. I pray
that you may be pleased
to take into consideration
the difference in the real
izations of the three years
— year before last, the
last year and the current
year —

to perute
the several Endorsements
that I have received in
reply to my representations
in the matter with the Diwan
and the Excise Commr.,
and the other records of the
case, and to direct the
Taluk amildar to keep
in abeyance the demand
for the amount that has
to be properly remitted,
recover the balance from
the Deposit amount and
treat it as "suspense" and
make a recommendation
for remission. &c
He is prayed that you may
in this manner relieve
me from my present
difficulties and protect me
in future also so that
I may not be subjected
to the like troubles He
is further prayed that
immediate orders may be
issued to the Taluk
amildar so that he may
not take steps for the
recovery of the amount
before finial orders are
passed in the case.
By Shamanna

(I)

The Debenture Commissioners
Mysore District

Petition dated 21st Augt
1894 of Shamanna
late contractor of wood
depots in Hansur and
other places

The records relating
to the prayer for remission
of the amount of loss
caused by the alteration
of rates of selling wood, are
with you.

As soon as the rates which
prevailed at the time of
sale were reduced I got
discouraged and
for about 9 months from
that time I made represen-
tations of my case to the
authorities — from the
lowest authorities up to Govt
All this will be evident
from these records. My
attempts are of no avail.
It devolves upon you
to kindly peruse all the
records and protect me.

As the properties of the
"jamkanda" (Security)
are advertized for sale
on the 30th instant
I beg that you may
be pleased to issue orders
this day by post or by tele
-gram to the Aumildar of
Hunsur stopping the sale
until my case is heard
finally disposed of by
you or on your recommend
-ation by the Excise
Commissioner

Sd/ Shamanna

(J)

-2.24- Return addressed to Dy. Commr. Kyaukse distributed by Shanmawun Calé Contractor of the Toddy Shops at Kyaukse town 2nd. of 3-9-94.

At the time of sale for 1893-94 there prevailed in the Kasaba the rate of a-1-3 for the best quality and a-a-+ for the ordinary quality and in the Jawfane a-1-a for the best and a-a-8 for the ordinary quality. Consequently on the strength of the average of the above rates the Contract was obtained for a large sum. From the date the Bab came into my possession, i.e. from 1st April 1893 up to 8th idem it was commanded to be sold at the average rate of + in the Kasaba and 10 pies at Jawfane. In the meanwhile notification was issued by Govt. notifying that in the Kasaba the best quality should be sold at 10 pies and the ordinary at 6 pies and at Jawfane the best at + and the ordinary at 4 pies. Owing to this and owing to it's sale at 4 pies by Tsinnaporon who had in the Jaw

+ The paper is very much torn at the edge and cannot therefore be deciphered.

that preceded the last year taken up the shops of the Kasaba and in the current year the shops of Kallebetta, Bramikuppe, Savadapur and other places surrounding the Kasaba all the people in the town resorted to shops in the latter places. Consequently the rates of a-a-+ per seer in the Kasaba and a-a-6 pies per seer in Savapure were fixed by giving up the increased rate that had been fixed in the beginning up to 8th April. Though the sale continued at the modified rate yet owing to the cheaper rate prevailing in the surrounding districts and owing to the rate prescribed in the Notification large loss was incurred in the first month only. Consequently I complained to the Taluk, District and Excise Commissioner officers and also to the Dewan. Thereupon proceedings took place and sanction was accorded in the Orders issued from the Taluk in pursuance of your order of 20th December 93 to the same being sold in the Kasaba at a-1-3 for the best quality and + for the ordinary quality and in the Savapure at a-1-a for the best quality and a-a-8 for the ordinary quality. As it was being sold at a reduced rate for the persons addicted

to drink objected to purchase at a higher rate, abstained from drink for 4 or 5 days and caused loss because increased
we liable to lose. Whereupon by 1 pie the old rate prevailing in the Kasaba and 2 pies the actual prevailing in the Jaffna and continued vend of 10 pies per seer in the Kasaba and 8 pies in the Jaffna. By this the sale realization was on an average increased by Rs. 600/= per mensem. In spite of this the increased realization did not cover up the loss sustained by previous months sale at low rate. I have been merely stating in every petition praying that I incurred loss but have not furnished accounts showing the realizations and also the actual amount of loss. Consequently, I enclose herein a statement showing the above information. The actual amount realised is Rs. 14,503-5-5. According to the abstract furnished on the right margin of the statement the actual amount to be realised i.e the amount that ought to be paid to Govt. plus the establishment and other charges is Rs. 18576-1-11. Deducting the

Amount in Rs. 14503-5-5 actually realised from the above amount the balance viz. Rs. 4071-12-6 is the actual loss. Although the Contractor has to spend on an average one-third of the 18000 Rs. realised yet with great care and personal Exertion one-fourth of the Amount has been spent. Out of the Amount realised a sum of about Rs. 600/= is lying still unadjusted with the Vendors. It is also doubtful whether this would be recovered. I have referred in my petition of 4th August — addressed to the Divan about the fact of conducting the sale at the above rate, i.e., at the reduced rate and also of the inconvenience caused by selling at 4 pie rate in the surrounding Villages. I have also personally represented to you on 25 July last. Even after the increased rate was sanctioned the sale was effected by increasing the prevailing rate only by one pie in the Kasaba and two pies in the Sarpanne. If the sale had been effected at 2-1-3 in the Kasaba and 2-1-2 in the Sarpanne which had been prevailing at the time of sale the 12,207 and odd pots that were sold would have realised an additional sum of Rs. 9100 @ per pot (para). Hereby there would not have

been any loss but there would have been a profit of Rs. 5000. or if the best and the ordinary qualities had been sold at the average rate of a-i-a in the Kasaba and a-a-o in the Jaydem a profit of Rs. 4575 would have been realised and thereby there would have been no loss. As neither of these rates was adopted there was loss.

On the 31st August last the Amildar sent for Timmeyya who is inimical towards me and who had taken up the contract both in the year previous to the last year and also during the current year and through him the persons who had been Vendors during my contract - but now under the control of the said Timmeyya and examined them during my absence. I do not know what they have stated - owing to this and also owing to the Excise Even of the records and proof for the sale having been effected at 9 pies in the Kasaba and 6 pies in Jaydem from the commencement of the year up to 25th December they might have stated the actual fact if they are honest. But instead of this if they being under the control of my Enemy and being of the same caste and who are troubling me by withholding the balance due to me - have falsely stated the matter with a view to do me bad

I would, if ordered be issued to the Talukdar or if an officer be deputed to Enquire into the matter, have every thing proved as stated in my petition both by Records as well as by the drinkers in the Bazaar town and Cantonment. If they have stated the truth I may be protected by recommending me to Govt to grant me a remission of Rs. 3455-10-10 as prayed for in my English petition according to the proportionate difference of rates that were notified at the time of Sale and those at which Vending was effected in pursuance of the Notification-viz-, one third for Kasaba and one-half for Cantonment although I have incurred more loss I have no occasion to ask for higher rate of remission. I may be granted the remission to which I may be entitled to.

Sd. Shamanna.

No. 1.

2 3-0

Statement of Patel Kariswara of Bunsur Kasaba given before the Bunsur Taluk on 6-9-95.

Thamanna of Kaniplapur has obtained the contract - about two years ago the Holigers and Kuruburs came and told me that he was selling the Sendi Sold in the town at 1½ annas per seer - I Enquired the Contractor - He told me that as you had increased the Amount he was selling it Sold as above - this he continued to do for about 8 or 10 days - Subsequently he was selling the same Sold at 6 pies per seer. From 1st April 1893 up to 8th idem. Subsequently it was sold at 9 pies. Again in the month of December he said that order had been received from the higher Authorities to sell it at one anna per seer and for a cask of Sendi to be presented to persons addicted to drink - I caused the toddy to be tested as in the town as directed as the Sale was not effected accordingly to it he pacified the people, agreed to sell it at 10 pies per seer and continued to sell it accordingly -

Enc. 2

Statement of Venkatakamiengar,
Shekdar of Kasaba Hobli of 6-9-93.

I was acting for the Abkari
Inspector of the Taluk from 13th March
1893 upto 6th End of December 1893. From
April 1893 upto 6-8th idem the Sendi
was being sold in the Kasaba Arrack
at 1 anna per Seer and in the Arrack
of the Villages viz. Kalle Malavadi,
Kalle Kere &c. at 8 pies per Seer - as
it was directed in the notification
Subsequently received that it should
be sold in the Arrack of the villages
from 4 to 6 pies per Seer and from 6
to 10 pies per Seer in the Kasaba town
Arrack I urged the Arrackdars and
Contractor Shamanna to sell at the
above rate. They continued to sell
at the rates fixed in the notification.
viz. from 4 to 6 pies per Seer in the villages and
from 6 to 10 pies in the Kasaba town.
Previous to the receipt of the above notifi-
-cation when I enquired the cause of
selling it in the Kasaba town upto 6
1 anna per Seer and in the villages at
upto 8 pies per Seer they used to tell me that
they were selling it according to the
Arrack rate. After the receipt of
the notification I strictly
Enforced that it should be sold

Exactly at the rates fixed therein.
Up to End of March 1893 they used to sell it in the villages at 8 seers per seer although it to be the annual rate.

No. 3

Statement of Edisfara Annefowda
Son of Lalliefowda residing at
Kumasi Kasaba - 8/6-9-98.

During the period in which Kaufu
-fara Chamaumas contract lasted,
from that is, from April 1893 up
to End of June 1894 I was selling goods
in the Dukan at the same in the town
and any Kon Kare fowda in the
Sanitmalda Dukan - From 1st
April 1893 up to 8th idem we con-
-tinued to sell it at 1 mia per Lar -
Subsequently we continued to sell it
in the town up to 6 pis per Lar - In
Kwafana i.e. Malalwadi, Kattekon
and other Dukans it was being sold from
from 6 to 8 pis per Lar and subsequently
from 4 to 6 pis - I do not know what
rate prevailed previous to Chama -
-umas contract.

No. 4.

234

Statement of Madhavakon Son of Shanmanna residing at Kumsi Kasaba of 6-9-98.

I was a Municipal Commissioner of the Kumsi town - Kampalapura Shanmanna had during the year 1893-94 obtained the contract of the Sendi drinkers of the town - As I was a Municipal Commissioner and also an influential man in the town, the Koliyars, Madigars and others addicted to drink came and complained to me that they were selling the Sendi at one anne per seer - that previously it was being sold at 8 to 10 pies per seer - and that they demanded higher rate - I sent for Koli Venkaddi Raja Kom and enquired him - He told me that according to the rate fixed by Contractor Kampalapura Shanmanna that it should be sold in the town at one anna per seer it was being sold at that rate - This continued from the commencement of Shanmanna's Contract up to 8 or 10 days - I explained to the above people that at the direction of Government and Superior it was being sold at one anna per seer and pacified them that it was not being sold at the rate fixed on their own responsibility - Subsequently the same complainants

Came and told me that it was being
Sold at 9 pies per seer - I did not
Enquire about Sawpan rate - nor
did they tell me about this - I know
only so much.

No. 5

Statement of Sheik Bircan Sabe
Son of Syed Sab-residing at Bennur
Kasaba. of 6-9-25.

For the last 8 or 10 years I have been serving as peon with the Sendi Contractor - When Kanakapura Shamanna obtained the Contract the Sendi was for the price 8 days being Sold beingsold at one anna per seer in the town and at 8 pies per seer in the Arkans of Kalle Malalwadi, Kalle Kere &c. Subsequently saying that orders had been received from higher authorities they used to sell it in the town at 9 pies per seer and from 4 to 6 pies per seer in Devarshane - this continued for about 7 or 8 months - Subsequently he states that orders had been received to sell it at the former rate viz. at one anna per seer and ordered that it should be sold at that rate - At this the Vendors complained that since it was a cold season and since it was being sold at 9 pies per seer for the last 8 or 9 months there would be no sale if the price was suddenly raised - On this he told this to be sold in the town at 10 pies per seer - I do not know the rate that prevailed only in the year that preceded Shamanna's Contract - I had then gone to Mysore - During that year they

used to sell it at 9 pies per seer in the town and at 6 pies in Savapanes. I know only so much.

No. 6

Statement of Raja Row Son of Vyasa Row residing at Hunsur Kasaba d/. 6-9-93.

I have been conducting the duties of Sendi Koli Huntasdi since ——. I still continue in the same appointment. Kempafura Shamanna had obtained the contract of the Duttans in the town for the 15 months commencing from April 1893 and ending at the close of June 1894. During the year 1891-92 it was sold in the Hunsur town up to 9 pies per seer and in the Javafane i.e at Katte Mallawadi Kalle Keri and other Duttans from 6 to 8 pies per seer. From 1st April 1893 the date on which Shamanna's contract commenced up to 8 idem it was at the direction of Superior Shamanna it was sold in the town at one anna per seer and at Javafane at 8 pies. per seer. On the notification subsequently received it was directed that it should be sold in the Javafanes from 4 to 6 pies per seer and from 6 to 10 pies in the town. According to the above rate it was for some days sold at 10 pies per seer. The Vendors complained that as the rate of 4 to 6 pies prevailed in the Javafane all the people of the Kasaba resorted there for demanding that by the the Sale in the town had fallen off

+ The year cannot be made out as the paper is torn.

On this from April 1893 (I do not remember the date) I caused it to be sold at 9 pies per seer. From April 1892 up to End of March 1893 I used to have this sold at 10 pies per seer in the town and at 8 pies in Jayagunj. I have handed over the accounts of those years the realisations of the respective years to the Contractors concerned.

Sjeridar Shamanna told me in December 1893 that orders had been received to sell it in the town at the previous rate viz. at one anna per seer and ordered me that I should so-this done. I told him that it had been sold at 9 pies per seer for the last 9 months - that in addition to this it was a cold season and that it could not be sold at one anna. and I continued to have it sold at 10 pies per seer.

240

Statement of Souramma wife of Venkati Sowda of Periyapatna of. 31-8-94.

I have been a Vendor under the Contractors in the Sale Ladi Shop at Hunsur Kasaba for the last 10 or 20 years. Previously I used to sell at 5 pies per seer for some time at 5 pies per seer and again at 6 pies for a few days. Subsequently for the last 4 or 5 years I used to sell at 7 pies per seer. During the period five months or thereabouts after the inclusion of Kalle Malalwadi it used to be sold at 9 pies per seer. Subsequently they used to sell it at 10 pies per seer. It was never sold for more than 10 pies. It was not sold for more than 10 pies even 4 or 5 months ago. If it is sold at one anna there will be no sale. If this is done the persons addicted to drink will go to some other place at the time when Chambhogthamma of Kalle Malwadi had taken up the contract we five of us viz. myself, one Puttamma, Venkati and Timma were doing Vending business. We used to pay for this a salary of Rs. 4/- Each per month. We used to pay the realization of Sale to Chamundma immediately after the

Sale - Jamal Sab also used to take it.

Timmamma wife of Eedipara Kale Powda residing at Arrasur. of 31-8-94.

I heard Powramma's statement - mine also will be the same. I have nothing to say against it.

Venkati wife Eedipara Timmepowda residing at Arrasur of 31-8-94.

Powramma's statement is correct as prices of previous years - last year when it was sold at 10 pies per seer during the contract of Chamblog Shamanna of Kalle Malawadi I had been employed as Cooli - I was absent from the place when it was being sold at 9 pies per seer - It was never sold for less than 9 pies - I have been doing this business for the a long time. Timmepowda and his wife were residing at the Shop near the Bridge - They also used to sell at this rate - They are not in the town -

Statement of Patabendige Don
Tikiripolwatta residing at Beruwala
dated 31-8-94.

From 1st September 1893 up
to End of June 1894 I had taken on
Sub contract the Arrack of Kalle
Mahawadi for a period of 10 months
from Kalle Mahawadi Thavarama.
I have produced the Sapuvali Chit-
which was Executed jointly by him
and Jamal Labi and given bond.
In accordance with the Sapuvali Chit-
I used to pay them Rs. monthly Rs. 75.
But the Sum of Rs. 50 mentioned in the
Cash portion is under Takaran - Con-
-sequently I did not pay it. The
Receipts granted to me for the monthly
payment Rs. 75 are with Patabendige
Don Bastian Perera Tikiripolwatta. I did not give Sub con-
-tract to Patabendige Don Bastian Perera Tikiripolwatta. To show
the conditions I submit the Stamp
paper Muchalika I obtained from
them. I do not know who had taken
up the contract previous to this

Statement of Tiruneelavan son of Tiruneelavan residing at Kattu Malaiwadi on 31-8-94.

I was doing Vendiya business through Tiruneelavan who had during the last year obtained the Lease of Vannikappe shop. Throughout the year during which I Vended I sold at 8 pies per seer. I never Vended previous to this. During the current year I to Vend in Kattukere shop. I vend at 8 pies per seer.

Statement of Tammiseppoda son of Sediyar Daseppoda residing at Peruyapatna - Dt. 31-8-94.

Except the last year I had taken on contract the Shops at Hunsur and the surrounding villages for the last 7 or 8 years. During the last 7 or 8 years the rate of 9 pies per seer had prevailed in the Durbans of Hunsur and Sirve and it was sold accordingly. During the current year I have taken the sub contract from the contractors. Now it is being sold at 9 pies per seer. Higher rate has been sanctioned now. On that if the persons addicted to drink do not purchase. Since it is being sold at 9 pies per seer from the beginning no body would purchase if it is sold at a higher rate. If the present selling rate of 10 pies per seer be increased it would be impossible for me to rent.

Last year the Durbans of Bamkappe and Kalabilla had been in my brother's name - I was not getting the business conducted. I used to sell at 8 pies per seer at Bamikappe and at Kalabilla 6 pies per seer for some

months i.e 2 or 3 months and at 8
lbs per Lunar during the remaining
months. I have to say only
so much.

Statement of Tirimeesooda Officiating Kattemalawadi of 31-8-94.

During 1892-93 I rented toddy in the toddy shop of Kattekere for a period of about 6 months on account of Jawara sooda - Subsequently I sent to the toddy. In the year previous to this I myself rented. During the period I conducted the sale, I rented at 8 pies per seer -

Last year during the contract of Katte Malawadi Shamanna I solely conducted the renting business during the first 15 days I sold at 8 pies per seer. Subsequently I sold at 6 pies per seer for a period of about 6 or 7 months - Subsequently I sold at 8 pies for 5 or 6 months until the close

Always I used to sell toddy in this shop at 8 pies per seer - During the current year the Superior has not let out to me - I have not followed any trade this year - and am tapping toddy - I am not renting.

Statement of Pulli Sowda Son of Eddyara Periya Sowda residing at Periyapatna d/31-8-64.

During last year Pape Sowda and myself had taken Kattle Medalwadi Dukan - Pacha Subi and myself had taken the Sub contract. I myself Vended the toddy - Pape Sowda has Submitted to Jon the Stamp Paper Mutchalika executed by myself and Pape Sowda - According to the Condition therein mentioned I paid Rs. 65 per mensem to Jamaladar and Shanamma and obtained receipt therefor. Pape Sowda paid the remaining Sum of Rs. 600/=. During first 4 months I sold at 6 pies per seer. Subsequently at 8 pies I do not know who was selling previous to me and at what rate.

Translation of the petition submitted to the Excise Commissioner in person by Shanmuga late Contractor of the Toddy Outlets of the Town Area of Bangalore - Enquiry dated 22nd Nov. 1898.

1. I saw the petition of 24-5-93. It was written by me - the rates I have stated therein are only the lowest rates that prevailed at the time of sale but not the highest rates - Next in entering the lowest rates through want of memory entered 9 pies for the Kasaba instead of 10 - However I have given the correct rates both in the petition addressed to the Diwan on 4th August and to the Assistant on 18th idem - I have also deposed before the Dy. Commr. According thereto proceedings took place and I asked for remission. The Dy. Commr. did not ignore or make me responsible for the petition wherein I had entered by mistake a pie less. He only crossed that the words not interfere with the rates fixed in the notification recently issued by Government.

2. Since during 1892-93 the rate from 10 pies to 1 anna 3 pies in the Kasaba, and from 8 pies to 1 anna in the villages prevailed, I thought the same rate would prevail during 1893-94 also. But as

it was being sold at 4 pies in Jarpanes in pursuance of the notification recently issued reducing the rates it induced the drinkers who were resorting to Kasaba Dukkans to go to Jarpane Dukkans. Thinking that they would not go there if I caused this to be sold in the Kasaba at 3 pies - I reduced the rate to 3 pies although the rate of the best quality had been fixed in the said notification at 10 pies. In spite of this large number of people were going to Jarpane Dukkans where it was being sold at 3 pies Rs. Consequently I sustained loss as there was no large sale in the Kasaba.

3. As desired for remission in my petition of 24 May alone it is evident that my views had been as detailed above. It may be explained thus. The rates that varied from 10 pies to ~~one anna 3 pies~~ 1 anna 3 pies were reduced to from 6 to 10 pies Thus there was a reduction of one-third of the original highest rate. By this there was a loss of Rs. 2,184-8-0 during the period of 4 months and 17 days that intervened from the commencement up to the date on which the order of reduction was issued. As regards villages the rate of 8 pies was reduced to 4 pies. Thus there was a reduction of one-half of the original rate. By this there was a loss of Rs. 828-4. These two make up a total of Rs. 3012-12-0 The difference between former lowest rate of 10 pies and the present lowest rate of

6 pies is two-fifth of the former lowest rate - Calculating on this I prayed for a premium of Rs. 3455/-

4. If it had been known that the rate of the highest quality was 9 pies at the time of sale how did the sale continue at 1 anna from 18.6.8 April If both myself and the Govt. had been aware that 9 pies was the fixed rate it is for you to consider how the Govt officials at the Taluk Kusaba would have allowed the Sale at a higher rate viz. at one anna - It is evident from the statements of the Toddy Inspectors and others that the Sale was effected at one anna rate from 18.6.8 April. These records may be perused - Moreover it would be evident from the Amildars report No. 185 of 27-12-96 Submitted to this District that the sale did take place at the above rate.

5. Although I am a man of letters yet I have no Experience in this matter I am a Shanbhog in the Taluk. I had been to Taluk office on Govt. business at the time of the sale of the Toddy Bab - as the people having combined did not bid properly & the instruments of the officers bid in the sale - I continued to bid calculating on the rate of the Panchalika for 1892-93. It was knocked down to me - I produced

the deposit amount on the following day by having the jewels in my house mortgaged for Rs. 6000/= I also mortgaged my landed property for a sum of Rs. 6000/= to work up the Contract. I advanced this amount to Colaboors (persons employed in tapping) and others and continued to work up the Bab. Since I continued to arrange the Vending according to the rates of 1892-93 I thought there would be no loss. As a notification was issued notifying all of a sudden the reduced rates I sustained loss in the first month alone. Then, in a confused state of mind I wrote a petition in which through oversight I entered a pie less. I pray I should not be made a loser on account of this. To show that I was in a confused state of mind at the time I wrote petitions about this matter I first wrote in the petition addressed to the Dewan on 4th August that there had been rates ranging from 10 pies to 1 anna 3 pies and stated subsequently that since the previous rates were higher by than the present rate by 1-2. This does not tally with any of the rates mentioned in the records accordingly it was only through oversight that I misled of 10 pies were entered in petition dated 24th May. But it is not a correct figure.

6. Chiefly I should be provided by

having due attention to the proceedings of Govt and other records. The Deputy accepts by the Assistant in this matter has been caused to be written on the knowledge of the local affairs. It is not for the punishment of the Contractor that Govt arranged to give minor contracts. It is the main intention of Govt that both the Contractor and the Govt should be profited. If the notification issued by Govt fixing the rates for 1893-94 had been published previous to the Sale there would not have been any occasion for myself and others to think that the rates mentioned in the Panchalika of 1892-93 had been in force at the time of sale. The Govt should take into consideration the loss to which I have been made liable owing to the fixing of rates for lower than the rates of the Panchalika of 1892-93 in the notification published long subsequent to the Sale. Moreover when I complained about this matter in the months of May the Dy Conservator permitted me in the month of December that I might sell at the rates mentioned in the Panchalika of 1892-93. By this it is evident that he admitted my claim - However of the above order had been issued in the months of May there would not have been any occasion for loss to me. This fact should be

considered -

). Out of the realisations of the whole year I have incurred a loss of Rs. 4070/- and odd in addition to the sum of Rs. 600 odd due by Collector and others from whom it cannot be recovered - Even if remission be granted in compliance with my prayer I have already lost all my jewels and landed property. If the Govt. could recover the balance due in sixty days I will have to desert the country owing to this trouble. It is my prayer that I should be saved by having my grievances and the records of the cases investigated in my presence.

Sd Shanmuga.

Ry 8/2 16 K 52

Name 30/4/1896

S. Canara Bamy

Nahi 6/2

SECTION C. R

PAPERS Collected

SERIES 11

1896

No. 2797

Name of Petitioner, Shivaraama - late Coolly contractor

Village,

Taluk, Honnavar

District, Kanara

Date of Arzi, 2-2-96

Date of Receipt, 6-2-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil

ABSTRACT.

On the 30th ult.

States that he was told by the Karkoon that the papers relating to his claim for remission of the amount due by him to Govt in connection with the Coolly Contract he had taken at Honnavar would be dishonestly in his presence in course of a fortnight: that he is a Shanbhog - and that owing to a Collection Season he would be employed in Collection work from 1st to 15th of the month. Prays therefore that any date between the 15th Feb and 15th March may be fixed for the disposal of the matter and that he may be duly informed of the date fixed therefor -

8/2

12/2 The records received by Court move Asst. C. to Go & Ker

12/2 Submitted - The records were submitted to the Revenue Secretary

19/1/96 HGh 14/2

13/2/96

He has been asked to come on Monday the 17th Inst - Give him to come when he comes up

15-2-96

one one Rupee stamp

Advocate

2799 R
6-1-96

Enclosure to SW 10

2117

14/11/1954

1895-96

No. 3125

Name of Petitioner Shanmuga Siddy Collector

Village,

Taluk, Hunsur

District: Mysore

Date of Arzi, 5-3-96

Date of Receipt 6-3-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, nil

SECTION S R

FILE NO. 93 of 96

ABSTRACT.

Reminder to his Abheal Petition

States that on his personal re-presentation on 5-3-96. he was ordered to produce a list mentioning the particulars of his case and told that his abheal would be disposed of on receipt of certain references from the Deputy Commr That a sum of Rs 3696 is due by him to you, but that a sum of Rs 3455-11-6 has to be deducted out of this as being the amount of compensation due to him and for which remission is prayed for that

W&h 11/3

The previous petitions were sent to Excise Branch. This may also be sent to that Branch.

To Excise Branch
S.S.
26/3/96

24/3

that the balance of Rs240-4-6 still due by him to Govt. may be deducted out of his deposit amount of 974-5-. and the balance viz Rs734--6 may be refunded to him.

Bly.

Rs.

MYSORE DEWAN'S DEPT.
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 3126 R
DATE 6-3-96

Encl. Ltr. dt. 25/2/96

Subject to
3rd Councillor
for inspection 28/5/96

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

SECTION: Confidential

FILE No. 93 Apl 1

28-5-96.

SERIAL No. 17

Deputy Secretary to Government

I have received your
D.O. of yesterday date
& had a talk with
the 3rd Councillor
about Shamanna's
case & about the
Nagarkatte affected
people claiming a

I suppose as the
days go on. I
think I should not
need few lines
to tell you
how [illegible] to
be able to [illegible] my
self better than
otherwise. I remember
saying that I do
decided, I would
write a OO to the
Dr tomorrow & get him
information on

the subject. After
this, he heard
Shamanna & said
he would again
go into the Palace,
& hop an order.
These, the matter
was, I believe, but
I did not under-
stand him by
that I should
write to the Dykes
as suggested above.
If I did not
understand him

ought. Jane sorry
for it. I have not
seen New Bond Dy
Corr - It is not
likely will be placed
before the 3d &
as it is
received -

We are leaving
here on the morning
of the 7th for
after breakfasting
at Marseilles we
drive on Glenda
- hett where we

2

264

I send the rest.
The day I return
we reach Bangalore
in time for breakfast. The Governor
proposes to spend
a couple of days
there & then return to
Bangalore on
the 4th or 5th. Hoping
that you may
be quite well

on the evening of
the 30th. November
[illegible] may
afterwards be
sent before with
instructions &
await arrival. I
shall write by you
again from Rio. Give
Please report me to
Paramatta & of the above movements

284

I believe the 2nd
I intend leaving
Here for Bangalore
on the 3rd Inst.

We shall then
Have very little
Time to spend
together

Yours very truly
H Greenway

No. 1424
Enclosures None

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Camp Chamaraja nagar 189
1st June 1896.

15

SECTION C R
FILE NO. 930/95
SERIAL NO. 13

To
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

Your D. O. letter of the 28th ultimo reached me just now. You ask me in it if the prayer of toddy contractor Shamanna of Mysore for the remission of the toddy amount due from him for 1893-94 on the ground that the vending rate of toddy was reduced, be granted, there is any likelihood of others laying claim to similar concession on similar ground. The whole of the toddy amount for the year in question (namely 1893-94)

has been recovered saving what is due from the said Shanmanna and two others - The latter have withheld payments on grounds other than what Shanmanna has advanced. One of them contends that while the grove of an Inam village (Jinnalli) was sold to him together with the groves of 2 adjoining villages, he was only permitted to draw - toddy from the former grove - The other defaulter's contention is that the person who should have bought toddy from him for sale in his shop, did not do so - Thus it will be seen that these two cases are different from

2 Drafts for orders

(B)

Read:—

Correspondence ending with the Excise Commrs letter No 2692/255 of 21st December 1895 in re the remission claimed by Toddy Vend Farmer Shamanna of the Honnur Taluk.

Order thereon:—

In 1893-94, Shamanna took up the Toddy Vend Farms of the Town of Honnur, and of the villages of Thattekere and Katte-Malalvadi. He claims a remission of Rs. 3455 on the ground that from April to December 1893 he was not permitted to sell Toddy at the rates Specified in the Muchalikas of the previous year.

2. Shamanna admitted

SECTION S-R
FILE NO. 93 of 95
SERIAL NO. 14

Do 10813-4 of 11/6/96
En. 340 15

in his first petition dated 24th May 1893 that at the time of the Sale of the farms in question, he was distinctly told that the rates chargeable were 9 pies per Seer in the Town Shop and 8 pies per Seer in the village Shops. His complaint then was that the Notfn of 9th March/93 had Subsequently reduced the village rate from 8 pies to 4 to 6 pies and that this was a Sufficient inducement for Town drinkers to resort largely to village Shops in preference to the Town Shop. He consequently prayed that the village rate might be raised to 8 pies so as to prevent all possibility of a loss owing to reduced Sales in the

town shop. He admits the genuineness of the petition but explains that it does not represent matters accurately and that he was in a confused state of mind when he wrote it. His explanation is clearly inadmissible. In his subsequent petitions too, there is an admission that the Manual rates were 9 to 10 pies for the town & 8 pies for ~~the~~ villages. His statement that the incorrect figures entered in the Muchalikas of the year 1892-93 misled him, and induced him to believe that he could charge much higher rates both in the town & in the villages, seems manifestly untrue. In Decr 1893 he managed to obtain an order from

the Dy Commr permitting him to sell toddy at certain enhanced rates. It is clear, however, that he did not fully avail himself of this permission and that the selling price adopted by him in the town shop was only from 9 to 10 pies and in the villages 8 pies.

3. From April to Decr, there was nothing to prevent him from charging 9 to 10 pies in the town shop. That he did sell toddy at that price is abundantly clear from the papers before Govt.

4. In the village shops however, he could not charge more than 6 pies a seer. Both the Dy Comnr and the Excise Comnr, are of opinion that he

is entitled to a remission of the difference between that rate, and the rates at which he believed he could sell when he took up the farms. His opinion seems correct. The remission to which Shamanna is entitled on this account is Rs. 226-4-6.

5. The Dy Commr. is of opinion that Shamanna cannot claim any re-mission in connection with the town shop. The Excise Commr. on the contrary thinks that it was possible that Sham-manna was misled by the rates entered in the Muchalikas for 1892-93 and has accordingly recommended that a remission of Rs. 1484-2-4 be sanctioned, being the difference between the

price realized by Sale at 10 pies, and the price which could have been realized by Sale at one anna. On the grounds upon which this re-commendation is based, Govt find themselves unable to concur. Shamanna's reliance upon the old Muchalika rates is clearly an afterthought. He was admittedly informed at the time of the Sale of the town Shop that the Manul rate was 9 to 10 pies per Seer and that he was entitled to levy the Same from persons resorting to that Shop. It is ~~considered~~ that the rate thus fixed was levied by him throughout the whole period of his contract. On this ground therefore he has no real grievance ~~there~~

has suffered a larger loss and that he was the victim of an underassessment. There however a little doubt that the [illegible] was induced to offer a larger price than the true amount could be realized by competition and was encouraged

Considering the Contract amounts during the years from 1892-93 to 1895-96, the Govt reserve to grant him a act of grace a lump Sum remission of Rs 1400 (including local cess) on account of Rs. 226-4-6 mentioned in para 4 above in addition

may end here. and a remission of Rs 226-4-6 (or Rs 228 accg. to D.C. recommendation) which seems is correct, may be sanctioned.

6/6/96

27

There seems to be little ground for a Complaint by Rs 1484-2-4. This aspect of the case has not been brought forward and if the Petr. Louis off and do it will not be discussed. If the Revenue Bd. think that some Compensation is necessary under the circumstance of the case, one half of the sum may be considered as a matter of favour.

6/6/96

Office Note:-

There is no doubt that the man has lost on the Contract and we have some proof of it in the fact that tho' his Contract for 93-94 was for Rs 958- per month, the next Contract for 94-95 was only Rs 825 & for 95-96 Rs 867. The Amt for 92-93 was Rs 790. It is Equitable to regard the proper Value for 93-94 at Says abt Rs. 800 or so and Petr's loss to have been due to dearness of Contract Says Some Rs 150 a month - He probably suffered a greater actual loss by his bad management with which we have nothing to do - I would accordingly alter the order as shown in red ink

6/6/96

is, however another aspect of the case which seems to deserve some consideration. There are 4 village Shops within a Distance of about 4 miles from the town Shop. Instead of at 8 pies which was the rate mentioned at the time of the Sale of the Ganno, Toddy had to be Sold in those Shops at from 4 to 6 pies per Seer. There is thus some force in Shamanna's contention that owing to the material difference between the town & village rates, drinkers from the town were attracted to villages, thereby causing a diminution of Sales in the town Shop. Statistics are not forth-coming and Shamanna

expresses his inability to
prove the extent to which
Sales in the town Shop
fell off in consequence of
the circumstance men-
-tioned above. Strictly
speaking therefore, he has
no right to claim any
remission. In the peculiar
circumstances however,
of this case, Govt are dis-
posed to shown him all
possible consideration
as in their opinion the pro-
~~probabilities are~~ propriety that
the Sales in the town
Shop did suffer some-
what owing to the existence
of an appreciable difference
between the rates prevailing
there at and in the adjoining
villages. On this ground
Govt are pleased, as an
act of grace, to accept the
Excise Comm'rs Suggestion
that a remission of Rs. 1484-2-4

be granted on account of the town shop.

6. Govt have dealt most leniently with Shamanna and Shewn him much greater consideration than the facts of the case entitle him to. His prayer for a larger amount of compensation than that now allowed, must be rejected.

7. With these observations a remission ~~Statement~~ ~~for~~ ~~Rs 1710-6-10 received~~ Rs 1625:4:6 ~~from the Excise Comm^r~~ ~~will be~~ sanctioned ~~and passed on to the Comptr~~ by Govt with power to take early steps ~~should be taken~~ to recover the balance still outstanding against Shamanna.

~~2-3-96~~

Secretary.

Excise Comm^r. 10/6/96

& Comptr, Mysore Gov. 11-6-96

Letter with Despatch 11/6/96